

文学の経験的研究の文献

リストを徐々によいものにしていきたいと思います。手元にあるもののリストに反映させていない文献もあり、まだ十分なものではありません。。。 (更新中です)

- Alber, J., & Strasen, S. (2020). Empirical literary studies: An introduction. *Anglistik: International Journal of English Studies*, 13(1), 5–14.
- Alexandrov, V. E. (2007). Literature, literariness, and the brain. *Comparative Literature*, 59 (2), 97-118.
- Andringa, E., & Davis, S. (1994). Literary narrative and mental representation or how readers deal with "A Rose for Emily". In H. V. Oostendorp & R. A. Zwaan (Eds.), *Naturalistic text comprehension* (pp. 247-268). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Appel, M., Hanauer, D., Hoeken, H., van Krieken, K., Richter, T., & Sanders, J. (2021). The psychological and social effects of literariness: Formal features and paratextual information. In D. Kuiken & A. M. Jacobs (Eds.), *Handbook of empirical literary studies* (pp. 177-202). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Balyan, R., McCarthy, K. S., & McNamara, D. S. (2017). Combining machine learning and natural language processing to assess literary text comprehension. In A. Hershkovitz & L. Paquette (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Educational Data Mining* (pp. 244–249), Wuhan, China: International Educational Data Mining Society.
- Barsch, A. (1986). *Trends in rhythmicity: Language, literature, and music*. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- Bernaerts, L., de Geest, D., Herman, L., & Vervaeck, B. (Eds.). (2013). *Stories and minds: Cognitive approaches to literary narrative*. Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska Press.
- Bohn, I. C., Altmann, U., Lubrich, O., Menninghaus, W., & Jacobs, A. M. (2012). Old proverbs in new skins: An fMRI study on defamiliarization. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 3, 1-18. doi: 10.3389/201200204
- Bordag, D., & Rogahn, M. (2019). The role of literariness in second language incidental vocabulary acquisition. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 40(2), 399-425.
- Boutlousi, M., & Dixon, P. (2003). *Psychonarratology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Brink, T. T., Urton, K., Held, D., Kirilina, E., Hofmann, M. J., Klann-Delius, G., Jacobs, A. M., & Kuchinke, L. (2011). The role of orbitofrontal cortex in processing empathy stories in 4- to 8-year-old children. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 80 (2), 1-16.
- Brück, C., Kreifelts, K., Gößling-Arnold, C., Wertheimer, J., & Wildgruber, D. (2014) . 'Inner voices': The cerebral representation of emotional voice cues described in literary texts. *SCAN*, 9 (11), 1819-1827.
- Bruhn, M. J. (2018). Citation analysis: An empirical approach to professional literary interpretation. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 8 (1), 77-113.
- Bruhn, M. J. (2021). Philosophy of science, methodology, and theory development in empirical studies of literary experience. In D. Kuiken & A. M. Jacobs (Eds.), *Handbook of empirical literary studies* (pp. 487-513). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Burke, M. (2013). The rhetorical neuroscience of style: On the primacy of style elements during literary discourse processing. *Journal of Literary Semantics*, 42 (2), 199-213.
- Burke, M. (2015). The neuroaesthetics of prose fiction: Pitfalls, parameters and prospects. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 9, 1-12. doi: 10.3389/201500442
- Burke, M., Kumičová, A., Mangen, A., & Schilhab, T. (2016). Empathy at the confluence of neuroscience and empirical literary studies. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 6 (1), 6-41.
- Burke, M., & Troscianko, E. T. (2013). Mind, brain, and literature: A dialogue on what humanities might offer the cognitive sciences. *Journal of Literary Semantics*, 42 (2), 141-148.

- Burkett, C., & Goldman, S. R. (2016). "Getting the point" of literature: Relations between processing and interpretation. *Discourse Processes*, 53(5–6), 457–487.
- Carminati, M. N., Stabler, J., Roberts, A. M., & Fischer, M. H. (2006). Readers' responses to sub-genre and rhyme scheme in poetry. *Poetics*, 34 (3), 204-218.
- Carpenter, J. M., Green, C., & Fitzgerald, K. (2018). Mind-reading motivation: Individual differences in desire to perspective-take influence narrative processing. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 8 (2), 211-238.
- Carroll, J. (2007). The adaptive function of literature. In C. Martindale, P., Locher, & V. M. Petrov (Eds.), *Evolutionary and neurocognitive approaches to aesthetics, creativity and the arts* (pp. 31-45). New York: Baywood Publishing.
- Carrol, G., Conklin, K., Guy, J. & Scott, R. (2015). Processing punctuation and word changes in different editions of prose fiction. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 5 (2), 200-228.
- Castiglione, D. (2017). Difficult poetry processing: Reading times and the narrativity hypothesis. *Language and Literature*, 26 (2), 99-121.
- Chesnokova, A. & van Peer, W. (2016) . Anyone came to live here some time ago: A cognitive semiotics approach to deviation as a foregrounding device. *Versus: Quaderni di studi semiotici*, 122, 1-18.
- Christmann, U., Wimmer, L., & Groeben, N. (2011). The aesthetic paradox in processing conventional and non-conventional metaphors. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 1 (2), 199-240.
- Cupchik, G. C., & Laszlo, J. (Eds.). (1992). *Emerging visions of the aesthetic process*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- de Beaugrande, R. (1978). Semantic evaluation of grammar in poetry. *PTL: A Journal for Descriptive Poetics and Theory of Literature*, 3, 315-325.
- de Beaugrande, R. (1987). The naive reader: Anarchy or self-reliance? *Empirical Studies of the Arts*, 5 (2), 145-170.
- de Varies, C., Reijniere, W. G., & Willems, R. M. (2018). Eye movements reveal readers' sensitivity to deliberate metaphors during narrative reading. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 8 (1), 135-164.
- De Vooght, E., & Nemegeer, G. (2021). Reading and analysing short story collections: An empirical study of readers' interpretation process of Benni's *Il bar sotto il mare*. *Language and Literature*, 30(4), 361-380.
- Dillon, G. L. (1978). *Language processing and the reading of literature: Toward a model of comprehension*. Bloomington/London: Indiana University Press.
- Dissanayake, E. (2007). What art is and what art does: An overview of contemporary evolutionary hypotheses. In C. Martindale, P., Locher, & V. M. Petrov (Eds.), *Evolutionary and neurocognitive approaches to aesthetics, creativity and the arts* (pp. 1-14). New York: Baywood Publishing.
- Dixon, P., & Bortolussi, M. (2008). Textual and extra-textual manipulations in the empirical study of literary response. In S. Zyngier, M. Bortolussi, A. Chesnokova, & J. Auracher (Eds.), *Directions in empirical literary studies* (pp. 75–87). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Dixon, P., & Bortolussi, M. (2011). The scientific study of literature: What can, has, and should be done. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 1 (1), 59-71.
- Dixon, P., & Bortolussi, M. (2015). Measuring literary experience: Comment on Jacobs (2016). *Scientific Study of Literature*, 5 (2), 178-182.
- Dixon, P., Bortolussi, M., & Mullins, B. (2015). Judging a book by its cover. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 5 (1), 23-48.
- DuBravac, S., & Dalle, M. (2002). Reader question formation as a tool for measuring comprehension: Narrative and expository textual inferences in a second language. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 25(2), 217–231.
- Eekhof, L. S., Eerland, A., & Willems, R. M. (2018). Readers' insensitivity to tense revealed: No differences in mental simulation during reading of present and past tense stories. *Collabra: Psychology*, 4(1), 16. <https://doi.org/10.1525/collabra.121>
- Einstein, G. O., McDaniel, M. A., Owen, P. D., & Coté, N. C. (1990). Encoding and recall of texts: The importance of material appropriate processing. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 29 (5),

