

Characterizations of \mathcal{M} -harmonic α -Bloch and BMO functions on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n

Kentaro Hirata

Department of Mathematics, Shimane University,
Matsue 690-8504, Japan
e-mail: hirata@math.shimane-u.ac.jp

Dedicated to the Memory of Professor Matts Essén

Abstract

We shall give characterizations of \mathcal{M} -harmonic α -Bloch functions in terms of the spherical integral and the mean oscillation with respect to the invariant measure involving a certain weight. We also give characterizations of \mathcal{M} -harmonic BMO functions.

Keywords: \mathcal{M} -harmonic function, α -Bloch space, bounded mean oscillation

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000): 32A18, 32A37, 31B05

1 Introduction

The characterizations of several spaces of holomorphic functions on the unit ball B of \mathbb{C}^n were given by many authors. Choa and Choe [1] and Jevtić [6, 7] gave characterizations of BMOA in terms of Carleson measures. In [14], Stoll characterized the p -th Hardy space by

$$\int_B (1 - |z|^2)^n |f(z)|^{p-2} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 d\lambda(z) < \infty, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}$ and λ are the invariant gradient and invariant measure on B respectively. Ouyang, Yang and Zhao [11] and Nowak [10] also characterized the Bergman space and the Bloch space by several finite integrals similar with (1.1) involving the Green function. The hyperbolic Hardy space was characterized by Kwon [9].

The characterization of the \mathcal{M} -harmonic α -Bloch space in this paper was motivated by the following result due to Pavlović [12]: Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha > 0$. An \mathcal{M} -harmonic function f on B satisfies the condition

$$\left(\int_S |\tilde{\nabla} f(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{1/p} = O((1 - r^2)^{-\alpha}) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1, \quad (1.2)$$

if and only if

$$\left(\int_S |f(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{1/p} = O((1-r^2)^{-\alpha}) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1. \quad (1.3)$$

Since the α -Bloch space consists of functions with the strong property rather than (1.2), it does not admit to characterize this space by (1.3). However, we shall give a characterization of this space by using the p -th spherical integral of compositions with Möbius transformations. We shall also characterize the \mathcal{M} -harmonic BMO space by using the p -th spherical integral of compositions with Möbius transformations and by the BMO property with respect to “the invariant measure” on B . As corollaries we shall obtain analogous characterizations with (1.1) for the little α -Bloch space and the BMO space.

To state our results we prepare notations. We denote by B the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n and by S its boundary. The normalized Lebesgue measure on B and the normalized surface measure on S are denoted by ν and σ respectively. Let $d\lambda(z) = (1-|z|^2)^{n+1} d\nu(z)$. Note that this measure is invariant under the group $Aut(B)$ of holomorphic automorphisms of B . For $a \in B$, let φ_a denote the Möbius transformation of B , i.e.,

$$\varphi_a(z) = \frac{a - P_a z - \sqrt{1-|a|^2}(z - P_a z)}{1 - \langle z, a \rangle},$$

where $P_a z = \frac{\langle z, a \rangle}{|a|^2} a$ if $a \neq 0$, and $P_0 z = 0$, which satisfies $\varphi_a(0) = a$ and $\varphi_a^{-1} = \varphi_a$. We write $E(a, r) = \{z \in B : |\varphi_a(z)| < r\}$.

The Laplace-Beltrami operator $\tilde{\Delta}$ on B associated with the Bergman metric is defined by

$$\tilde{\Delta} = \frac{4}{n+1} (1-|z|^2) \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\delta_{i,j} - \bar{z}_i z_j) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_i},$$

which satisfies that $\tilde{\Delta}(f \circ \psi) = (\tilde{\Delta}f) \circ \psi$ for $f \in C^2(B)$ and $\psi \in Aut(B)$. A real valued C^2 function f on B satisfying $\tilde{\Delta}f = 0$ is said to be \mathcal{M} -harmonic on B . Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ be the invariant gradient on B . Then for a real valued C^1 function f on B , we have

$$|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|^2 = \frac{4}{n+1} (1-|z|^2) \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\delta_{i,j} - \bar{z}_i z_j) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}(z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(z),$$

and $|\tilde{\nabla}(f \circ \psi)| = |(\tilde{\nabla}f) \circ \psi|$ for $\psi \in Aut(B)$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The \mathcal{M} -harmonic α -Bloch space, written \mathcal{HB}_α , is defined as the class of all \mathcal{M} -harmonic functions f on B that satisfy

