# Renormalization Group Effects on Rank Degenerate Matrices in the Type-I Seesaw Model



Nicholas J. Benoit (Hiroshima University D3) with T. Morozumi (HU, CORE-U); Y. Shimizu (HU, CORE-U); K. Takagi; A. Yuu



arXiv: in preparation

# Outline

- Introduction
  - Massive Neutrinos
  - Type-I Seesaw Model
- 3-2 Type-I Seesaw
- Rank Degenerate, 3-3 Type-I Seesaw
- Conclusion

#### Introduction

- The Standard Model is our best theory for 3 fundamental forces of nature
  - However, it is not a complete model



#### Introduction

• Can we have a single model that explains all of these questions?

Which type of mass do neutrinos have?

 $\overline{\nu_R} m_D \nu_L$  *Dirac Mass* 



Is the lightest neutrino zero mass?

$$(m_{\nu_e}^{(\text{eff})})^2 < 1.1 \text{eV}^2$$

Why does a large scale hierarchy exist?



PLANK, 2018 PDG, 2021

- Type-I Seesaw Model is an extension of the SM
  - Introduced to explain Neutrino masses



new right-handed singlet neutrinos

neutral under SM gauge symmetries

[Minkowski, P. 1977] [Yanagida, T. 1979] [Gell-Mann, M. Etc 1979] [Glashow, S. L. 1980] [Mohapatra, R. N. 1980] [Fukugita, M. & Yanagida, T. 1986]

- Type-I Seesaw Model is an extension of the SM
  - Introduced to explain Neutrino masses



- The new right-handed neutrinos are heavy
  - Right-handed neutrino mass is close to GUT energy scales



- The new right-handed neutrinos are heavy
  - Right-handed neutrino mass is close to GUT energy scales



8/39

- For our work we are interested in the left-handed neutrino masses
  - Effective mass is renormalization scale dependent

$$m_{eff}(\mu) = -\frac{v^2}{4} \underline{\kappa(\mu)} - \frac{v^2}{2} y_{\nu}(\mu) \frac{1}{M_R(\mu)} \underline{y}_{\nu}^T(\mu)$$
 Neutrino Yukawa coupling  
Weinberg Operator Right-handed Majorana mass

[Antusch, S. Etc 2001] [Antusch, S. Etc 2003] [Antusch, S. Etc 2005]

$$m_{eff}(\mu) = -\frac{v^2}{4}\kappa(\mu) - \frac{v^2}{2}y_{\nu}(\mu)\frac{1}{M_R(\mu)}y_{\nu}^T(\mu)$$

- For our work we are interested in the left-handed neutrino masses
  - Focus on the one-loop RGEs for,

Weinberg Operator  $-16\pi^2 \frac{d\kappa(t)}{dt} = (y_{\nu}(t)y_{\nu}(t)^{\dagger})\kappa(t) + \kappa(t)(y_{\nu}(t)y_{\nu}(t)^{\dagger})^T + \alpha_{\kappa}(t)\kappa(t)$ Neutrino Yukawa coupling  $-16\pi^2 \frac{dy_{\nu}(t)}{dt} = \left(\frac{3}{2}(y_{\nu}(t)y_{\nu}(t)^{\dagger}) + \alpha_{y_{\nu}}(t)\right)y_{\nu}(t)$ Right-handed Majorana mass  $-16\pi^2 \frac{dM_R(t)}{dt} = \left(y_\nu(t)^{\dagger} y_\nu(t)\right)^T M_R(t) + M_R(t) y_\nu(t)^{\dagger} y_\nu(t)$ [Antusch, S. Etc 2001] [Antusch, S. Etc 2003] [Antusch, S. Etc 2005] 2nd IITB-Hiroshima Workshop (online 2021)

 $t \equiv \log \frac{M_{R\,n}}{m}$ 

# Outline

- Introduction
  - Massive Neutrinos
  - Type-I Seesaw Model
- 3-2 Type-I Seesaw
- Rank Degenerate, 3-3 Type-I Seesaw
- Conclusion

• We start with 3-2 as it is the simplest model still allowed by experiments

$$\Lambda \xrightarrow{\text{Energy}} M_R, y_\nu \xrightarrow{M_2} M_2 \xrightarrow{(2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ M_R, y_\nu} M_1 \xrightarrow{(1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \ (1) \$$

- 2 Right-Handed neutrinos
- The zero mass holds for all energy scales as we will show

$$\operatorname{Rank}[m_{eff}] = 2 \qquad \operatorname{Rank}[m_{eff}^{(1)}] = 2$$
$$\operatorname{diag}[m_{eff}] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \operatorname{diag}[m_{eff}^{(1)}] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