566-581.

- Erhard, K., Kessler, F., Neumann, N., Ortheil, H.-J., & Lotze, M. (2014). Professional training in creative writing is associated with enhanced fronto-striatal activity in a literary text continuation task. *NeuroImage*, *100*, 15-23.
- Eva-Wood, A. L. (2004). Thinking and feeling poetry: Exploring meanings aloud. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *96*(1), 182-191.
- Eva-Wood, A. L. (2008). Does feeling come first?: How poetry can help readers broaden their understanding of metacognition. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, *51*(7), 564-576.
- Fialho, O. (2007). Foregrounding and refamiliarization: Understanding readers' responses to literary texts. *Language and Literature*, *16* (2), 105-123.
- Fishelov, D. (1998). The institutional definition of poetry: Some heretical thoughts. *Empirical Studies of the Arts*, *16*(1), 5-13.
- Fletcher, P. C., Frith, C. D., Baker, S. C., Shallice, T., Frackowiak, R. S. J., & Dolan, R. J. (1995). The mind's eye: Precuneus activation in memory-related imagery. *NeuroImage*, *2*, 195-200.
- Fokkema, D., & Ibsch, E. (2000). *Knowledge and commitment: A problem-oriented approach to literary studies*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Fokkema, D., & Ibsch, E. (1987). *Modernist conjectures : a mainstream in European literature, 1910-1940*. London : C. Hurst.
- García-Berrio, A. (1992). *A theory of the literary text*. Berlin/New York: Walter de Gruyter.
- Gerrig, R. J. (2011). Individual differences in readers' narrative experiences. *Scientific Study of Literature*, *1* (2), 88-94.
- Gittel, B., Deutschländer, R., & Hecht, M. (2016). Conveying moods and knowledge-what-it-is-like through lyric poetry: An empirical study of authors' intentions and readers' responses. *Scientific Study of Literature*, *6* (1), 131-163.
- Goldman, S. R., McCarthy, K. S., & Burkett, C. (2015). Interpretive inferences in literature. In E. J. O'Brien, A. E. Cook, & R. F. Lorch, Jr. (Eds.), *Inferences during reading* (pp. 386-415). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gottschall, J. (2003). Patterns of characterization in folktales across geographic regions and levels of cultural complexity: Literature as a neglected source of quantitative data. *Human Nature*, *14* (4), 365-382.
- Graves, B., & Frederiksen, C. H. (1991). Literary expertise in the description of a fictional narrative. *Poetics*, *20*(1), 1-26.
- Green, M. C., & Brock, T. C. (2000). The role of transportation in the persuasiveness of public narratives. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *79* (5), 701-721.
- Groeben, N. (1980). Empirical methods for the study and interpretation of literature. *Discourse Processes*, *3* (4), 345-367.
- Groeben, N., & Schreier, M. (1992). The hypothesis of the polyvalence convention: A systematic survey of the research development from a historical perspective. *Poetics*, *21* (1-2), 5-32.
- Groeben, N., & Schreier, M. (1995). Descriptive vs. prescriptive aspects of the concept of literature (Taking the polyvalence convention as an example). In G. Rusch (Ed.), *Empirical approaches to literature* (pp.319-324). Siegen: LUMIS Publication.
- Groeben, N., & Schreier, M. (1998). Descriptive vs. prescriptive aspects of the concept of literature: The example of the polyvalence convention. *Poetics*, *26* (1), 55-62.
- Gross, S. (1997). The word turned image: Reading pattern poems. *Poetics Today*, *18* (1), 15-32.
- Guy, J. M., Conklin, K., & Sanchez-Davies, J. (2018). Literary stylistics, authorial intention and the scientific study of literature: A critical overview. *Language and Literature*, *27* (3), 196-217.
- Hakemulder, J. (2000). *The moral laboratory: Experiments examining the effects of reading literature on social perception and moral self-concept*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Hakemulder, F. (2020). Finding meaning through literature: 'Foregrounding' as an emergent effect. *Anglistik: International Journal of English Studies*, *31*(1), 91-110.
- Hakemulder, F., & van Peer, W. (2016). Empirical stylistics. In V. Sotirova (Ed.), *The Bloomsbury companion to stylistics* (pp. 189-207). London: Bloomsbury.
- Halasz, L. (Ed.). (1987). *Literary discourse: Aspects of cognitive and social psychological*