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{HB}_\alpha} = \sup_{z \in B} (1-|z|^2)^\alpha |\tilde{\nabla}f(z)| < \infty.$$

The \mathcal{M} -harmonic α -Bloch spaces are characterized as follows.

Theorem 1. *The following statements hold.*

(i) If $\alpha < -1$, then \mathcal{HB}_α consists only of constant functions.

(ii) Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and set

$$\rho_{\alpha,p}(a,r) = \begin{cases} (1-|a|^2)^\alpha & \text{if } -n < \alpha p < 0, \\ (1-|a|^2)^\alpha (1-r)^{-\alpha-n/p} & \text{if } \alpha p < -n, \\ (1-|a|^2)^\alpha \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{-1} & \text{if } \alpha p = -n \text{ or } \alpha = 0, \\ (1-|a|^2)^\alpha (1-r)^\alpha & \text{if } \alpha > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then the following properties for an \mathcal{M} -harmonic function f on B are equivalent:

(a) $f \in \mathcal{HB}_\alpha$;

(b) $H_{\alpha,p}(f) = \sup_{\substack{0 < r < 1 \\ a \in B}} \rho_{\alpha,p}(a,r) \left(\int_S |f \circ \varphi_a(r\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{1/p} < \infty$;

(c) $I_{\alpha,p}(f) = \sup_{\substack{0 < r < 1 \\ a \in B}} \rho_{\alpha,p}(a,r) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(E(a,r))} \int_{E(a,r)} |f(z) - f(a)|^p d\lambda(z) \right)^{1/p} < \infty$;

(d) There exists $0 < r_0 < 1$ such that

$$J_{\alpha,p}(f) = \sup_{a \in B} (1-|a|^2)^\alpha \left(\int_{E(a,r_0)} |f(z) - f(a)|^p d\lambda(z) \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Moreover, the quantities $\|f\|_{\mathcal{HB}_\alpha}$, $H_{\alpha,p}(f)$, $I_{\alpha,p}(f)$ and $J_{\alpha,p}(f)$ are comparable to each other with a constant depending only on the dimension n , p , α and r_0 .

Corollary 1. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and f be an \mathcal{M} -harmonic function on B . If there exist $0 < r_0 < 1$ and $p < \beta < \infty$ such that

$$\int_{E(a,r_0)} |f(z) - f(a)|^p d\lambda(z) = O((1-|a|^2)^\beta) \quad \text{as } |a| \rightarrow 1,$$

then f is constant.

For $z \in B$ and $0 < r < 1$, let

$$g(r,z) = \frac{n+1}{2n} \int_{|z|}^r \frac{(1-t^2)^{n-1}}{t^{2n-1}} dt,$$

and let $g(z) = g(1,z)$ for simplicity. The Green function for $\tilde{\Delta}$ is defined by $G(z,w) = g(\varphi_w(z))$ for $z, w \in B$.

As another corollary we obtain the analogous characterization with (1.1) for little α -Bloch spaces.