• We start with 3-2 as it is the simplest model still allowed by experiments

$$\Lambda \xrightarrow{\text{Energy}} M_R, y_\nu \xrightarrow{M_2} M_2 \xrightarrow{(2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2) \ (2)$$

• Start with high-energy rank calculation

$$m_{eff}(0) = -\frac{v^2}{2}y_{\nu}(0)\frac{1}{M_R(0)}y_{\nu}^T(0)$$

p

Explicitly the Rank can be determined via two methods for a square, complex effective mass matrix

• How to calculate, start at energies equal to heaviest neutrino mass



• Continue integrating energy until next heavy neutrino



Solutions to the RGEs in this region are given as

#### **RGEs Matrix Kernel Solutions**

$$\overset{(2)}{W}(t) \equiv T \exp\left[-\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^t \overset{(2)}{y_\nu}(s) \overset{(2)}{y_\nu}(s)^\dagger ds\right]$$

$$\overset{(2)}{U}(t) \equiv T \exp\left[-\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^t \frac{3}{2} \overset{(2)}{y_\nu}(s) \overset{(2)}{y_\nu}(s)^\dagger ds\right]$$

Continue integrating energy until next heavy neutrino



• Solve for Rank of the low energy mass matrix



$$m_{eff}^{(1)}(t_1) = -\frac{v^2}{4} \overset{(1)}{\kappa}(t_1) = -\frac{v^2}{4} \overset{(2)}{y_{\nu}}(t_1) \frac{1}{\binom{(2)}{M_R(t_1)}} \overset{(2)}{y_{\nu}}(t_1)^T - \frac{v^2}{4} \overset{(2)}{\kappa}(t_1)$$

Sub in the solutions to the RGEs

$$m_{eff}^{(1)}(t_1) = -\frac{v^2}{4} \frac{y_{\nu}^{(2)}(t_1)}{y_{\nu}^{(2)}(t_1)} \frac{1}{\frac{y_{\nu}^{(2)}(t_1)}{M_R(t_1)}} \frac{y_{\nu}^{(2)}(t_1)}{y_{\nu}^{(2)}(t_1)} = -\frac{v^2}{4} \frac{y_{\nu}^{(2)}(t_1)}{y_{\nu}^{(2)}(t_1)} \frac{1}{y_{\nu}^{(2)}(t_1)} \frac{y_{\nu}^{(2)}(t_1)}{y_{\nu}^{(2)}(t_1)} \frac{1}{y_{\nu}^{(2)}(t_1)} \frac{y_{\nu}^{(2)}(t_1)}{y_{\nu}^{(2)}(t_1)} \frac{y_{\nu}^{(2)}(t_1$$

• Solve for Rank of the low energy mass matrix



Physical Mass of heavy neutrino after RGE effects, Just a number

• Solve for Rank of the low energy mass matrix



$$m_{eff}^{(1)}(t_1) = -X \, y_{\nu 1}'(0) y_{\nu 1}'(0)^T - Z \, y_{\nu 2}'(0) y_{\nu 2}'(0)^T$$

Combination of 2 transformed Yukawa vectors construct the mass matrix, suggests Rank is 2

• Solve for Rank of the low energy mass matrix



Explicitly the Rank can be determined via two methods for a square, complex effective mass matrix





# Outline

- Introduction
  - Massive Neutrinos
  - Type-I Seesaw Model
- 3-2 Type-I Seesaw
- Rank Degenerate, 3-3 Type-I Seesaw
- Conclusion

• The 3-3 model is the next simplest model

$$\Lambda \xrightarrow[M_R, y_{\nu}]{M_3} \xrightarrow[\kappa, M_R, y_{\nu}]{(3)} M_2} \xrightarrow[\kappa, M_R, y_{\nu}]{(2)} M_2 \xrightarrow[\kappa, M_R, y_{\nu}]{(2)} M_1} \xrightarrow[\kappa]{(1)}$$

- 3 Right-handed neutrinos
- We construct a Rank degenerate mass matrix at the high energy scale

$$m_{eff} = -\frac{v^2}{2} y_{\nu} \frac{1}{M_R} y_{\nu}^T \quad \longrightarrow \quad \text{Rank}[m_{eff}] = 2$$

• The 3-3 model is the next simplest model

$$\Lambda \xrightarrow[M_R, y_{\nu}]{M_3} \xrightarrow[\kappa, M_R, y_{\nu}]{(3)} M_2} \xrightarrow[\kappa, M_R, y_{\nu}]{(2)} M_2 \xrightarrow[\kappa, M_R, y_{\nu}]{(2)} M_1} \xrightarrow[\kappa]{(1)}$$