- approaches*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Hanauer, D. I. (2011). The scientific study of poetic writing. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 1 (1), 79-87.
- Hanauer, D. (2001). The new critical method revisited: Explicit modeling, open discussion and the development of literary knowledge. *FRAME: Journal of Literary Studies*, 15, 12-37.
- Hanauer, D. I. (2015). Beauty judgements of non-professional poetry: Regression analyses of authorial attribution, emotional response and perceived writing quality. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 5 (2), 183-199.
- Hanauer, D. I., & Waksman, S. (2001). The role of explicit moral points in fable reading. *Discourse Processes*, 30 (2), 107-132.
- Harrison, C., & Nuttall, L. (2018). Re-reading in stylistics. *Language and Literature*, 27 (3), 176-195.
- Hauptmeier, H., Meutsch, D., & Viehoff, R. (1987). *Literary understanding from an empirical point of view*. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- Hauptmeier, H., Meutsch, D., & Viehoff, R. (1989). Empirical research on understanding literature. *Poetics Today*, 10 (3), 563-604.
- Hejl, P. M. (1989). *Self-regulation in social systems: Explaining the process of research*. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- Hicks, D. (1991). Kinds of narrative: Genre skills among first graders from two communities. In A. McCabe & C. Peterson (Eds.), *Developing narrative structure* (pp. 55-87). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Hogan, P. C. (1997). Literary universals. *Poetics Today*, 18 (2), 223-249.
- Hogan, P. C. (2014). Stylistics, emotion and neuroscience. In M. Burke (Ed.), *The Routledge handbook of stylistics* (pp. 516-530). London: Routledge.
- Hogan, P. C. (2014). Literary brains: Neuroscience, criticism, and theory. *Literature Compass*, 11 (4), 293-304.
- Holland, N. N. (2007). Literary creativity: A neuropsychanalytic view. In C. Martindale, P. Locher, & V. M. Petrov (Eds.), *Evolutionary and neurocognitive approaches to aesthetics, creativity and the arts* (pp. 165-180). New York: Baywood Publishing.
- Hsu, C., Conrad, M., & Jacobs, A. M. (2014). Fiction feelings in Harry Potter: Haemodynamic response in the mid-cingulate cortex correlates with immersive reading experience. *NeuroReport*, 25 (17), 1356-1361.
- Hsu, C., Jacobs, A. M., Altmann, U., & Conrad, M. (2015). The magical activation of left amygdala when reading Harry Potter: An fMRI study on how descriptions of supra-natural events entertain and enchant. *PLoS ONE*, 10 (2), 1-15. doi: 10.1371/0118179
- Hsu, C., Jacobs, A. M., Citron, F. M. M., & Conrad, M. (2015). The emotion potential of words and passages in reading Harry Potter: An fMRI study. *Brain & Language*, 142, 96-114.
- Hsu, C., Jacobs, A. M., & Conrad, M. (2015). Can Harry Potter still put a spell on us in a second language? An fMRI study on reading emotion-laden literature in late bilinguals. *Cortex*, 63, 282-295.
- Hugentobler, K. G., & Lüdtke, J. (2021). Micropoetry meets neurocognitive poetics: Influence of associations on the reception of poetry. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 1-12.
- Hunt, R. A. (1988). *Pragmatic aspects of literary reading*. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- Hunt, R. A. (1990). The parallel socialization of reading research and literary theory. In D. Bogdan & S. B. Straw (Eds.), *Beyond communication: Reading comprehension and criticism* (pp. 91-105). Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook Publishers.
- Ibsch, E. (1997). Systems theory and the concept of "communication" in literary studies. *Canadian Review of Comparative Literature*, 24 (1), 115-118.
- Ibsch, E., Schram, D., & Steen, G. (Eds.). (1991). *Empirical studies of literature: Proceedings of the second IGEL-conference, Amsterdam 1989*. Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Jacobs, A. M. (2015). Towards a neurocognitive poetics model of literary reading. In R. M. Willems (Ed.), *Cognitive neuroscience of natural language use* (pp. 135-159). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jacobs, A. M. (2015). The scientific study of literature experience: Sampling the state of the art.