Corollary 2. Let $-1 \leq \alpha < 0$, $1 < p < -n/\alpha$ and f be an \mathcal{M} -harmonic function on B . Then the following are equivalent:

(i) $f \in \mathcal{HB}_\alpha$;

$$(ii) \sup_{a \in B} (1 - |a|^2)^{\alpha p} \int_B G(z, a) |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z) - f(a)|^{p-2} d\lambda(z) < \infty.$$

Let BMOH_p denote the class of all \mathcal{M} -harmonic functions f on B that are represented as the Poisson-Szegö integral of functions of bounded mean oscillation on S . That is, each element f in BMOH_p is the form

$$f(z) = \int_S \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^n}{|1 - \langle z, \zeta \rangle|^{2n}} f^*(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta)$$

with a corresponding function f^* integrable on S satisfying

$$\|f^*\|_{\text{BMO}_p(\sigma)} = \sup_{\substack{0 < r < 2 \\ \xi \in S}} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(Q(\xi, r))} \int_{Q(\xi, r)} |f^*(\zeta) - f_{\xi, r}^*|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where $f_{\xi, r}^* = \frac{1}{\sigma(Q(\xi, r))} \int_{Q(\xi, r)} f^* d\sigma$, the average of f^* over $Q(\xi, r)$. Here $Q(\xi, r) = \{\zeta \in S : |1 - \langle \zeta, \xi \rangle| < r\}$, the non-isotropic ball of center ξ and radius r .

The interesting points of the following characterization of BMOH_p are that a solution of Dirichlet problem for $\tilde{\Delta}$ with boundary data of bounded mean oscillation also has bounded mean oscillation with respect to the invariant measure λ on B , and that conversely \mathcal{M} -harmonic functions on B of bounded mean oscillation with respect to λ can be represented as the Poisson-Szegö integral of a function of bounded mean oscillation on S .

Theorem 2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and f be an \mathcal{M} -harmonic function on B . Then the followings are equivalent:*

(i) $f \in \text{BMOH}_p$;

$$(ii) \|f\|_{S_p} = \sup_{\substack{0 < r < 1 \\ a \in B}} \left(\int_S |f \circ \varphi_a(r\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{1/p} < \infty;$$

$$(iii) \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_p(\lambda)} = \sup_{\substack{0 < r < 1 \\ a \in B}} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(E(a, r))} \int_{E(a, r)} |f(z) - f(a)|^p d\lambda(z) \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Moreover, the quantities $\|f^*\|_{\text{BMO}_p(\sigma)}$, $\|f\|_{S_p}$ and $\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_p(\lambda)}$ are comparable to each other with a constant depending only on the dimension n and p .

Remark 1. If f is the Poisson-Szegö integral of an integrable function on S , then Theorem 1 holds for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Furthermore, if f is the Poisson-Szegö integral and holomorphic on B , then Theorem 1 holds for $0 < p < \infty$. We note, in this case, that the equivalence of (i) and (ii) was proved by Ouyang, Yang and Zhao [11].

Corollary 3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and f be an \mathcal{M} -harmonic function on B . Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $f \in \text{BMOH}_p$;

$$(ii) \sup_{a \in B} \int_B G(z, a) |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z) - f(a)|^{p-2} d\lambda(z) < \infty.$$

Throughout the paper we use the symbol C to denote absolute positive constant whose value is unimportant and may change from line to line. If we emphasize the dependencies a, b, \dots , then we write $C(a, b, \dots)$.

2 Proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollaries 1 and 2

For a real valued C^1 function f on B , let

$$X_j f(z) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(z) - \bar{z}_j \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{z}_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_i}(z) \quad (j = 1, \dots, n).$$

Then we observe that for $z \in B$,

$$|\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 \leq \frac{4}{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^n |X_j f(z)|^2 \leq \frac{(1+|z|^2)^2}{(1-|z|^2)^2} |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2, \quad (2.1)$$

and that if f is \mathcal{M} -harmonic on B , then $X_j f$ is so. See [15, Proposition 10.4 and Lemma 10.5].