- 3 Right-handed neutrinos
- We construct a Rank degenerate mass matrix at the high energy scale

$$m_{eff} = -\frac{v^2}{2} y_{\nu} \frac{1}{M_R} y_{\nu}^T \quad \longrightarrow \quad \text{Rank}[m_{eff}] = 2$$

We can achieve that by constructing the Yukawa matrix,

$$y_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & y_{12} & ay_{11} + by_{12} \\ y_{21} & y_{22} & ay_{21} + by_{22} \\ y_{31} & y_{32} & ay_{31} + by_{32} \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Clearly the 3}^{\text{rd}} \text{ column is a linear} \\ \text{combination of the first 2 columns} \end{array} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Rank}[m_{eff}] = 2 \\ \end{array}$$

• The 3-3 model is the next simplest model

$$\Lambda \xrightarrow[M_R, y_{\nu}]{M_3} \xrightarrow[\kappa, M_R, y_{\nu}]{(3)} M_2} \xrightarrow[\kappa, M_R, y_{\nu}]{(2)} M_2 \xrightarrow[\kappa, M_R, y_{\nu}]{(2)} M_1} \xrightarrow[\kappa]{(1)}$$

- 3 Right-handed neutrinos
- We construct a Rank degenerate mass matrix at the high energy scale

$$m_{eff} = -\frac{v^2}{2} y_{\nu} \frac{1}{M_R} y_{\nu}^T \quad \longrightarrow \quad \text{Rank}[m_{eff}] = 2$$

We can achieve that by constructing the Yukawa matrix,

$$y_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & y_{12} & ay_{11} + by_{12} \\ y_{21} & y_{22} & ay_{21} + by_{22} \\ y_{31} & y_{32} & ay_{31} + by_{32} \end{pmatrix}$$
In terms of matrix invariants of the Characteristic Polynomial, importantly the lowest order term is zero
$$p_{eff} = \det\left(m_{eff}^{\dagger}m_{eff} - \lambda\mathbf{1}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{3} I_k \lambda^k$$

$$p_{eff} = \det\left(m_{eff}^{\dagger}m_{eff} - \lambda\mathbf{1}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{3} I_k \lambda^k$$
25/39





$$\begin{split} m_{eff}^{(1)}(t_{1}) &= -\frac{v^{2}}{4}e^{-\frac{1}{16\pi^{2}}\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}}ds\alpha_{y_{\nu}}^{(2)}(s)}e^{-\frac{2}{16\pi^{2}}\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}}ds\alpha_{y_{\nu}}^{(3)}(s)}\overset{(2)}{U}(t_{1}-t_{2})\overset{(3)}{U}(t_{2})\left(y_{\nu1}^{(3)}(0)\overset{(3)}{V_{11}^{*}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)\overset{(3)}{V_{21}^{*}}\right)\frac{1}{(2)}}{M_{R}(t_{1})}\left(y_{\nu1}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}^{*}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{21}^{*}}\right)\overset{(3)}{U}(t_{2})^{T}\overset{(3)}{U}(t_{2})^{T}\overset{(2)}{U}(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}\\ &-\frac{v^{2}}{4}e^{-\frac{1}{16\pi^{2}}\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}}ds\alpha_{\kappa}^{(2)}(s)}e^{-\frac{1}{16\pi^{2}}\int_{0}^{t_{2}}ds\alpha_{\kappa}^{(3)}(s)}\overset{(2)}{W}(t_{1}-t_{2})\overset{(3)}{W}(t_{2})y_{\nu3}^{(3)}(0)\frac{1}{(3)}\underbrace{y_{\nu3}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{W}(t_{2})^{T}\overset{(3)}{W}(t_{2})^{T}\overset{(3)}{W}(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}}\\ &-\frac{v^{2}}{4}e^{-\frac{1}{16\pi^{2}}\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}}ds\alpha_{\kappa}^{(2)}(s)}e^{-\frac{2}{16\pi^{2}}\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}}ds\alpha_{y_{\nu}}^{(3)}(s)}\overset{(2)}{W}(t_{1}-t_{2})\overset{(3)}{U}(t_{2})\left(y_{\nu1}^{(3)}(0)\overset{(3)}{V_{12}^{*}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)\overset{(3)}{V_{22}^{*}}\right)\frac{1}{M_{O2}(t_{2})}\left(y_{\nu1}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{12}^{*}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{22}^{*}}\right)\frac{1}{W(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}}\\ &-\frac{v^{2}}{4}e^{-\frac{1}{16\pi^{2}}\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}}ds\alpha_{\kappa}^{(2)}(s)}e^{-\frac{2}{16\pi^{2}}\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}}ds\alpha_{y_{\nu}}^{(3)}(s)\overset{(2)}{W}(t_{1}-t_{2})\overset{(3)}{W}(t_{1}-t_{2})\overset{(3)}{U}(t_{2})\left(y_{\nu1}^{(3)}(0)\overset{(3)}{V_{12}^{*}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)\overset{(3)}{V_{22}^{*}}\right)\frac{1}{M_{O2}(t_{2})}\left(y_{\nu1}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{12}^{*}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{22}^{*}}\right)\frac{1}{W(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}}\\ &-\frac{v^{2}}{4}e^{-\frac{1}{16\pi^{2}}\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}}ds\alpha_{\kappa}^{(3)}(s)\overset{(2)}{W}(t_{1}-t_{2})\overset{(3)}{W}(t_{1}-t_{2})\overset{(3)}{W}(t_{2})}\left(y_{\nu1}^{(3)}(0)\overset{(3)}{V_{12}^{*}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)\overset{(3)}{V_{22}^{*}}\right)\frac{1}{M_{O2}(t_{2})}\left(y_{\nu1}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{12}^{*}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{22}^{*}}\right)\frac{1}{W(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}}\frac{1}{W(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}}\frac{1}{W(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}}\frac{1}{W(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}}\frac{1}{W(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}}\frac{1}{W(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}}\frac{1}{W(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}}\frac{1}{W(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}}\frac{1}{W(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}}\frac{1}{W(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}}\frac{1}{W(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}}\frac{1}{W(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}}\frac{1}{W(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}}\frac{1}{W(t_{1}-t_{2})^{T}$$