- Scientific Study of Literature*, 5 (2), 139-170.
- Jacobs, A. M. (2015). Neurocognitive poetics: Methods and models for investigating the neuronal and cognitive-affective bases of literature reception. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 9, 1-15. doi: 10.3389/201500186
- Jacobs, A. M. (2016). The scientific study of literary experience and neuro-behavioral response to literature: Reply to commentaries. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 6 (1), 164-174.
- Jacobs, A. M. (2016). The scientific study of literary experience and neuro-behavioral response to literature: Reply to commentaries. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 6 (1), 164-174.
- Jacobs, A. M. (2017). Quantifying the beauty of words: A neurocognitive poetics perspective. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 11, 1-7.
- Jacobs, A. M. (2018). The Gutenberg English Poetry Corpus: Exemplary quantitative narrative analyses. *Frontiers in Digital Humanities*, 5, 1-14.
- Jacobs, A. M. (2018). (Neuro-)cognitive poetics and computational stylistics. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 8 (1), 165-208.
- Jacobs, A. M., Lüdtke, J., Aryani, A., Meyer-Sickendieck, B., & Conrad, M. (2016). Mood-empathic and aesthetic responses in poetry reception: A model-guided, multilevel, multimethod approach. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 6 (1), 87-130.
- Jacobs, A. M., Schuster, S., Xue, S., & Lüdtke, J. (2017). What's in the brain that ink may character...: A quantitative narrative analysis of Shakespeare's 154 sonnets for use in (Neuro-)cognitive poetics. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 7 (1), 4-51.
- Kane, J. (2004). Poetry as right-hemispheric language. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 11 (5-6), 21-59.
- Knowles, K., Schaffner, A. K., Weger, U., & Roberts, A. M. (2012). Reading space in visual poetry: New cognitive perspectives. *Writing Technologies*, 4, 75-106.
- Koopman, E. M. (2011). Predictors of insight and catharsis among readers who use literature as a coping strategy. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 1 (2), 241-259.
- Kotovych, M., Dixon, P., Bortolussi, M., & Holden, M. (2011). Textual determinants of a component of literary identification. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 1 (2), 260-291.
- Kuijpers, M. M., Douglas, S., & Bálint, K. (2021). Narrative absorption: An overview. In D. Kuiken & A. M. Jacobs (Eds.), *Handbook of empirical literary studies* (pp. 279-304). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Kuiken, D. (2015). The implicit erasure of "literary experience" in empirical studies of literature: Comment on "The scientific study of literary experience: Sampling the state of the art" by Arthur Jacobs. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 5 (2), 171-177.
- Kuiken, D., Campbell, P., & Sopčák, P. (2012). The Experiencing Questionnaire: Locating exceptional reading moments. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 2 (2), 243-272.
- Kuiken, D., & Douglas, S. (2017). Forms of absorption that facilitate the aesthetic and explanatory effects of literary reading. In F. Hakemulder, M. M. Kuijpers, E. S. Tan, K. Bálint, & M. M. Doicaru (Eds.), *Narrative absorption* (pp. 217-249). Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Kreuz, R. & MacNealy, M. (Eds.). (1996). *Empirical approaches to literature and aesthetics* (pp.339-358). Norwood: Ablex.
- Kuzmičová, A., Mangen, A., Støle, H., & Begnum, A. C. (2017). Literature and readers' empathy: A qualitative text manipulation study. *Language and Literature*, 26 (2), 137-152.
- Langer, F. (2012). Mental imagery, emotion, and 'literary task sets': Clues towards a literary neuroart. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 19 (7-8), 168-215.
- László, J. (1993). *The text-processing approach to literary narratives*. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- László, J. (1999). *Cognition and representation in literature: The psychology of literary narratives*. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.
- László, J., & Viehoff, R. (1992). *Genre-specific knowledge and literary understanding: Some empirical investigations*. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- Lauwers, J., Deneire, T., & Eelbode, D. (2015). The question of literary quality: A rhetorical and game-theoretical approach. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 5 (1), 3-22.
- Lee, C. D. (2011). Education and the study of literature. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 1 (1), 49-58.
- Lee, C. D., Goldman, S. R., Levine, S., & Magliano, J. (2016). Epistemic cognition in literary

- reasoning. In J. A. Greene, W. A. Sandoval, & I. Bråten (Eds.), *Handbook of epistemic cognition* (pp. 165–183). New York: Routledge.
- Lehne, M., Engel, P., Rohrmeier, M., Menninghaus, W., Jacobs, A. M., & Koelsch, S. (2015). Reading a suspenseful literary text activates brain areas related to social cognition and predictive inference. *PLoS ONE*, *10* (5), 1-18.
- Lehr, S. (1988). The child's developing sense of theme as a response to literature. *Reading Research Quarterly*, *23*(3), 337–357.
- Levine, S. (2019). Using everyday language to support students in constructing thematic interpretations. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, *28*, 1–31.
- Levine, S., & Horton, W. S. (2013). Using affective appraisal to help readers construct literary interpretations. *Scientific Study of Literature*, *3* (1), 105-136.
- Levine, S., & Horton, W. (2015). Heling high school students read like experts: Affective evaluation, salience, and literary interpretation. *Cognition and Instruction*, *33*(2), 125-153.
- Lin, H. W., & Hsu, M. H. (2012). Exploring the mystery of literary reading: A psychophysiological perspective. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity*, *2* (6), 459-461.
- Louwerse, M., Benesh, N., & Zhang, B. (2008). Computationally discriminating literary from non-literary texts. In S. Zyngier, M. Bortolussi, A. Chesnokova, & J. Auracher (Eds.), *Directions in empirical literary studies: In honor of Willie van Peer* (pp. 175-191). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Lüdtke, J., Meyer-Sickendieck, B., & Jacobs, A. M. (2014). Immersing in the stillness of an early morning: Testing the mood empathy hypothesis of poetry reception. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, *8* (3), 363-377.
- Magliano, J. P., Baggett, W. B., & Graesser, A. C. (1996). A taxonomy of inference categories that may be generated during the comprehension of literary texts. In R. J. Kreuz and M. S. macNealy (Eds.), *Empirical approaches to literature and aesthetics* (pp. 201-220). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Manin, D. Y. (2012). The right word in the left place: Measuring lexical foregrounding in poetry and prose. *Scientific Study of Literature*, *2* (2), 273-300.
- Martindale, C. (1975). *Romantic progression : the psychology of literary history*. Washington : Hemisphere.
- Martindale, C. (Ed.). (1988). *Psychological approaches to the study of literary narratives*. Hamburg: Helmut Buske.
- McCarthy, K. S. (2015). Reading beyond the lines: A critical review of cognitive approaches to literary interpretation and comprehension. *Scientific Study of Literature*, *5* (1), 99-128.
- McCarthy, K. S., & Goldman, S. R. (2015). Comprehension of short stories: Effects of task instructions on literary interpretation. *Discourse Processes*, *52*(7), 585–608.
- McCarthy, K. S., & Goldman, S. R. (2019). Constructing interpretive inferences about literary text: The role of domain-specific knowledge. *Learning and Instruction*, *60*(1), 245–251.
- McCarthy, K. S., Magliano, J. P., Levine, S. R., Elfenbein, A., & Horton, W. S. (2021). Constructing mental models in literary reading: The role of interpretive inferences. In D. Kuiken & A. M. Jacobs (Eds.), *Handbook of empirical literary studies* (pp. 85-118). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Menninghaus, W., & Wallot, S. (in press). What the eyes reveal about (reading) poetry. *Poetics*.
- Meutsch, D., & Viehoff, R. (Eds.). (1988). *The comprehension of literary discourse: Results and problems of interdisciplinary approaches*. Berlin: W de Gruyter.
- Miall, D. (1989). Beyond the schema given: Affective comprehension of literary narratives. *Cognition and Emotion*, *3* (1), 55-78.
- Miall, D. S. (2005). Beyond interpretation: The cognitive significance of reading. In H. Veivo, B. Pettersson, & M. Polvinen (Eds.), *Cognition and literary interpretation in practice* (pp. 129-156). Helsinki: Helsinki University Press.
- Miall, D. S. (2006). Experimental approaches to reader responses to literature. In P. Locher, C. Martindale & L. Dorfman (Eds.), *New directions in aesthetics, creativity and the arts* (pp. 175-188). Amityville, NY: Baywood Publishing Company.
- Miall, D. S. (2006). *Literary reading: Empirical and theoretical studies*. New York: Peter Lang.
- Miall, D. S. (2009). Neuroaesthetics of literary reading. In M. Skov & O. Vartanian (Eds.),