Proof of Theorem 1 (i). Let $\alpha < -1$ and $f \in \mathcal{HB}_\alpha$. Then, for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, it follows from (2.1) that

$$|X_j f(z)| \leq C \frac{|\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|}{1-|z|^2} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{HB}_\alpha} (1-|z|^2)^{-\alpha-1} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow 1.$$

Therefore the maximum principle yields that $X_j f \equiv 0$ for every $j = 1, \dots, n$, and so $|\tilde{\nabla} f| \equiv 0$ by (2.1). Hence f is constant. \square

To prove Theorem 1 (ii), we recall the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. ([15, Lemma 10.8]) *Let f be a real valued C^1 function on B and $a \in B$. Then for each $\zeta \in S$ and $0 < r < 1$,*

$$|f \circ \varphi_a(r\zeta) - f(a)| \leq \sqrt{n+1} \int_0^r \frac{|\tilde{\nabla} f(\varphi_a(t\zeta))|}{1-t^2} dt.$$

Lemma 2. ([15, Proposition 8.18]) *Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there is a positive constant C depending only on the dimension n such that for $z \in B$,*

$$\int_S \frac{1}{|1-\langle z, \zeta \rangle|^{n+\beta}} d\sigma(\zeta) \leq \begin{cases} C(1-|z|^2)^{-\beta} & \text{if } \beta > 0, \\ C \log \frac{1}{1-|z|^2} & \text{if } \beta = 0, \\ C & \text{if } \beta < 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3. ([15, Proposition 10.1 and 10.2]) Let $0 < p < \infty$ and f be an \mathcal{M} -harmonic function on B . Then for $a \in B$ and $0 < r < 1$,

$$|f(a)|^p \leq C(n, p, r) \int_{E(a, r)} |f(z)|^p d\lambda(z),$$

and

$$|\tilde{\nabla} f(a)|^p \leq C(n, p, r) \int_{E(a, r)} |f(z)|^p d\lambda(z).$$

Proof of Theorem 1 (ii). (a) \Rightarrow (b). Suppose $f \in \mathcal{HB}_\alpha$. Let $a \in B$, $\zeta \in S$ and $0 < r < 1$. Since

$$1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)(1 - |z|^2)}{|1 - \langle z, a \rangle|^2},$$

we have by Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} |f \circ \varphi_a(r\zeta) - f(a)| &\leq C \int_0^r \frac{|\tilde{\nabla} f(\varphi_a(t\zeta))|}{1 - t^2} dt \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{HB}_\alpha} \int_0^r \frac{(1 - |\varphi_a(t\zeta)|^2)^{-\alpha}}{1 - t^2} dt \\ &= C \|f\|_{\mathcal{HB}_\alpha} (1 - |a|^2)^{-\alpha} \int_0^r \frac{|1 - \langle ta, \zeta \rangle|^{2\alpha}}{(1 - t^2)^{\alpha+1}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows from Minkowski's integral inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_S |f \circ \varphi_a(r\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{HB}_\alpha} (1 - |a|^2)^{-\alpha} \left(\int_S \left(\int_0^r \frac{|1 - \langle ta, \zeta \rangle|^{2\alpha}}{(1 - t^2)^{\alpha+1}} dt \right)^p d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{HB}_\alpha} (1 - |a|^2)^{-\alpha} \int_0^r (1 - t^2)^{-\alpha-1} \left(\int_S |1 - \langle ta, \zeta \rangle|^{2\alpha p} d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{1/p} dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Using Lemma 2, we now calculate the integral

$$F(a, r) := \int_0^r (1 - t^2)^{-\alpha-1} \left(\int_S |1 - \langle ta, \zeta \rangle|^{2\alpha p} d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{1/p} dt.$$

If $-n < \alpha p < -n/2$, then

$$F(a, r) \leq C \int_0^1 (1 - t^2)^{-\alpha-1} (1 - t^2)^{(n+2\alpha p)/p} dt = C \int_0^1 (1 - t^2)^{\alpha-1+n/p} dt < \infty.$$

If $\alpha p = -n/2$, then

$$F(a, r) \leq C \int_0^1 (1 - t^2)^{-\alpha-1} \left(\log \frac{1}{1 - t^2} \right)^{1/p} dt < \infty.$$

