# Towards the minimal seesaw model for the prediction of neutrino CP violation

Kenta Takagi (Hiroshima Univ.)

7, March, 2018 at Hiroshima Univ.

JHEP 1711 (2017) 201 & Phys. Lett. B 778 (2018) 6

Y. Shimizu (Hiroshima Univ.), KT, M. Tanimoto (Niigata Univ.)

- Introduction

--- Background and motivation

- Model

--- Setup for minimal seesaw model

- Prediction of Dirac CP violating phase

- Baryon asymmetry in the Universe (BAU) and CP violation

- Summary and discussions

#### Introduction

## Background and motivation

CP violating interaction is necessary for the Baryon Asymmetry in the Universe (BAU).

Sakharov's three conditions

- Baryon number violation
- C and CP violation
- Interact out of thermal equilibrium era

#### Kobayashi-Maskawa model :

Mixing among three flavors can violate CP symmetry (quark sector)

$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{CP}} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & c_{13}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & c_{13}s_{23} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}s_{13}c_{23}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}s_{13}c_{23}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & c_{13}c_{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

#### The origin of CP violation closely relates to the flavor structure

#### Introduction

CP violating phase in the lepton sector  $\delta_{CP}$ :

$$U_{PMNS} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{CP}} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & c_{13}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & c_{13}s_{23} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}s_{13}c_{23}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}s_{13}c_{23}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & c_{13}c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ e^{i\alpha} & \\ & e^{i\beta} \end{pmatrix}_{.}$$

CP conservation ( $\delta_{CP} = 0, \pm \pi$ ) is excluded in  $2\sigma$  C.L.

 $\delta_{CP} = -\frac{\pi}{2}$  may be favored ?

Is there something symmetric structure?

 $2\sigma$  C.L.: Normal Hierarchy (NH)  $[-171^\circ, -34.4^\circ]$ Inverted Hierarchy (IH)  $[-88.2^\circ, -68.2^\circ]$ 



## How to predict CP violating phase



PMNS mixing matrix is derived from <u>neutrino mass matrix</u>.

<u>9 parameters</u> contribute to the PMNS mixing matrix at most

### Global experimental data of neutrino oscillation NuFIT 3.2 (2018), JHEP 01 (2018) 087

$3\sigma$ interval	Normal Hierarchy	Normal Hierarchy Inverted Hierarchy	
$\Delta m^2_{12}$	$[6.80, 8.02]  imes 10^{-5} [{ m eV}^2]$	$[6.80, 8.02]  imes 10^{-5} [\mathrm{eV}^2]$	
$\Delta m^2_{13}$	$[2.399, 2.593]  imes 10^{-3} [{ m eV}^2]$	$-[2.369, 2.562]  imes 10^{-3} [eV^2]$	
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	[0.272 , 0.346]	[0.272 , 0.346]	
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	[0.418,0.613]	[0.435 , 0.616]	
$\sin^2  heta_{13}$	$[1.981$ , $2.436]  imes 10^{-2}$	$[2.006$ , $2.452]  imes 10^{-2}$	

<u>5 parameters</u> are available

Approaches to  $\delta_{CP}$  -- reduce model parameters --

(A). 2 right-handed (RH) Majorana neutrinos

-- The lightest neutrino becomes massless.

## (B). Flavor symmetry $(A_4, S_4, A_5, \text{ etc.})$

-- control Yukawa couplings in the Lagrangian.

-- introduce gauge singlet scalars (called as "flavons").

## (C). Texture zeros

-- put zeros in some elements of the neutrino mass matrix.

-- can not construct the Lagrangian.

Our model is a combination of the three methods

## (--). First setting (without loss of generality)

-- Diagonal basis of charged lepton mass matrix

$$M_{l} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{e} & & \\ & m_{\mu} & \\ & & m_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} \qquad U_{PMNS} = U_{l}^{\dagger}U_{\nu} =$$

(A). 2 right-handed (RH) Majorana neutrinos

$$M_R = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 \end{pmatrix} = M_2 \begin{pmatrix} p^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad p = \frac{M_2}{M_1}$$

We can take diagonal basis of  $M_R$  in the seesaw mechanism

$$M_{\nu} = -M_D M_R M_D^T$$

 $U_{\nu}$ 



 $\mathbf{TM}_{2} \\ U_{PMNS} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0\\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi & 0 & e^{i\sigma}\sin\phi \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -e^{-i\sigma}\sin\phi & 0 & \cos\phi \end{pmatrix}$ TM<sub>0</sub>

TM<sub>2</sub> will be discussed in numerically...

named by W. Rodejohann et al.

