

文学の経験的研究と関わる文献

リストを徐々によいものにしていきたいと思います。手元にあるもののリストに反映させていない文献もあり、まだ十分なものではありませんが。。。 (更新中です)

- Alber, J., Jumpertz, J., & Mayer, A. (2020). How professional readers process unnatural narrators: An empirical perspective. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 10(2), 193–213. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.19005.alb>
- Alber, J., & Strasen, S. (2020). Empirical literary studies: An introduction. *Anglistik: International Journal of English Studies*, 13(1), 5–14. <https://doi.org/10.33675/ANGL/2020/1/3>
- Alber, J., & von Meer, C. (2021). Cognitive challenges: Difficult narratives. In J. Alber & P. Wenzel (Eds.), *Introduction to cognitive narratology* (pp. 191–212). Trier, Germany: WVT.
- Alexandrov, V. E. (2007). Literature, literariness, and the brain. *Comparative Literature*, 59(2), 97–118. <https://doi.org/10.1215/-59-2-97>
- Alfredsson, J. (2018). The “effanineffable” weakness of poetry: The duality of bringing poetry into
- Andringa, E. (1989). Developments in literary reading: Aspects, perspectives and questions. *SPIEL*, 8(1), 1–24.
- Andringa, E. (1995). Narrative complexity and emotional involvement. Differences between expert and non-expert readers. In G. Rusch (Ed.), *Empirical approaches to literature* (pp. 272–281). Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- Andringa, E. (1996). Effects of “narrative distance” on readers’ emotional involvement and response. *Poetics*, 23(6), 431–452. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(95\)00009-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(95)00009-9)
- Andringa, E. (1996). The art of being anti-conventional: The case of the prose poem. In H. Hendrix, J. Kloek, S. Levie, & W. van Peer (Eds.), *The search for a new alphabet: Literary studies in a changing world: In honor of Douwe Fokkema* (pp. 1–6). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/z.76.02and>
- Andringa, E., & Davis, S. (1994). Literary narrative and mental representation or how readers deal with “A Rose for Emily.” In H. V. Oostendorp & R. A. Zwaan (Eds.), *Naturalistic text comprehension* (pp. 247–268). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Appel, M., Hanauer, D., Hoeken, H., van Krieken, K., Richter, T., & Sanders, J. (2021). The psychological and social effects of literariness: Formal features and paratextual information. In D. Kuiken & A. M. Jacobs (Eds.), *Handbook of empirical literary studies* (pp. 177–202). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110645958-008>
- Balyan, R., McCarthy, K. S., & McNamara, D. S. (2017). Combining machine learning and natural language processing to assess literary text comprehension. In A. Hershkovitz & L. Paquette (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Educational Data Mining* (pp. 244–249), Wuhan, China: International Educational Data Mining Society.

- Barsch, A. (1986). *Trends in rhythmicity: Language, literature, and music*. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- Bauer, M., & Beck, S. (2014). On the meaning of fictional texts. In D. Gutzmann, J. Köpping, & C. Meier (Eds.), *Approaches to meaning: Composition, values, and interpretation* (pp. 250–275). Brill. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004279377_012
- Bauer, M., Glaesser, J., Kelava, A., Kirchhoff, L., & Zirker, A. (2022). ‘When most I wink, then’—what? Assessing the comprehension of literary texts in university students of English as a second language. *Language and Literature*, 31(3), 345–364. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09639470211062705>
- Baumbach, S. (2022). Aesthetic emotions. In P. C. Hogan, B. J. Irish, & L. P. Hogan (Eds.), *The Routledge companion to literature and emotion* (pp. 123–133). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367809843-13>
- Baumgartner, H. (2002). Toward a personology of the consumer. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 29(2), 286–292. <https://doi.org/10.1086/341578>
- Beck, J. & Konieczny, L. (2021). Rhythmic subvocalization: An eye-tracking study on silent poetry reading. *Journal of Eye Movement Research*, 13(3), Article 5. <https://doi.org/10.16910/jemr.13.3.5>
- Bernaerts, L., de Geest, D., Herman, L., & Vervaeck, B. (Eds.). (2013). *Stories and minds: Cognitive approaches to literary narrative*. Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska Press.
- Bohrn, I. C., Altmann, U., Lubrich, O., Menninghaus, W., & Jacobs, A. M. (2012). Old proverbs in new skins: An fMRI study on defamiliarization. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 3, Article 204. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00204>
- Bohrn, I.C., Altmann, U., Lubrich, O., Menninghaus, W., & Jacobs, A. M. (2013). When we like what we know: A parametric fMRI analysis of beauty and familiarity. *Brain & Language*, 124(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2012.10.003>
- Bonasera, C. (2025). Does literariness influence negative empathy? A study on the effects of foregrounding on readers’ affective and aesthetic reactions. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 14(1), 84–106. <https://doi.org/10.61645/ssol.198>
- Bordag, D., & Rogahn, M. (2019). The role of literariness in second language incidental vocabulary acquisition. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 40(2), 399–425. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0142716418000620>
- Boutlussi, M., & Dixon, P. (2003). *Psychonarratology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Brenkman, J. (2022). Lyric. In P. C. Hogan, B. J. Irish, & L. P. Hogan (Eds.), *The Routledge companion to literature and emotion* (pp. 383–394). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367809843-38>
- Brewer, W. F., & Lichtenstein, E. H. (1982). Stories are to entertain: A structural-affect theory of stories. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 6(5–6), 473–486. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-2166\(82\)90021-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-2166(82)90021-2)
- Brink, T. T., Urton, K., Held, D., Kirilina, E., Hofmann, M. J., Klann-Delius, G., Jacobs, A. M., & Kuchinke, L. (2011). The role of orbitofrontal cortex in processing empathy stories in 4- to