If $-n/2 < \alpha p$, then

$$F(a, r) \leq C \int_0^r (1-t^2)^{-\alpha-1} dt \leq \begin{cases} C & \text{if } -n/2 < \alpha p < 0, \\ C \log \frac{1}{1-r} & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ C(1-r)^{-\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha > 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence it follows from (2.2) that for $a \in B$ and $0 < r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_S |f \circ \varphi_a(r\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \begin{cases} C \|f\|_{\mathcal{HB}_\alpha} (1-|a|^2)^{-\alpha} & \text{if } -n < \alpha p < 0, \\ C \|f\|_{\mathcal{HB}_\alpha} (1-|a|^2)^{-\alpha} \log \frac{1}{1-r} & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ C \|f\|_{\mathcal{HB}_\alpha} (1-|a|^2)^{-\alpha} (1-r)^{-\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha p = -n$, then

$$F(a, r) \leq C \int_0^r (1-t^2)^{-1} dt \leq C \log \frac{1}{1-r},$$

so that for $a \in B$ and $0 < r < 1$,

$$\left(\int_S |f \circ \varphi_a(r\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{1/p} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{HB}_\alpha} (1-|a|^2)^{-\alpha} \log \frac{1}{1-r}.$$

If $\alpha p < -n$, then

$$F(a, r) \leq C \int_0^r (1-t^2)^{\alpha-1+n/p} dt \leq C(1-r)^{\alpha+n/p},$$

so that for $a \in B$ and $0 < r < 1$,

$$\left(\int_S |f \circ \varphi_a(r\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{1/p} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{HB}_\alpha} (1-|a|^2)^{-\alpha} (1-r)^{\alpha+n/p}.$$

Hence, taking the supremum over $0 < r < 1$ and $a \in B$, we obtain (b).

(b) \Rightarrow (c). Let $a \in B$ and $0 < r < 1$. Since $\rho_{\alpha,p}(a, r)$ is positive and non-increasing for r , we have by integration in polar coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E(a,r)} |f(z) - f(a)|^p d\lambda(z) &= 2n \int_0^r \frac{t^{2n-1}}{(1-t^2)^{n+1}} \int_S |f \circ \varphi_a(t\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) dt \\ &\leq H_{\alpha,p}(f)^p \rho_{\alpha,p}(a, r)^{-p} \lambda(E(a, r)), \end{aligned}$$

and (c) follows.

(c) \Rightarrow (d). Let $a \in B$ and $0 < r_0 < 1$. Then we have

$$(1-|a|^2)^\alpha \left(\int_{E(a,r_0)} |f(z) - f(a)|^p d\lambda(z) \right)^{1/p} \leq C(n, p, \alpha, r_0) I_{\alpha,p}(f),$$

and (d) follows.

(d) \Rightarrow (a). Let $a \in B$. Then it follows from Lemma 3 with $r := r_0$ and $f := f - f(a)$ that

$$|\tilde{\nabla}f(a)|^p \leq C(n, p, r_0) \int_{E(a, r_0)} |f(z) - f(a)|^p d\lambda(z) \leq C J_{\alpha, p}(f)^p (1 - |a|^2)^{-\alpha p}.$$

Therefore we have $f \in \mathcal{HB}_\alpha$. Thus Theorem 1 is established. \square

Proof of Corollary 1. It follows from Lemma 3 that

$$|\tilde{\nabla}f(a)|^p \leq C \int_{E(a, r_0)} |f(z) - f(a)|^p d\lambda(z) \leq C(1 - |a|^2)^\beta,$$

where C is a constant depending only on n, p and r_0 . Hence we have $f \in \mathcal{HB}_{-\beta/p}$, and so f is constant by Theorem 1 (i). \square

Corollary 2 follows from the following.

