24-P-45 TOKIMEKI2011, Nov. 24, 2011





Interplay between crystal electric field and magnetic exchange anisotropies in the heavy fermion antiferromagnet YbRhSb under pressure

K. Umeo N-BARD, Hiroshima University

Collaborators

H. Yamane, H. Kubo, Y. Muro, F. Nakamura, T. Suzuki, and T. Takabatake, ADSM, Hiroshima Univ.

K. Sengupta, M. K. Forthaus, and M. M. Abd-Elmeguid, Univ. Köln, Germany



Yb compounds with the orthorhombic ε -TiNiSi type structure 3



Complicated magnetic behavior arises from the competition between the anisotropic exchange interaction with the easy *c*-axis and the CEF anisotropy with the easy *a*-axis.

Weak ferromagnetism of YbRhSb $(T_M = 2.7 \text{K}, M r = 3 \times 10^{-3} \mu_B/\text{Yb})[1]$ ④



Heavy-fermion behaviour of YbRhSb [1]





Determine pressure dependences of the Kondo and RKKY interactions.

Experiments

- Resistivity (ρ) AC 4-terminal method Pressure cell : piston-cylinder type P_{max}=2.5 GPa T : 0.3 K ~ 300 K
- 2. Magnetization (*M*) SQUID magnetometer (MPMS) *B*: 0 ~ 5 T Pressure cell : indenter cell [6] Gasket : NiCrAl+Cu-Be *P*_{max}=2.5 GPa

Pressure medium : Daphne oil



6

Design of our calorimeter for high pressures [7]

 \bigcirc



- The hollow at the anvil top \Rightarrow It prevents expansion of the sample space over the anvil top.
- Pressure \Rightarrow superconducting temperature of In.
- *P*_{max}=3 GPa.
- 0.5 < T < 7 K (³He cryostat)
- Detection of AC component of the sample temperature \Rightarrow Lock-in Amp.

Experiments up to 20 GPa

(8)

- Resistivity (ρ) DC 4-terminal method Pressure cell : a diamond anvil cell (DAC) T: 1.5 K ~ 300 K Pressure determination : ruby luminescence method
- Pressure dependence of lattice parameters Pressure cell : DAC Pressure medium : N₂ Pressure determination : gold marker in the sample chamber
 - energy-dispersive x-ray diffraction (EDXRD) at the Hamburger Synchrotronstrahlungslabor (HASYLAB)

Resistivity under pressures



(Data sets for each value of *P* are shifted upward consecutively by 60 $\mu\Omega$ cm for clarity).

Magnetic susceptibility M/B(T) for B II a and B II b under various pressures



(10)



Magnetization curves of YbRhSb under various pressures



P >1.75 GPa, ferromagnetic behavior with the *M*r of 0.3 $\mu_{\rm B}$ /Yb

(1)







(12)

Specific heat of YbRhSb under various pressures



P=0

The data agrees with the previous one [6].

P=1.5 GPa Thermal hysteresis of *C*(*T*) around *T*_{M1} \Rightarrow 1st order transition

P >2 GPa Specific heat jumps become larger.

(Data sets for each value of P are shifted upward consecutively by 0.5 J/K²mol for clarity.)

(13)



(14)





structure with ordered moments of 0.1 μ_B /Yb lying almost parallel to the *b* axis below T_{M1} [10].

appears along the *c* axis below T_{M3} .

with moments of $0.4 \,\mu_{B}/\text{Yb}$ lying in the *b*-*c* plane.

(16)



 $\rho(T, P)$ shows a double maximum structure.

The broad maximum at high temperatures is suggested to be due to an incoherent scattering of the conduction electrons at the first excited crystal field level.

With increasing pressure, the maximum at high temperature (around 105 K at ambient pressure) is shifted to higher temperatures.

Pressure dependence of T_{M3}





Pressure dependence of lattice parameters

(19)

We obtain a smooth variation of the lattice parameters (a, b and c) and the volume which exclude any structural changes up to 19 GPa.

bulk modulus $B_0 = 114(9)$ GPa and its pressure derivative $dB_0/dP = 5(1)$

Volume dependence of $T_{\rm M}$ for YbRhSb and YbNiSn

(20)



At higher pressures, we find that T_{M3} for YbRhSb exhibits qualitatively similar pressure dependence as the Curie temperature (T_{C}) of YbNiSn.

Summary I Pressure-induced ferromagnetic order in YbRhSb

- For a weak ferromagnet YbRhSb with $T_{M1} = 2.7$ K at P = 0, resistivity, DC magnetization and specific heat have been measured on a single crystal under pressures up to 2.5 GPa.
- For 0.9 < P < 1.5 GPa, another magnetic transition occurs at T_{M2} above T_{M1} , and T_{M1} has a deep minimum of 2.5 K at P_{C} = 1.7 GPa.
- $T_{\rm K}$ decreases rapidly with increasing pressure, but remains at a constant value above 1.5 GPa.
- For *P* ≥ 2.5 GPa, a ferromagnetic state is induced with ordered moments lying in the *b*-*c* plane.
- In the ferromagnetic state, the magnetization curve for B || a exhibits a sharp metamagnetic transition at around 1.5 T.
- The change of the magnetically ordered state arises from the competition among the Kondo effect (*T*_K), anisotropic RKKY interaction (*T*_{RKKY}), and CEF anisotropic energy (*T*_Δ).
- The above competition among the three interactions determine the complex magnetism of Yb compounds.

Future studies

Magnetic structures under pressures <= Neutron diffraction and Mössbauer spectroscopy



Summary II Ferromagnetic order in YbRhSb at high pressures

(22)

- The pressure dependence of the ordering temperature T_{M3} of the FM state has been further investigated up to about 20 GPa using electrical resistivity measurements.
- We found that for P > 2.5 GPa T_{M3} rapidly increases to about 7 K, going through a broad maximum, and then slightly decreases with increasing pressure above 8 GPa.
- No the structural change up to 19 GPa was observed by x-ray diffraction measurements at room temperature.
- The enhancement of T_{M3} for P > 2.5 GPa is attributed to an increase of the CEF anisotropy with respect to magnetic exchange anisotropy.
- The obtained magnetic phase diagram of YbRhSb as a function of the unit cell volume has been compared with that of the isostructural HF ferromagnet YbNiSn.

References

- [1] Y. Muro, K. Umeo et al., Phys. Rev. B 69 (2004) 020401(R).
- [2] M. Kasaya et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 60 (1991) 3145.
- [3] K. Drescher *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Let. **77** (1996) 3228.
- [4] G. Knebel et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 75 (2006) 114709
- [5] C. Schank *et al.*, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **140-144** (1995) 1237.
- [6] T. C. Kobayashi *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **78** (2007) 023909.
- [7] H. Kubo, K. Umeo and T. Takabatake: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 76 (2007) Suppl. A, 221.
- [8] G. Grüner and A. Zawadowski, Rept. Prog. Phys. **37** (1974) 1497.
- [9] H. Mori et al., J. Low Temp, Phys, 58 (1985) 513.
- [10] H. Tou, private communications.