Makoto Uemura (Hiroshima University) @IUCAA "Transients and Timing" 2013.03.05 Accretion Disk Tomography — New Model with New Data —

# Contents

• Cataclysmic variables and tomography

• Disk height mapping

Oppler tomography

### Cataclysmic Variables

- White dwarf + red dwarf
  - Orbital period: 80 min – a few hours
     (some systems have Porb>1 day)
- Nova, dwarf nova, novalike, magnetic CV

Accretion disk (dominant source in outburst)



# Tomography of accretion disks

- We want to know the accretion disk structure and its evolution
  - Geometrical structure
  - Intensity distribution
  - Temperature distribution
- The disk structure cannot directly be resolved on images.
  - Too small angular size
- Observation at one phase
  - = A section of the disk at one viewing angle
  - $\rightarrow$  Tomography



Marsh+00

### Accretion disk tomography: Examples

- Eclipse mapping (Horne 85; Baptista+93)
  - From the light curve
  - To the intensity map

- Doppler tomography (Marsh+88)
  - From the emission-line profile
  - To the intensity map in the velocity space



### Disk height mapping (Uemura+12, PASJ, 64, 92)

# Early superhump

• Only observed in WZ Sge-type dwarf novae

- Period = Porb
- Doubly-peaked profile
- Rotation effect of the disk having nonaxisymmetric structure



Zoo of early superhumps (Kato , et al. 2002). Larger amplitude in edge-on systems.



# Reconstruction of the geometrical structure from early superhumps

8/22

#### • Data = Time-series multi-band photometric data

- Phase information  $\rightarrow$  azimuthal structure of disks
- Color information  $\rightarrow$  radial structure of disks



# Model

Input Multiband light curves

#### Model Bayesian estimation of the height, h(i,j) $P(h) \propto L[f_{\nu,obs}(\phi), f_{\nu,model}(\phi)]\pi(h)$ Posterior likelihood prior \*Likelihood function $L \propto \prod_{i,j} \exp -\frac{[f_{\nu_i,obs}(\phi_j) - f_{\nu_i,model}(\phi_j)]^2}{2\sigma^2}$ \* Prior distribution (locally smooth) $\pi_{\rm smooth}(h) \propto \prod_{l,m} [\exp{-\frac{(h_{l,m}-2h_{l-1,m}+h_{l-2,m})^2}{2w^2}}$ $\exp -\frac{(h_{l,m}-2h_{l,m-1}+h_{l,m-2})^2}{2m^2}],$ 10 10 10 M

$$\pi_{\text{disk}} \propto \begin{cases} \prod_{l,m} \exp{-\frac{(h_{l,m} - h_{\text{disk},l,m})^2}{2h_{\text{disk},l,m}^2}} & (h_{l,m} \ge 0) \\ 0 & (h_{l,m} < 0) \end{cases}$$

\* Estimation of "h" is done with MCMC

\* The temperature distribution is like an standard disk model:

$$T = T_{\rm in} (\frac{r}{r_{\rm in}})^{-3/4}$$

Output <u>Height map of disk</u>

9/22

### Demonstration with artificial data sets

- Working as expected
  - Outer structures are reconstructed to be outer, and vice versa
  - Smoother structures than assumed, due to the prior distribution.



# Data

- Dwarf nova V455 And
- 8 Sep. 2007
  - The 5<sup>th</sup> day of outburst
- Telescope
  - 1.5m Kanata (V, J, Ks)
  - 50cm MITSuME (g, Rc, Ic)





12/22

# Reconstruction of the disk using the data of V455 And

- Flaring outermost parts making primary and secondary maxima of the light curve
- Arm-like structures
- Useful for the comparison with numerical simulations.
  - SPH
  - hydro-dynamic



Arm- (spiral?) like structure

## Doppler tomography with total variation minimization (Uemura, et al. in prep.)

# Doppler tomography

(Horne 85; Baptista+93)

Phase 0.5

Observe

Reconstruct

Emission line

0 Velocity (km/s)

-2000

Intensity map on

Phase

0.25

Observe

the velocity space

- Data (Input)
  - Time variation in emission-line profiles
- Estimates (Output)
  - Intensity map in the velocity space



# MEM & TVM

- Maximum Entropy Method (MEM)
  - Standard method to date
  - Regularization:

$$S = -\sum_{i=1}^{M} p_i \ln \frac{p_i}{q_i}.$$
$$q_i = \frac{D_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} D_j},$$

- MEM is statistically best, but physically best?
  - Hot spot and/or shock region may have sharp edges, making entropy low

- Total Variation Minimization (TVM)
  - Simple prior
  - Regularization:

$$TV(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum \sqrt{(\Delta^h \boldsymbol{x})^2 + (\Delta^v \boldsymbol{x})^2}$$

- $\Delta x$ : differential operator =  $x_{i+1}$   $x_i$
- Sparse gradient



### Test

- Well reconstructed
  - Size
  - Position
  - Intensity
  - Structure (flat top & gaussian)

17/22

• The Residual of observations = 0.8%

### Variation of the H $\alpha$ line (Nogami+04)

# Data

- Dwarf nova WZ Sge
- 1 Aug 2001
  - (the 10<sup>th</sup> day of the outburst)
- Telescope
  - 122-cm Asiago
  - Resolution ~6Å





18/22



Radial velocity (km/s)

# Disk height & Line forming region

- Disk height mapping
  Data: V455 And (the 5<sup>th</sup> day of outburst)
- Doppler tomography
  - Data: WZ Sge
    (the 10<sup>th</sup> day of outburst)
- Similar type of object
- Similar state of the accretion disk









# Disk height & Line forming region

Contour: disk height Color map: line source

- Large Height region ≠ strong line-forming region
  - Irradiation from the central region may not play an important role
- The strong line-forming region precedes the large height region
  - Compressed, then flared?



# Summary

• Tomography is a powerful tool to study accretion disks.

- New data need new methods
  - Disk height mapping using light curves of early superhumps
  - Doppler tomography with total variation minimization

• It is important to keep up with new methods.