Lemma 4. ([9, Lemma 3.5]) *If $1 < p < \infty$ and f is \mathcal{M} -harmonic on B , then for $0 < r < 1$,*

$$\int_S |f(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) - |f(0)|^p = p(p-1) \int_{rB} g(r, z) |\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} d\lambda(z). \quad (2.3)$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1-$ in (2.3), it follows from the monotone convergence that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \int_S |f(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) - |f(0)|^p = p(p-1) \int_B g(z) |\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|^2 |f(z)|^{p-2} d\lambda(z). \quad (2.4)$$

Proof of Corollary 2. Multiplying the both sides of (2.4) with $f := f \circ \varphi_a - f(a)$ by $(1 - |a|^2)^{\alpha p}$ and taking the supremum over $a \in B$, we obtain Corollary 2 from Theorem 1 and the invariance of λ under $Aut(B)$. \square

3 Proofs of Theorem 2 and Corollary 3

For simplicity we denote the Poisson-Szegö kernel of B by

$$\mathcal{P}(z, \zeta) = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^n}{|1 - \langle z, \zeta \rangle|^{2n}}.$$

We recall the change of variables formula [13, Remark in page 44]

$$\int_S \mathcal{P}(z, \zeta) f(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) = \int_S f(\varphi_z(\zeta)) d\sigma(\zeta). \quad (3.1)$$

To prove Theorem 2 we need the following.

Lemma 5. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and f be the Poisson-Szegö integral of an integrable function f^* on S . Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $f \in \text{BMOH}_p$;

$$(ii) \|f\|_G = \sup_{a \in B} \left(\int_S \mathcal{P}(a, \zeta) |f^*(\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Moreover, the quantities $\|f^*\|_{\text{BMO}_p(\sigma)}$ and $\|f\|_G$ are comparable with a constant depending only on the dimension n and p .

Proof. This lemma will be proved in the same way as [2, pp. 224–225].

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). Splitting S into $Q(a/|a|, 1 - |a|)$ and $Q(a/|a|, 2^k(1 - |a|)) \setminus Q(a/|a|, 2^{k-1}(1 - |a|))$ and using the estimate $\mathcal{P}(a, \cdot) \leq C2^{-2kn}(1 - |a|)^{-n}$ on each partitions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \mathcal{P}(a, \zeta) |f^*(\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) &\leq C \int_S \mathcal{P}(a, \zeta) |f^*(\zeta) - f_{\xi, r}^*|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\leq C \|f^*\|_{\text{BMO}_p(\sigma)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Letting $z_{\xi, r} = (1 - r/2)\xi$, we have $\mathcal{P}(z_{\xi, r}, \cdot) \geq Cr^{-n} \geq C\sigma(Q(\xi, r))^{-1}$ on $Q(\xi, r)$. This yields immediately $\|f^*\|_{\text{BMO}_p(\sigma)} \leq C(n, p)\|f\|_G$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let us assume that (ii) holds. Taking $a = 0$ we see that $f \in H^p$, the p -th Hardy space, so that f can be represented as the Poisson-Szegő integral of a function $f^* \in L^p(\sigma)$. Thus it suffices to show that $\|f^*\|_{\text{BMO}_p(\sigma)} < \infty$. Let $a \in B$ be fixed. By (3.1) we have

$$\int_S \mathcal{P}(a, \zeta) |f^*(\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) = \int_S |f^* \circ \varphi_a(\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta).$$

We observe that for a.e. $\zeta \in S$,

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1^-} f \circ \varphi_a(\rho\zeta) - f(a) = f^* \circ \varphi_a(\zeta) - f(a).$$

