Nonperturbative improvement on anisotropic lattices

Tetsuya Onogi (YITP, Kyoto-Univ.)

Hideo Matsufuru (CRC, KEK)

Takashi Umeda (YITP, Kyoto-Univ.)

Hidenori Fukaya (YITP, Kyoto-Univ.)

2-4 February 2004 Tsukuba-Univ.

"Nonperturbative improvement and Renormalization"

created by OpenOffice.org

Contents

Anisotropic lattices for heavy quark physics

1) Introduction

Our motivation, Present status

2) Nonperturbative O(a) improvement on anisotropic lattices

Strategy, Numerical simulation

3) Summary & Outlook

Introduction

Our motivation:

to provide hadronic matrix elements for flavor physics with high precision

Recent experimental developments in flavor physics

--- B factories, Charm factory

→ need precise theoretical predictions (~2%) of hadron matrix elements

decay constants, bag parameters, form factors, quark masses, light-cone wave functions, etc.

Why anisotropic lattice ?

Problem in lattice QCD calculations:

for heavy quarks (*c* and *b*), large $O(m_q a)$ error

We need a framework in which has

(i) continuum limit → OK

(ii) systematic improvement → next page

such as nonperturbarive renormalization technique (for $m_q = 0$)

(iii) modest size of computation → OK

To achieve calculations with ~2% precision, we need

yet another approach which satisfies above conditions (i)-(iii).

→ our proposal: Anisotropic lattice $\xi = a_s / a_t$

Our expectation

For $m_q \ll 1/a_t$ (not necessarily $m_q \ll 1/a_s$)
mass dependences of parameters in the action are so small that the tuned parameters for massless quark are also applicable in such a quark mass region

Then, systematic improvement is possible !
(performed at $m_q \sim 0$)

To be justified numerically, and in perturbation theory.

- Tree level : OK
- O(a^0) improved version : OK (2% accuracy)
- High precision : in progress

Quark action

$$S_F = \sum_{x,y} \bar{\psi}(x) K(x,y) \psi(y)$$

$$K(x,y) = \delta_{x,y} - \kappa_t \left[(1-\gamma_4) U_4(x) \delta_{x+\hat{4},y} + (1+\gamma_4) U_4(x-\hat{4}) \delta_{x-\hat{4},y} \right]$$
$$- \kappa_s \sum_i \left[(r-\gamma_i) U_i(x) \delta_{x+\hat{i},y} + (r+\gamma_i) U_i(x-\hat{i}) \delta_{x-\hat{i},y} \right]$$
$$- \kappa_s c_E \sum_i \sigma_{4i} F_{4i}(x) \delta_{x,y} + r \kappa_s c_B \sum_{i>j} \sigma_{ij} F_{ij}(x) \delta_{x,y}$$

T.Umeda et al., Int. J. Mod. Phys. A16 (2001) 2215.

J.Harada et al., Phys. Rev. D64 (2001) 074501.

- constructed following the Fermilab approach

  El-Khadra et al., Phys. Rev. D55 (1997) 3933.

- $r = 1/\xi$ (action retains explicit Lorentz invariant form)
- γ_F, c_E, c_B must be tuned

Our previous results

- One-loop perturbative calculation shows

  that $m_q a_t$ dependence under control

  Harada et al., Phys. Rev. D64 (2001) 074501.

Numerical simulation (in quenched approx., tadpole tree c_E & c_B)

- Quark mass dependence of γ_F is small for $m_q a_t \ll 1$

  Matsufuru et al., Phys. Rev. D64 (2001) 114503.

- Relativity relation of heavy-light meson well holds for $m_a a_t \ll 1$

  using massless tuned γ_F

  Harada et al., Phys. Rev. D66 (2002) 014509.

- Heavy-light decay constant

  consistent with previous result & with O(10%) accuracy

  Matsufuru et al., hep-lat/0209090

Encouraging results for further development !!