## $\mathrm{TM}_1$ realization

We obtain the following Dirac mass matrix:

$$M_D = v \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b+c}{2} & \frac{e+f}{2} \\ \frac{b}{c} & \frac{e}{f} \end{pmatrix}$$

This leads to TM<sub>1</sub> mixing with NH. - IH pattern will be shown numerically.

 $v \sim 174.1 \text{ GeV}$  : Higgs doublet vacuum expectation value

Assume the relative phase between b and c to be 0 or  $\pi$ .  $\rightarrow b/c$  is real.

(C). Texture zeros -- finalize the model minimization --

- impose a 0 in the Dirac mass matrix.

$$M_{D} = v \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{e+f}{2} \\ b & e \\ -b & f \end{pmatrix} \qquad M_{D} = v \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b}{2} & \frac{e+f}{2} \\ b & e \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} \qquad M_{D} = v \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c}{2} & \frac{e+f}{2} \\ 0 & e \\ c & f \end{pmatrix}$$
  
Case I Case II Case III  
Excluded from  $3\sigma$  interval ( off the edge but near )

### Symmetry realization by $S_4$

Dirac mass term : 
$$\mathcal{L}_D = \frac{y_1}{\Lambda} \phi_1 L H_u v_{R1}^c + \frac{y_2}{\Lambda} \phi_2 L H_u v_{R2}^c$$



 $SU^+\langle\phi_1\rangle = \langle\phi_1\rangle$ -- residual  $Z_2$  symmetry from  $S_4$  $SU^+\langle\phi_2\rangle = \langle\phi_2\rangle$ 

generators of  $S_4$  :  $S, T, U^{\pm}$ 

$$S = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, U^{\mp} = \mp \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - : \text{ for } 3$$

10/24

### Profile of case I

The neutrino mass matrix (seesaw mechanism)  $M_{\nu} = -M_D M_R M_D^T$ 

in the TBM basis:

$$M_{\nu}^{TBM} \equiv V_{TBM}^{T} M_{\nu} V_{TBM} = -\frac{f^{2} \nu^{2}}{M_{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{4} (k+1)^{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}} (k^{2}-1) \\ 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}} (k^{2}-1) & \left\{ 2|B|^{2} p \ e^{2i\phi_{B}} + \frac{1}{2} (k-1)^{2} \right\} \end{pmatrix}$$
  
 $k \equiv e/f$   
 $|B|e^{i\phi_{B}} \equiv b/f$  k can be make real by freedom of the phase redefinition.

- 3 model parameters in the mixing matrix :  $\{k, |B|' (\equiv |B|\sqrt{p}), \phi_B\}$
- Jarlskog invariant :  $J_{CP} = -\frac{3}{8} \frac{f^{12}}{M_0^6} (|B|\sqrt{p})^6 (k+1)^4 \frac{k^2 - 1}{k^2 - 1} \sin 2\phi_B \frac{v^{12}}{(\Delta m_{13}^2 - \Delta m_{12}^2)\Delta m_{13}^2 \Delta m_{12}^2} \propto \sin \delta_{CP}$

## Profile of $TM_1$ with IH

$$M_{\nu}^{TBM} = -\frac{\nu^2}{M_2} \begin{pmatrix} 6b^2p & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{f^2\nu^2}{M_2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{3}{4}(ke^{i\phi_k}+1)^2 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}(k^2e^{2i\phi_k}-1)\\ 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}(k^2e^{2i\phi_k}-1) & \frac{1}{2}(ke^{i\phi_k}-1)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Profile of $TM_2$ with NH or IH

$$M_{\nu}^{TBM} = -\frac{\nu^2}{M_2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 3b^2p & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{f^2\nu^2}{M_2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2}(ke^{i\phi_k}+1)^2 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(k^2e^{2i\phi_k}-1)\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(k^2e^{2i\phi_k}-1) & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(ke^{i\phi_k}-1)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- 2 model parameters in the mixing matrix :  $\{k, \phi_k\}$ 