- Neuroaesthetics* (pp. 233-247). New York: Baywood Publishing.
- Miall, D. S. (2010). Reading through the machine. In W. van Peer, S. Zyngier, & V. Viana (Eds.), *Literary education and digital learning: Methods and technologies for humanities studies* (pp. 187-198). New York: Information Science Reference.
- Miall, D. S. (2011). Science in the perspective of literariness. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 1 (1), 7-14.
- Miall, D. S. (2011). Enacting the other: Towards an aesthetics of feeling in literary reading. In E. Schellekens & P. Goldie (Eds.), *The aesthetic mind: Philosophy and psychology* (pp. 285-298). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Miall, D. S. (2016). Literariness. In R. H. Jones (Ed.), *The Routledge handbook of language and creativity* (pp. 191-205). New York: Routledge.
- Miall, D. S. (2018). Towards an empirical model of literariness. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 8 (1), 21-46.
- Miall, D., & Dissanayake, E. (2003). The poetics of babytalk. *Human Nature*, 14 (4), 337-364.
- Miall, D., & Kuiken, D. (1995). Aspects of literary response: A new questionnaire. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 29 (1), 37-58.
- Müller, H. J., Geyer, T., Günther, F., Kacian, J., & Pierides, S. (2017). Reading English-language haiku: Processes of meaning construction revealed by eye movements: *Journal of Eye Movement research*, 10(1), 1-33.
- Nardocchio, E. F. (Ed.). (1992). *Reader response to literature: The empirical dimension*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Nierlich, E. (2005). An "empirical science" of literature. *Journal of General Philosophy of Science*, 36, 351-376.
- Nichelli, P., Grafman, J., Pietrini, P., Clark, K., Lee, K. Y., & Miletich, R. (1995). Where the brain appreciates the moral of a story. *NeuroReport*, 6 (17), 2309-2313.
- Nijhof, A. D., & Willems, R. M. (2015). Simulating fiction: Individual differences in literature comprehension revealed with fMRI. *PLoS ONE*, 10 (2), 1-17.
- Osowiecka, M., & Kolańczyk, A. (2018). Let's read a poem! What type of poetry boosts creativity? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 1781.
- Parente, F., Conklin, K., Guy, J., Carrol, G., & Scott, R. (2019). Reader expertise and the literary significance of small-scale textual features in prose fiction. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 9 (1), 3-33.
- Peskin, J. (1998). Constructing meaning when reading poetry: An expert–novice study. *Cognition and Instruction*, 16(3), 235–263.
- Peskin, J. (2000). Rhetorical and aesthetic form: Poetry as textual art. In J. W. Astington (Ed.), *Minds in the making: Essays in honor of David R. Olson* (pp. 80-97). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Peskin, J. (2007). The genre of poetry: Secondary school students' conventional expectations and interpretive operations. *English in Education*, 41(3), 20–36.
- Peskin, J. (2010). The development of poetic literacy during the school years. *Discourse Processes*, 47(2), 77–103.
- Peskin, J., & Wells-Jopling, R. (2012). Fostering symbolic interpretation during adolescence. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 33, 13-23.
- Petöfi, J. S., & Olivi, T. (Eds.). (1988). *Von der verbalen konstitution zur symbolischen bedeutung – From verbal constitution to symbolic meaning*. Hamburg: Helmut Buske.
- Phillips, N. (2014). The art of attention: Navigating distraction and rhythms of focus in eighteenth-century poetry. In K. Parker & C. W. Smith (Eds.), *Eighteenth-century poetry and the rise of the novel reconsidered* (pp. 187-206). Lewisburg, WV: Bucknell University Press.
- Phillips, N. M. (2015). Literary neuroscience and history of mind: An interdisciplinary fMRI study of attention and Jane Austen. In L. Zunshine (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of cognitive literary studies* (pp. 55-81). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Pinker, S. (2007). Critical discussion: Toward a conciliant study of literature. *Philosophy and Literature*, 31, 161-178.
- Platt, C. B. (2007). Presence, poetry and the collaborative right hemisphere. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 14 (3), 36-53.