Indeed, this follows from Korányi's result [8] since the inequality

$$|1 - \langle \varphi_a(\rho\zeta), \varphi_a(\zeta) \rangle| = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)(1 - \rho)}{|1 - \langle \rho\zeta, a \rangle| |1 - \langle a, \zeta \rangle|} \leq \frac{1}{1 - |a|} (1 - |\varphi_a(\rho\zeta)|^2)$$

implies that $\{\varphi_a(\rho\zeta) : 0 < \rho < 1\}$ is contained in the Korányi approach region at $\varphi_a(\zeta)$. Since the function $\int_S |f \circ \varphi_a(r\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta)$ is non-decreasing for $0 < r < 1$, we obtain $\|f\|_G \leq \|f\|_{S_p}$. Hence $f \in \text{BMOH}_p$ by Lemma 5.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $a \in B$ be fixed. By the monotonicity of the spherical integral, it is enough to show that

$$\int_S |f \circ \varphi_a(r\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_p(\lambda)}^p \quad \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < r < 1,$$

where C is a constant independent of a and r . Since

$$\lambda(E(a, \frac{1+r}{2})) = \frac{(1+r)^{2n}}{(3+r)^n(1-r)^n},$$

it follows from integration in polar coordinates that for $1/2 < r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_S |f \circ \varphi_a(r\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \\
& \leq \frac{2}{1-r} \int_r^{\frac{1+r}{2}} \int_S |f \circ \varphi_a(t\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) dt \\
& \leq \frac{2}{1-r} \frac{(1-r^2)^{n+1}}{r^{2n-1}} \int_r^{\frac{1+r}{2}} \frac{t^{2n-1}}{(1-t^2)^{n+1}} \int_S |f \circ \varphi_a(t\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) dt \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{1-r} \frac{(1-r^2)^{n+1}}{r^{2n-1}} \int_{B(0, \frac{1+r}{2})} |f \circ \varphi_a(z) - f(a)|^p d\lambda(z) \\
& = \frac{1}{n} \frac{(1+r)^{3n+1}}{r^{2n-1}(3+r)^n} \frac{1}{\lambda(E(a, \frac{1+r}{2}))} \int_{E(a, \frac{1+r}{2})} |f(z) - f(a)|^p d\lambda(z) \\
& \leq \frac{2^{4n}}{n} \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_p(\lambda)}^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain $\|f\|_{S_p} \leq (2^{4n}/n) \|f\|_{\text{BMO}_p(\lambda)}$. Thus (ii) follows.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii). We assume that

$$f(z) = \int_S \mathcal{P}(z, \zeta) f^*(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta)$$

with $\|f^*\|_{\text{BMO}_p(\sigma)} < \infty$. Let $a \in B$ and $0 < r < 1$ be fixed. We put $\xi = a/|a|$ and $\rho = 1 - |a|$. Since $\mathcal{P}(z, \cdot) d\sigma$ is a probability measure on S , we have by Jensen's inequality, Fubini's theorem and the mean value property

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{E(a,r)} |f(z) - f(a)|^p d\lambda(z) &= \int_{E(a,r)} \left| \int_S \mathcal{P}(z, \zeta) [f^*(\zeta) - f(a)] d\sigma(\zeta) \right|^p d\lambda(z) \\
&\leq \int_{E(a,r)} \int_S \mathcal{P}(z, \zeta) |f^*(\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) d\lambda(z) \\
&= \int_S \left(\int_{E(a,r)} \mathcal{P}(z, \zeta) d\lambda(z) \right) |f^*(\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= \lambda(E(a, r)) \int_S \mathcal{P}(a, \zeta) |f^*(\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows from Lemma 5 that $\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_p(\lambda)} \leq C(n, p) \|f^*\|_{\text{BMO}_p(\sigma)}$, and so (i) follows. Thus Theorem 2 is proved. \square

Proof of Corollary 3. Let $a \in B$ and apply (2.4) to $f := f \circ \varphi_a - f(a)$. Then, by the change of variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_S |f \circ \varphi_a(r\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \\
& = p(p-1) \int_B G(z, a) |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 |f(z) - f(a)|^{p-2} d\lambda(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking the supremum over $a \in B$, we obtain Corollary 3. \square

4 Further remark

In [3], Hahn and Youssfi considered the \mathcal{M} -harmonic Besov space $\mathcal{M}B_p$, that is, the space of all \mathcal{M} -harmonic functions on B that satisfy