# **Numerical Results**

#### NuFIT 3.2 (2018), JHEP **01** (2018) 087

$3\sigma$ interval	Normal Hierarchy Inverted Hierarchy		
$\Delta m^2_{12}$	$[6.80, 8.02] \times 10^{-5} [eV^2]$ $[6.80, 8.02] \times 10^{-5}$		
$\Delta m^2_{13}$	$[2.399, 2.593]  imes 10^{-3} [{ m eV}^2]$	× $10^{-3}$ [eV <sup>2</sup> ] –[2.369, 2.562] × $10^{-3}$ [eV <sup>2</sup> ]	
$\sin^2  heta_{12}$	[0.272,0.346]	[0.272,0.346]	
$\sin^2  heta_{23}$	[0.418,0.613]	[0.435 , 0.616]	
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	$[1.981, 2.436]  imes 10^{-2}$	$[2.006, 2.452]  imes 10^{-2}$	

#### We show these minimal models

Case I  $TM_1$  with IH  $TM_2$  (common in NH and IH)  $M_D = v \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{e+f}{2} \\ b & e \\ -b & f \end{pmatrix} M_D = v \begin{pmatrix} -2b & \frac{e+f}{2} \\ b & e \\ b & f \end{pmatrix} M_D = v \begin{pmatrix} b & \frac{e+f}{2} \\ b & e \\ b & f \end{pmatrix}$ 

# Numerical Results (case I)

k = e/f



 $\delta_{CP}: \pm [71.4^{\circ}, 97.9^{\circ}] (1\sigma)$ :  $\pm [57.5^{\circ}, 112^{\circ}] (3\sigma)$ :  $\pm [77.8^{\circ}, 101^{\circ}] (k = -3)$  Blue :  $3\sigma$  plot Green :  $1\sigma$  plot Magenta :  $3\sigma$  plot with k = -3Red (horizontal) :  $2\sigma$  interval by T2K [-171°, -34.4°] Red (vertical) :  $3\sigma$  interval by NuFIT

## Predictions of Dirac CP violating phase

15/24

k = e/f

## Numerical Results (TM<sub>1</sub> with IH)

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $δ_{CP}: \pm [69.9^\circ, 84.7^\circ] (1\sigma)$  : ±[56.8°, 107°] (3σ) Blue :  $3\sigma$  plot Green :  $1\sigma$  plot Magenta :  $3\sigma$  plot with k = -3Red (horizontal) :  $2\sigma$  interval by T2K [-88.2°, -68.2°] Red (vertical) :  $3\sigma$  interval by NuFIT

### Predictions of Dirac CP violating phase

# Numerical Results ( $TM_2$ )

150

100

50

0

-50

-100

-150

0.40

0.45

**δ<sub>CP</sub> [°]** 

NH

IH

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\delta_{CP}: \pm [36.2^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}] (3\sigma)$ 

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

 $\delta_{CP}: \pm [51.0^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}] (3\sigma)$ 

16/24

k = e/f

# back to case I

# The predicted $\delta_{CP}$ is sensitive to k. But the sign of $\delta_{CP}$ is not determined...

This result (case I) indicates

 $\operatorname{Sign}[J_{CP}] = \operatorname{Sign}[\delta_{CP}]$ 

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

\*Recall

$$J_{CP} = -\frac{3}{8} \frac{f^{12}}{M_0^6} (|B|\sqrt{p})^6 (k+1)^4 (k^2 - 1) \sin 2\phi_B \frac{v^{12}}{(\Delta m_{13}^2 - \Delta m_{12}^2) \Delta m_{13}^2 \Delta m_{12}^2}$$

## Leptogenesis in our models

B– L asymmetry in the comoving volume  $(M_1 \ll M_2)$ 

$$Y_{B-L} \equiv \frac{n_{B-L}}{s} = -\epsilon_1 \kappa Y_{N1}^{eq} (T \gg M_1)$$

is relevant to CP asymmetry of the lighter RH neutrino  $N_1$  decay.