- Rapp, D. N., Komeda, H., & Hinze, S. R. (2011). Vivifications of literary investigation. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 1 (1), 123-135.
- Richardson, A. (2006). Cognitive literary criticism. In P. Waugh (Ed.), *Literary theory and criticism: An Oxford guide* (pp. 544-556). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Riese, K., Mayer, M., Lauer, G., & Schacht, A. (2014). In the eye of the recipient: Pupillary response to suspense in literary classics. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 4 (2), 211-232.
- Rusch, G. (Ed.). (1995). *Empirical approaches to literature*. Siegen: LUMIS Publication.
- Salgaro, M. (2015). How literary can literariness be?: Methodological problems in the study of foregrounding. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 5 (2), 229-249.
- Salgaro, M. (2018). Historical introduction to the special issue on literariness. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 8 (1), 6-18.
- Schauber, E., & Spolsky, E. (1986). *The bounds of interpretation: Linguistic theory and literary text*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Schram, D., & Steen, G. (Eds.). (2001). *The psychology and sociology of literature*. Amsterdam / Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Schmidt, S. (1975). Reception and interpretation of written texts as problems of a rational theory of literary communication. In H. Ringbom (Ed.), *Style and text: Studies presented to Nils Erik Enkvist* (pp.399-408). Stockholm: Skriptor.
- Schmidt, S. J. (1976). Towards a pragmatic interpretation of 'fictionality'. In T. A. van Dijk (Ed.), *Pragmatics of language and literature* (pp. 161-178). Amsterdam: North-Holland/ New York: American Elsevier.
- Schmidt, S. J. (1979). *Empirische Literaturwissenschaft* as perspective. *Poetics*, 8, 557-568.
- Schmidt, S. J. (1982). Perspectives on the development of post-concrete poetry. *Poetics*, 3 (3), 101-136.
- Schmidt, S. J. (1982). *Foundations for the empirical study of literature: The components of a basic theory*. Hamburg: Helmut Buske.
- Schmidt, S.J. (1990). *Why literature is not enough, or: Literary studies as media studies*. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- Schmidt, S. J. (1992). Conventions and literary systems. In M. Hjort (Ed.), *Rules and conventions: Literatyre, philosophy, social theory* (pp. 215-249). Baltimore/ London: The John Hopkins University Press.
- Schmidt, S. J. (1994). 'System' and 'observer': Two key concepts in (future) literary studies. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- Schmidt, S. J. (1997). A systems-oriented approach to literary studies. *Canadian Review of Comparative Literature*, 24 (1), 119-136.
- Schmidt, S. J. (2000). The Empirical Study of Literature (ESL). In D. de Geest, O. de Graff, D. Delabastita, K. Geldof, R. Ghesquiére, & J. Lambert (Eds.), *Under construction: Links for the site of literary theory: Essays in honor of Herndrik Van Gorp* (pp. 325-349). Leuven, Belgium: Leuven University Press.
- Segers, R. T. (1993). *Dynamics and progress in literary studies?: Some notes on a neglected topic in literary scholarship with special reference to reception research*. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- Shen, Y. (1995). Cognitive constraints on directionality in the semantic structure of poetic vs. non-poetic metaphors. *Poetics*, 23 (4), 255-274.
- Shen, Y. (2007). Foregrounding in poetic discourse: Between deviation and cognitive constraints. *Language and Literature*, 16 (2), 169-181.
- Shimron, J. (1980). Psychological processes behind the comprehension of a poetic text. *Instructional Science*, 9 (1), 43-66.
- Siefkes, M. (2017). Perceptual qualities of literary style. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 7 (1), 52-78.
- Silverman, J., Winner, E., & Gardner, H. (1976). On going beyond the literary: The development of sensitivity to artistic symbols. *Semiotica*, 18 (4), 291-312.
- Sosa, T., Hall, A. H., Goldman, S. R., & Lee, C. D. (2016). Developing symbolic interpretation through literary argumentation. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 25(1), 93-132.
- Spolsky, E. (1993). *Gaps in nature: Literary interpretation and the modular mind*. Albany, NY:

- State University of New York Press.
- Spolsky, E. (2002). Darwin and Derrida: Cognitive literary theory as a species of post-structuralism. *Poetics Today*, 23 (1), 43-62.
- Steen, G. (1994). *Understanding metaphor in literature: An empirical approach*. London/New York: Longman.
- Stiller, J., Nettle, D., & Dunbar, R. I. M. (2003). The small world of Shakespeare's plays. *Human Nature*, 14 (4), 397-408.
- Stockwell, P. (2009). The cognitive poetics of literary resonance. *Language and Cognition*, 1 (1), 25-44.
- Sugiyama, M. S. (2003). Cultural variation is part of human nature: Literary universals, context-sensitivity, and "Shakespeare in the bush". *Human Nature*, 14 (4), 383-396.
- Svensson, C. (1985). *The construction of poetic meaning: A cultural-developmental study of symbolic and non-symbolic strategies in the interpretation of contemporary poetry*. Malmö: Liber Förlag.
- Swann, J. (2016). Creativity in response. In R. H. Jones (Ed.), *The Routledge handbook of language and creativity* (pp. 264-277). London: Routledge.
- Thierry, G., Martin, C. D., Gonzalez-Diaz, V., Rezaie, R., Roberts, N., & Davis, P. M. (2008). Event-related potential characterisation of the Shakespearean functional shift in narrative sentence structure. *NeuroImage*, 40 (2), 923-931.
- Tillmann, B., & Dowling, W. J. (2007). Memory decreases for prose, but not for poetry. *Memory & Cognition*, 35 (4), 628-639.
- Tötösy de Zepetnek, S. (1998). *Comparative literature: Theory, method, application*. Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Tötösy de Zepetnek, S., & Sywenky, I. (Eds.). (1997). *The systemic and empirical approach to literature and culture as theory and application*. Siegen: LUMIS Publication.
- Ushiro, Y., Ogiso, T., Nahatame, S., Hosoda, M., Kamimura, K., Sasaki, Y., Komuro, R., Okada, R., & Aoki, S. (2020). EFL readers' understanding of protagonist, temporal, and spatial links in narrative, *ARELE*, 31, 97-112.
- van den Broek, P., Rohleder, L., & Narváez, D. (1994). Cognitive processes in the comprehension of literary texts. In H. van Oostendorp & R. A. Zwaan (Eds.), *Naturalistic text comprehension* (pp.229-246). Norwood / New Jersey: Ablex Publishing Corporation.
- van Peer, W., & Chesnokova, A. (2017). Literariness in readers' experience: Further developments in empirical research and theory. *Science and Education*, 11, 5-17.
- van Peer, W., & Chesnokoa, A. (2018). Reading and rereading: Insights into literary evaluation. *Advanced Education*, 9, 39-46.
- van Peer, W., & Chesnokova, A. (2019). Foregrounding theory: An old model from a new perspective. *Філологічні студії*, 13(1), 7-10.
- van Peer, W., Hakemulder, J., & Zyngier, S. (2007). Lines on feeling: Foregrounding, aesthetics and meaning. *Language and Literature*, 16 (2), 197-213.
- van Peer, W., Mentjes, A., & Auracher, J. (2007). Does reading literature make people happy? In C. Martindale, P., Locher, & V. M. Petrov (Eds.), *Evolutionary and neurocognitive approaches to aesthetics, creativity and the arts* (pp. 47-63). New York: Baywood Publishing.
- van Peer, W., Sopčák, P., Castiglione, D., Fialho, O., Jacobs, A. M., & Hakemulder, F. (2021). Foregrounding. In D. Kuiken & A. M. Jacobs (Eds.), *Handbook of empirical literary studies* (pp. 146-176). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- van Peer, W., Zynvier, S. & Chesnokova, A. (2011). Learning without teaching: literature and the REDES Project. In L. Jeffries & D. McIntyre (Eds.), *Teaching Stylistics* (pp. 109-123). Basingstoke: Palgrave Mcmillan.
- Vorderer, P., Wulff, J., & Friedrichsen, M. (Eds.). (1996). *Suspense: Conceptualizations, theoretical analysis, and empirical explorations*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Warren, J. E. (2011). "Generic" and "specific" expertise in English: An expert/expert study in poetry interpretation and academic argument. *Cognition and Instruction*, 29(4), 349-374.
- Welfing, J. F. (1998). *On the fluidity of Nietzsche's writing: Nietzsche as prism*. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.