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}B_p} = \left(\int_B |\widehat{Q}f(z)|^p d\lambda(z) \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Here, letting $\nabla = (\partial/\partial z_1, \dots, \partial/\partial z_n)$ the complex gradient vector field and $\beta(z, \zeta)$ the Bergman metric on B , i.e.,

$$\beta(z, \zeta) = \left(\frac{(1 - |z|^2)|\zeta|^2 + |\langle z, \zeta \rangle|^2}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} \right)^{1/2},$$

we denote

$$\widehat{Q}f(z) = \sup_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{|\nabla f(z)\zeta + \overline{\nabla f(z)\zeta}|}{\beta(z, \zeta)}.$$

They proved the inclusion relationship $\mathcal{M}B_p \subset H^p$, the p -th Hardy space for $2n < p < \infty$. See [3, Theorem 4.5]. As a consequence of Theorem 2 and our previous paper [5], we obtain the following inclusion relationship.

Theorem 3. *Let $2n < p < \infty$. Then*

$$\mathcal{M}B_p \subsetneq \text{BMOH}_p$$

Proof. Let $a \in B$ and $0 < r < 1$. Applying [3, (4.13) in p. 75] to $f := f \circ \varphi_a$, we have

$$\int_S |f \circ \varphi_a(r\zeta) - f(a)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \leq C(n, p) \int_B \widehat{Q}(f \circ \varphi_a)(z) d\lambda(z).$$

Since $\widehat{Q}(f \circ \varphi_a)(z) = (\widehat{Q}f)(\varphi_a(z))$ ([3, Proposition 4.1]), it follows that $\|f\|_{S_p} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}B_p}$, and so $\mathcal{M}B_p \subset \text{BMOH}_p$. Note from [4] that functions in $\mathcal{M}B_p$ have a tangential limit at almost every point of S . In [5], the author constructed a bounded \mathcal{M} -harmonic function on B which fails to have a tangential limit at every boundary point of S . Therefore the inclusion is strict. \square

References

- [1] J. S. Choa and B. R. Choe, *A Littlewood-Paley type identity and a characterization of BMOA*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **17** (1991), no. 1-2, 15–23.
- [2] J. B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [3] K. T. Hahn and E. H. Youssfi, *\mathcal{M} -harmonic Besov p -spaces and Hankel operators in the Bergman space on the ball in \mathbb{C}^n* , Manuscripta Math. **71** (1991), no. 1, 67–81.
- [4] ———, *Tangential boundary behavior of \mathcal{M} -harmonic Besov functions in the unit ball*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **175** (1993), no. 1, 206–221.

- [5] K. Hirata, *Sharpness of the Korányi approach region*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [6] M. Jevtić, *On the Carleson measure characterization of BMO functions on the unit ball*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), no. 2, 379–386.
- [7] ———, *On the Carleson measure characterization of BMO functions on the unit sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), no. 11, 3371–3377.
- [8] A. Korányi, *Harmonic functions on Hermitian hyperbolic space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (1969), 507–516.
- [9] E. G. Kwon, *Hyperbolic mean growth of bounded holomorphic functions in the ball*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 3, 1269–1294.
- [10] M. Nowak, *Bloch space on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n* , Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **23** (1998), no. 2, 461–473.
- [11] C. H. Ouyang and W. S. Yang and R. H. Zhao, *Characterizations of Bergman spaces and Bloch space in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), no. 11, 4301–4313.
- [12] M. Pavlović, *Inequalities for the gradient of eigenfunctions of the invariant Laplacian in the unit ball*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **2** (1991), no. 1, 89–98.
- [13] W. Rudin, *Function theory in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n* , Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [14] M. Stoll, *A characterization of Hardy spaces on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n* , J. London Math. Soc. (2) **48** (1993), no. 1, 126–136.
- [15] M. Stoll, *Invariant potential theory in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n* , London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 199. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.