$$\epsilon_{1} \sim -\frac{3}{16\pi} \frac{\operatorname{Im}\left[\left(Y_{D}^{\dagger}Y_{D}\right)_{21}^{2}\right]}{\left(Y_{D}^{\dagger}Y_{D}\right)_{11}} \frac{1}{p} \qquad p = \frac{M_{2}}{M_{1}}$$

The heavier RH neutrino decay is relevant at  $M_1 \ge 10^{14}$  [GeV]

Here, we assume  $M_1 \ll 10^{14}$  [GeV] for simplicity.

CP asymmetry in 1 loop decay of 
$$N_1 = -\frac{3}{16\pi} \frac{\ln \left[ (Y_D^+ Y_D)_{21}^2 \right]}{(Y_D^+ Y_D)_{11}} \frac{1}{p}$$
  
Case I  
 $Y_D^+ Y_D = \begin{pmatrix} 2|b|^2 & b^*(e-f) \\ b(e-f)^* & \frac{|e+f|^2}{4} + |e|^2 + |f|^2 \end{pmatrix} \Longrightarrow \epsilon_1 = -\frac{3}{16\pi} \frac{1}{2} |f|^2 (k-1)^2 \sin 2\phi_B \frac{1}{p}$   
TM<sub>1</sub> with IH  
 $Y_D^+ Y_D = \begin{pmatrix} 6|b|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{|e+f|^2}{4} + |e|^2 + |f|^2 \end{pmatrix} \implies \epsilon_1 = 0$  No leptogenesis  
TM<sub>2</sub>  
 $Y_D^+ Y_D = \begin{pmatrix} 3|b|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & |e+f|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2 \end{pmatrix} \implies \epsilon_1 = 0$  No leptogenesis

Numerical results  $(3\sigma)$ 

Demanded Baryon asymmetry for the nucleosynthesis:

 $\eta_B \equiv \frac{n_B}{n_V} = 7.04 Y_B = [5.8, 6.6] \times 10^{-10}$  (95% C.L.)

[PDG] Chin. Phys. C 40 (2016) 10, 10001]

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

The sign of  $\delta_{CP}$  is split by k

# Sign of $\delta_{CP}$

*B* and B - L asymmetry are related (sphaleron transition at  $T > T_{EW} \sim 100$ [GeV]):

$$Y_B \equiv \frac{n_B}{s} = \frac{8N_{flavor} + 4N_{Higgs}}{22N_{flavor} + 13N_{Higgs}} Y_{B-L} = \frac{28}{79} Y_{B-L} \quad \text{(Suppose 3 flavors and 1 Higgs)}$$

S.Yu. Khlebnikov, M.E. Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. B 308, 885 (1998)

Demanded Baryon asymmetry for the nucleosynthesis:

$$\eta_B \equiv \frac{n_B}{n_V} = 7.04 Y_B = [5.8, 6.6] \times 10^{-10}$$
 (95% C.L.)

[PDG] Chin. Phys. C 40 (2016) 10, 10001]

$$-\epsilon_1 \kappa Y_{N1}^{eq} = \frac{1}{7.04} \frac{79}{28} \eta_B > 0$$

## For simple analysis

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} \sim \frac{3.3 \times 10^{-3} [\text{eV}]}{\widetilde{m_1}} + \left(\frac{\widetilde{m_1}}{0.55 \times 10^{-3} [\text{eV}]}\right)^{1.16} > 0 \quad \text{valid for } M_1 \ll 10^{14} [\text{GeV}]$$
where  $\widetilde{m_1} \equiv \frac{v^2 (Y_D Y_D^{\dagger})_{11}}{M_1} = 2|f|^2 |B|^2 \frac{v^2}{M_2}$  for case I

fitted by G.F. Giudice, A.Notari, M. Raidal, A.Riotto and A. Strumia, [Nucl. Phys. B 685 (2004) 89]