- Willems, R. M., & Jacobs, A. M. (2016). Caring about Dostoyevsky: The untapped potential of studying literature. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 20 (4), 243-245.
- Xu, J., Kemeny, S., Park, G., Frattali, C., & Braun, A. (2005). Language in context: Emergent features of word, sentence, and narrative comprehension. *NeuroImage*, 25 (3), 1002-1015.
- Xue, S., Lüdtke, J., Sylvester, T., & Jacobs, A. M. (2019). Reading Shakespeare sonnets: Combining quantitative narrative analysis and predictive modeling - an eye tracking study. *Journal of Eye Movement Research*, 12 (5), 1-16.
- Yaron, I. (2008). What is a "difficult poem"?: Toward a definition. *Journal of Literary Semantics*, 37 (2), 129-150.
- Zeitz, C. M. (1994). Expert-novice differences in memory, abstraction, and reasoning in the domain of literature. *Cognition and Instruction*, 12(4), 277-312.
- Zeman, A., Milton, F., Smith, A., & Rylance, R. (2013). By heart: An fMRI study of brain activation by poetry and prose. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 20 (9-10), 132-158.
- Zwaan, R. (1993). *Aspects of literary comprehension*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Zwaan, R. A. (1994). Effect of genre expectations on text comprehension. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 20 (4), 920-933.
- Zwaan, R. A. (1996). How empirical is the empirical study of literature? In H. Hendrix, J. Kloek, S. Levie, & W. van Peer (Eds.), *The search for a new alphabet: Literary studies in a changing world* (pp. 321-326). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Zwaan, R. (1996). Toward a model of literary comprehension. In B. Britton & A. Graesser (Eds.), *Models of understanding text* (pp.241-255). New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Zyngier, S., Bortolussi, M., Chesnokova, A., & Auracher, J. (Eds.). (2008). *Directions in empirical literary studies: In honor of Willie van Peer*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- 秋田喜代美 (1991) . 「物語の詳しさがおもしろさに及ぼす効果」 . 『教育心理学研究』 , 39 (2), 133-142.
- 泉尾洋行 (1980) . 「科学理論的視点からみた経験的文芸理論の構築と可能性—文芸学における Pragmatik—」 . 『エネルギー』 , 7, 57-71.
- 石原次郎・名執基樹・中禰勝美・岡田麻子・前原真吾・小川了 (1996) . 「経験的文学研究の現状と課題—札幌圏における実態調査の報告—」 . 『独語独文学科研究年報』 , 23, 73-91.
- 植田康成 (1985) . 「パラダイムの転換?」 . 『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』 , 5, 67-79.
- 内田伸子 (1985) . 「文章学習」 . In 大村彰道 (編) , 『学習』 (pp. 84-116) . 朝倉書店.
- エネルギー刊行会 (編) . (1975) . 『言語における思想性と技術性』 . 朝日出版.
- 大瀧敏夫 (1980) . 「Metaphorik と Empirische Theorie」 . 『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』 , 1, 1-27.
- 大瀧敏夫 (1985) . 「経験的研究の立場と可能領域」 . 『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』 , 5, 3-18.
- 大瀧敏夫 (1986) . 「テキスト受容行為の経験的研究—テキスト認知過程における、社会慣習スクリプトとテキスト結束構造、結束性との関係—」 . 『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』 , 6, 1-26.
- 大瀧敏夫 (1988) . 「テキスト分析の経験的研究—テキスト受容行為、コミュニカート形成プロセスの分析」 . In 脇阪豊 (編) , 『テキスト分析の研究—日・独テキストの対照研究を中心に—』 (pp.11-22) (昭和 62 年度科学研究費補助金 (総合研究 A) 研究成果報告書, 研究課題番号 60301065) .
- 大瀧敏夫 (1989) . 「認知科学のテキスト言語学へのアプローチ」 . 『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』 , 9, 37-66.
- 大瀧敏夫・曾田鉦二・田村光彰・松村保寿 (1981) . 「シュミット理論による A. Stramm 研究」 . 『ドイツ文学』 , 67, 114-125.
- 大瀧敏夫・吉田光演 (1983) . 「言語教育と文学教育—「語学」と文学の授業はこれでもいいのか」 . 『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』 , 4, 29-84.