$$\begin{split} m_{eff}^{(1)}(t_{1}) &= -\frac{v^{2}}{4}e^{-\frac{1}{16\pi^{2}}\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}}ds\alpha_{y_{\nu}}^{(2)}(s)}e^{-\frac{2}{16\pi^{2}}\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}}ds\alpha_{y_{\nu}}^{(3)}(s)} \underbrace{U}^{(1)}(t_{1}-t_{2})\overset{(3)}{U}(t_{2})}\left(\underbrace{y_{\nu1}^{(3)}(0)\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)\overset{(3)}{V_{21}}}\right)\frac{1}{\underbrace{M_{R}(t_{1})}}\left(\underbrace{y_{\nu1}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{11}}+y_{\nu2}^{(3)}(0)^{T}\overset{(3)}{V_{1$$



• Same as the 3-2 Model, we integrate down to the low energy scale



The RGE kernels are 3 different linear transformations to be applied to the Yukawa vectors

 $\mathbf{T}_{UU} \equiv \overset{(2)}{U}(t_1 - t_2)\overset{(3)}{U}(t_2) \qquad \qquad \mathbf{T}_{WW} \equiv \overset{(2)}{W}(t_1 - t_2)\overset{(3)}{W}(t_2) \qquad \qquad \mathbf{T}_{WU} \equiv \overset{(2)}{W}(t_1 - t_2)\overset{(3)}{U}(t_2)$ 

• Same as the 3-2 Model, we integrate down to the low energy scale

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M_{R},y_{\nu}}^{\text{RGE Quantum Effects}} \underbrace{\text{Energy}}_{M_{R},y_{\nu}} \underbrace{(3) \atop (3) \atop (3) \atop (3) \atop (3) \atop (3) \atop (3) \atop (4) \atop ($$

The transformed Yukawa vectors combine to form 3 unique eigenvectors for the mass matrix

• Same as the 3-2 Model, we integrate down to the low energy scale

**RGE Quantum Effects** Energy  ${}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{K}}$ In our case, we take an initial condition to reduce the unique Yukawa vectors to 2  $\overset{(3)}{y_{\nu3}}(0) \equiv a \overset{(3)}{y_{\nu1}}(0) + b \overset{(3)}{y_{\nu2}}(0)$  $\operatorname{diag}[m_{eff}] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_2 \end{pmatrix}$ Recall our condition lead to a Rank degenerate mass matrix, with a Rank of 2  $\operatorname{Rank}[m_{eff}] = 2$ at the 'Full Theory' energy scale

• Same as the 3-2 Model, we integrate down to the low energy scale



Different from the 'Full Theory' case, we now have 3 transformations to consider





Sample plot of RGE running in 3-3 Model



# Outline

- Introduction
  - Massive Neutrinos
  - Type-I Seesaw Model
- 3-2 Type-I Seesaw
- Rank Degenerate, 3-3 Type-I Seesaw
- Conclusion

#### Conclusion

- We have shown the RGE effects on a Rank degenerate Yukawa matrix in the Type-I seesaw model
- We find it is possible to have a massless neutrino at high energies become massive at low energies in 3-3 Model
- This is contrary to usual case where a massless neutrino is always massless (i.e. 3-2 model)



Thank you! Questions?