#### The number density of $N_1$ in thermal equilibrium

$$Y_{N1}^{eq} = \frac{135\zeta(3)}{4\pi^4 g_*} > 0$$

$$g_* = 106.75 \text{ (SM)}$$

$$-\epsilon_1 = \frac{3}{16\pi} \frac{1}{2} |f|^2 (k-1)^2 \sin 2\phi_B \frac{1}{p} > 0$$

![](_page_21_Figure_8.jpeg)

# Mass hierarchy of RH neutrinos

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$p = \frac{M_2}{M_1}$$

k < -1

#### Consistent with our assumptions:

 $\begin{array}{l} M_1 \ll M_2 \\ M_1 \ll 10^{14} [{\rm GeV}] \end{array}$ 

23/24

#### Minimal seesaw model with

**2** RH neutrinos & tri-maximal mixing TM<sub>1</sub> and TM<sub>2</sub>

$$M_D = v \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{e+f}{2} \\ b & e \\ -b & f \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{--- Normal hierarchy} \\ \text{--- distinct the sign of } \delta_{CP} \\ \text{--- include } (\theta_{23}, \delta_{CP}) = \left(\frac{\pi}{4}, -\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \end{array}$$

#### explain neutrino oscillation & BAU

#### Symmetry realization by $S_4$

Dirac mass term :

$$\mathsf{m}: \quad \frac{y_1}{\Lambda}\phi_1 L H_u v_{R1}^c + \frac{y_2}{\Lambda}\phi_2 L H_u v_{R2}^c$$

$$SU\begin{pmatrix}\frac{e+f}{2}\\e\\f\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\frac{e+f}{2}\\e\\f\end{pmatrix}$$

-- residual  $Z_2$  symmetry from  $S_4$ 

S, T, U : generators of  $S_4$ 

#### Is it consist with quark sector?

 $\delta_{CP}^{CKM} \sim +70^{\circ}$  can be realized with our model?

# THANK YOU

## Global experimental data of neutrino oscillation

	Normal Ordering (best fit)		Inverted Ordering $(\Delta \chi^2 = 4.14)$	
	bfp $\pm 1\sigma$	$3\sigma$ range	bfp $\pm 1\sigma$	$3\sigma$ range
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	$0.307\substack{+0.013\\-0.012}$	$0.272 \rightarrow 0.346$	$0.307\substack{+0.013\\-0.012}$	$0.272 \rightarrow 0.346$
$ heta_{12}/^{\circ}$	$33.62^{+0.78}_{-0.76}$	$31.42 \rightarrow 36.05$	$33.62^{+0.78}_{-0.76}$	$31.43 \rightarrow 36.06$
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	$0.538\substack{+0.033\\-0.069}$	$0.418 \rightarrow 0.613$	$0.554\substack{+0.023\\-0.033}$	$0.435 \rightarrow 0.616$
$ heta_{23}/^{\circ}$	$47.2^{+1.9}_{-3.9}$	$40.3 \rightarrow 51.5$	$48.1^{+1.4}_{-1.9}$	$41.3 \rightarrow 51.7$
$\sin^2  heta_{13}$	$0.02206\substack{+0.00075\\-0.00075}$	$0.01981 \to 0.02436$	$0.02227^{+0.00074}_{-0.00074}$	$0.02006 \rightarrow 0.02452$
$ heta_{13}/^{\circ}$	$8.54_{-0.15}^{+0.15}$	$8.09 \rightarrow 8.98$	$8.58\substack{+0.14 \\ -0.14}$	$8.14 \rightarrow 9.01$
$\delta_{ m CP}/^{\circ}$	$234_{-31}^{+43}$	$144 \rightarrow 374$	$278^{+26}_{-29}$	$192 \rightarrow 354$
$\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{10^{-5} \ {\rm eV}^2}$	$7.40^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$	$6.80 \rightarrow 8.02$	$7.40^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$	$6.80 \rightarrow 8.02$
$\frac{\Delta m_{3\ell}^2}{10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2}$	$+2.494^{+0.033}_{-0.031}$	$+2.399 \rightarrow +2.593$	$-2.465^{+0.032}_{-0.031}$	$-2.562 \rightarrow -2.369$

NuFIT 3.2 (2018), JHEP **01** (2018) 087