For high precision study

Calibrations of parameters to 0.2% level of accuracy

in quenched approximation

→ Applicable to a few percent calculation of matrix elements

- Gauge field:

  O(0.2%) calibration is possible *Matufuru et al, Lattice2003*

- Quark field → the latter half of this talk

- Test in heavy quark region

↓

Applications to heavy-light matrix elements

Strategy for the improvement

Five parameters $(\gamma_F, c_E, c_B, c_A, \kappa_c)$ should be determined

Calibration step

(1) Schrödinger functional method

→ tuning of c_E, (c_B)

(2) Spectroscopy in coarse and fine directions on lattice with T,L>2fm

Physical isotropy condition for m_{PS}, m_V

→ tuning of γ_F, c_B

(3) Schrödinger functional method

→ determine c_A, κ_c

(4) Check of systematic error

Light hadron spectrum and dispersion relation,

Taking the continuum limit

Determination of c_E

according to the Alpha collaboration's program

$$T = 2L\xi\,, \qquad \theta_k = 0$$

$$(\phi_1\, \phi_2\, \phi_3) = \frac{1}{6}(-\pi\,, 0\,\pi)$$

$$(\phi'_1, \phi'_2, \phi'_3) = \frac{1}{6}(-5\pi\,, 2\pi\,, 3\pi)$$

- Improvement condition

  ΔM at finite L/a with classical background field

- determination at high β

- determination at low β

Test on isotropic lattice



- consistent with Alpha collaboration result
- c_E is well determined by a background field, c_B is not so

Result at high β on anisotropic lattice



on anisotropic lattice

$\beta = 9.5$

$8^3 \times 64 \qquad \xi = 4$

gluonic anisotropy from

Klassen, NPB

mean-field from

plaquette in 1-loop calc.

tadpole tree $c_E = 1.13$

- nonperturbative improved c_E is close to tree level value
- ΔM is almost independent of c_B

Result at β = 6.1 on anisotropic lattice



on anisotropic lattice

$\beta = 6.1$

$8^3 \times 64 \qquad \xi = 4$

gluonic anisotropy from

Matsufuru et al, PRD

tadpole tree $c_E = 1.26$

$a_s^{-1} = 20\,\mathrm{GeV}$

- nonperturbative improved c_E is determined on a practical lattice
- ΔM is not so sensitive to c_B

Determination of γ_F

γ_F is determined from meson mass ratio in fine to coarse direction

test on $\beta = 5.75, \quad 12^2 \times 24 \times 96, \quad a_s^{-1} = 1.1\,\mathrm{GeV}$ 136config.





- γ_F is roughly determined
- this results is consistent with γ_F from dispersion relation of meson
- C_B dependence is mild

Determination of c_B

c_B is determined from

meson mass splitting ratio in fine to coarse direction





- meson mass splitting is too noisy
- c_B dependence is mild (?)

Effective mass plot

using local operators

each meson mass is fitted with t=30~48, z=8~12





for improvement

- using smeared operators
- Schrödinger Functional method with coarse temporal direction

Summary

- We are developing anisotropic lattices for precise computation of heavy-light matrix elements.
- Results obtained so far are encouraging for further development.
- We have started precise calibrations of gauge and quark fields in quenched approximation.

<u>Nonperturbative improvement of anisotropic quark action</u>

- c_E is well determined by Schrödinger functional method
- γ_F is determined by meson mass ratio in large volume simulation
- c_B suffers from large statistical noise

Outlook

- How can we verify that parameters in $m_q = 0$ suffices for heavy quark mass ?
- Mass dependent tuning for bottom quark region
- Applications to heavy-light matrix elements
- Extension to dynamical QCD

<u>Nonperturbative improvement of anisotropic quark action</u>

- determination of c_B
  - Smeared operators
  - Schrödinger functional with coarse time direction

Improvement condition

$\Delta \mathrm{M}$ in a classical background field is determined numerically



$$\Delta \mathrm{M} = \Delta \mathrm{M}\big|_{\mathrm{M}=0,\ c_E=1,\ \gamma_F=\xi}$$

at $\mathrm{L}/a_s = 8$

L	T	ξ	$\Delta \mathrm{M} \times 10^4$
8	16	1	2.77
10	20	1	2.19
8	64	4	0.0690
10	80	4	0.0441