- 大堀壽夫 (2004). 「物語の構造と発達」. In 大堀壽夫 (編), 『認知コミュニケーション論』 (pp. 243-278). 大修館書店.
- ガルシーア=ペッリオ, A. (1990). 「言語学、文学性/詩性 (文法、実用論、テキスト)」 (谷口勇 (訳)) In J. S. ペテフィ・A. ガルシーア=ペッリオ (編), 『テキスト言語学と文学批評』 (pp. 345-426, 下川浩・大矢俊明・谷口勇 (編訳)). 文化書房博文社.
- 菊池武弘 (1973). 「言語学と詩学のあいだー言語学的詩学の可能性ー」. 『Aspekt: 立教大学ドイツ文学科論集』, 7, 1-22.
- 菊池武弘 (1974). 「文学的コミュニケーションとテキスト理論」. 『ドイツ文学』, 53, 1-9.
- 菊池武弘 (1980). 「文学的コミュニケーションの特性ー言語実用論の視点からー」. In 千野栄一 (編), 『言語の芸術』 (pp.215-243). 大修館書店.
- 紅野謙介 (1998). 「社会・文化的なアプローチメディア論を軸にー」. 『別冊國文学』, 51, 59-69.
- 小林夏子 (1990). 「読みの心理学的研究における方法の検討」. 『人間文化研究年報』, 14, 221-229.
- 小林夏子・大村彰道 (1988). 「読解における文章形式の効果ー事典的形式と詩的形式の比較ー」. 『東京大学教育学部紀要』, 28, 307-316.
- シュミット, S. J. (1978). 「「虚構性」の実用的解釈のために」. 『エネルギー』, 5, 54-69. (原著は1976年出版)
- シュミット, S. J. (1984). 『テキスト詩学の原理』 (菊池武弘・今泉文子 (訳)). 勁草書房. (原著は1974年出版)
- シュミット, S. J. (1987). 「テキスト理解ーテキスト解釈」 (杉谷眞佐子 (訳)). 『独逸文学』, 31, 168-203. (原著は1986年出版)
- 津田塾大学言語文化研究所読解研究グループ (1992). 『学習者中心の英語読解指導』. 大修館書店.
- デ・アギアル・エ・シルヴァ, V. M. (1981). 『言語能力と文学能力ー生成詩学の可能性についてー』 (谷口勇 (訳)). 溪水社. (原著は1977年出版)
- 中澤潤 (2004). 「マンガ読解力の規定因としての漫画の読みリテラシー」. 『マンガ研究』, 5, 6-25.
- 中澤潤 (2005). 「マンガのコマの読みリテラシーの発達」. 『マンガ研究』, 7, 6-21.
- 名執基樹 (1993). 「文学システムと文学加工ー経験的文学理論の一問題点ー」. 『独語独文学科研究年報』, 20, 197-214.
- 名執基樹 (1997). 「作家の経験的研究に向けてーシステム研究としての作家研究の提案ー」. 『研究紀要 富山医科薬科大学一般教育』, 19, 29-44.
- 名執基樹 (2000). 「文学賞と文学場ー輩出関係のネットワーク分析」. 『研究紀要 富山医科薬科大学一般教育』, 24, 1-35.
- 名執基樹 (2002). 「システムと構造ー文学システムの経験的調査を目指して」. 『独文研究室報』, 18, 33-48.
- 名執基樹 (2011). 「オートポイエシス論は文学テキストの夢をみるか?ードイツ文学システム論争を超えてー」. 『ドイツ語文化圏研究』, 9, 51-91.
- 林敬 (1985). 「ドイツにおける経験的文学研究例ーRobert Musil: Hasenkatastrophe の解釈試論検証実験」. 『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』, 5, 19-29.
- フラハティ, A. W. (2006). 『書きたがる脳: 言語と創造性の科学』 (吉田利子 (訳)). ランダムハウス講談社. (原著は2003年出版)
- 保坂宗重・菊池武弘・丸井一郎・泉尾洋行・高木文夫・植田康成・川村宣元 (1976). 『APS No.2 Text-kommunikation literatur-wissenschaft』. Universität Hiroshima, bungaku-bu germanisches seminar.
- ホルブ, R. C. (1986). 『「空白」を読む 受容理論の現在』 (鈴木聰 (約)). 勁草

- 書房. (原著は1984年出版) 第4章
- 松村保寿(1985). 「数量化の方法」. 『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』, 5, 31-43.
- マトゥラーナ, M., & バレーラ, F. (1997). 『知恵の樹 生きていく世界はどのようにして生れるのか』(管啓次郎(訳)). 筑摩書房. (原著は1984年出版)
- 丸井一郎(2003). 「「文学テクスト」再考—異文化テクストの理解のために—」. 『ドイツ文学』, 2(5), 16-29.
- 丸井一郎(1999). 「言語相互行為における意義関連体系としての個的領域と共有領域—日独対照の視点から」. 『高知大学学術研究報告 人文科学』, 48, 135-160.
- 村田夏子(1997). 「読書習慣が登場人物像に与える影響」. 『文京女子短期大学英語英文学科紀要』, 30, 175-183.
- 村田夏子(2001). 「文学を味わう」. In 大村彰道(監修)・秋田喜代美・久野雅樹(編), 『文章理解の心理学 認知、発達、教育の広がりの中で』(pp.190-201). 北大路書房.
- 山本博樹(1994). 「物語の理解過程—どのように読み手は時間因果的な一貫性を構成するのか—」. 『読書科学』, 38(2), 67-82.
- 吉田光演(1984). 「受容行為と加工行為—言語的・文学的コミュニケーションの経験的研究の可能性—」. 『独文研究室報』, 2, 45-64.
- 吉田光演(1985). 「テクスト受容の経験的研究のために」. 『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』, 5, 45-66.
- 脇阪豊(1986). 「テクスト研究」. 『ドイツ文学』, 76, 199-206.

●文学の経験的研究の論文がよく掲載される学術雑誌やウェブサイト

Discourse Processes

Empirical Studies of the Arts

FRAME: Journal of Literary Studies

Empirical Studies of the Arts

Mosaic

New Literary History

Poetics

Poetics Today

Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts

SPIEL

Scientific Study of Literature

<http://www.ualberta.ca/%7Edmiall/reading/index.htm>

<http://clcwebjournal.lib.purdue.edu/>