- 8-year-old children. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2, Article 80. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00080>
- Brück, C., Kreifelts, K., Gößling-Arnold, C., Wertheimer, J., & Wildgruber, D. (2014). 'Inner voices': The cerebral representation of emotional voice cues described in literary texts. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 9(11), 1819–1827. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nst180>
- Bruhn, M. J. (2018). Citation analysis: An empirical approach to professional literary interpretation. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 8(1), 77–113. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.17009.bru>
- Bruhn, M. J. (2021). Philosophy of science, methodology, and theory development in empirical studies of literary experience. In D. Kuiken & A. M. Jacobs (Eds.), *Handbook of empirical literary studies* (pp. 487–513). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110645958-019>
- Burke, M. (2013). The rhetorical neuroscience of style: On the primacy of style elements during literary discourse processing. *Journal of Literary Semantics*, 42(2), 199–213. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jls-2013-0010>
- Burke, M. (2015). The neuroaesthetics of prose fiction: Pitfalls, parameters and prospects. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 9, Article 442. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2015.00442>
- Burke, M., Kumičová, A., Mangen, A., & Schilhab, T. (2016). Empathy at the confluence of neuroscience and empirical literary studies. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 6(1), 6–41. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.6.1.03bur>
- Burke, M., & Troscianko, E. T. (2013). Mind, brain, and literature: A dialogue on what humanities might offer the cognitive sciences. *Journal of Literary Semantics*, 42(2), 141–148. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jls-2013-0006>
- Burkett, C., & Goldman, S. R. (2016). “Getting the point” of literature: Relations between processing and interpretation. *Discourse Processes*, 53(5–6), 457–487. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0163853X.2016.1169969>
- Canning, P. (2017). Text World Theory and real world readers: From literature to life in a Belfast prison. *Language and Literature*, 26(2), 172–187. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963947017704731>
- Caracciolo, M. (2022). Cognitive science: Literary emotions from appraisal to embodiment. In P. C. Hogan, B. J. Irish, & L. P. Hogan (Eds.), *The Routledge companion to literature and emotion* (pp. 50–60). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367809843-6>
- Carminati, M. N., Stabler, J., Roberts, A. M., & Fischer, M. H. (2006). Readers' responses to sub-genre and rhyme scheme in poetry. *Poetics*, 34(3), 204–218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.poetic.2006.05.001>
- Carpenter, J. M., Green, C., & Fitzgerald, K. (2018). Mind-reading motivation: Individual differences in desire to perspective-take influence narrative processing. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 8(2), 211–238. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.18011.car>
- Carroll, J. (2007). The adaptive function of literature. In C. Martindale, P. Locher, & V. M. Petrov (Eds.), *Evolutionary and neurocognitive approaches to aesthetics, creativity and the arts* (pp. 31–45). New York: Baywood Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315224657>
- Carrol, G., Conklin, K., Guy, J. & Scott, R. (2015). Processing punctuation and word changes in

- different editions of prose fiction. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 5(2), 200–228. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.5.2.05con>
- Castiglione, D. (2017). Difficult poetry processing: Reading times and the narrativity hypothesis. *Language and Literature*, 26(2), 99–121. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963947017704726>
- Chen, Q., Zhang, J., Xu, X., Scheepers, C., Yang, Y., & Tanenhaus, M. K. (2017). Prosodic expectations in silent reading: ERP evidence from rhyme scheme and semantic congruence in classic Chinese poems. *Cognition*, 154, 11–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2016.05.007>
- Chesnokova, A. & van Peer, W. (2016). Anyone came to live here some time ago: A cognitive semiotics approach to deviation as a foregrounding device. *Versus: Quaderni di studi semiotici*, 122, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.14649/84875>
- Christmann, U., Wimmer, L., & Groeben, N. (2011). The aesthetic paradox in processing conventional and non-conventional metaphors. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 1(2), 199–240. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.1.2.03chr>
- Clark, B. (2009). Salient inferences: Pragmatics and *The Inheritors*. *Language and Literature*, 18(2), 173–212. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963947009105343>
- Clark, B. (2019). “Lazy reading” and “half-formed things”: Indeterminacy and responses to Eimear McBride’s *A Girl Is a Half-formed Thing*. In S. Chapman & B. Clark (Eds.), *Pragmatics and literature* (pp. 139–164). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lal.35.07cla>
- Clark, B. (2020). Manipulating inferences: Interpretative problems and their effects on readers. In S. Sorlin (Ed.), *Stylistic manipulation of the reader in contemporary fiction* (pp. 117–145). London: Bloomsbury. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781350062993.0012>
- Clark, B. (2020). Identity inferences: Implicatures, implications and extended interpretations. *Language and Literature*, 29(4), 424–445. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963947020969568>
- Corcoran, R., de Bezenac, C., & Davis, P. (2023). ‘Looking before and after’: Can simple eye tracking patterns distinguish poetic from prosaic texts? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, Article 1066303. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1066303>
- Cui, Y. (2017). Reader responses to shifts in point of view: An empirical study. *Language and Literature*, 26(2), 122–136. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963947017704727>
- Cupchik, G. (1995). Emotion in aesthetics: Reactive and reflective models. *Poetics*, 23(1–2), 177–188. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(94\)00014-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(94)00014-W)
- Cupchik, G. (1995). Introduction: Discussion paper for workshop on emotions. In G. Rusch (Ed.), *Empirical approaches to literature* (pp. 233–235). Siegen: LUMIS Publication.
- Cupchik, G. C., & Laszlo, J. (Eds.). (1992). *Emerging visions of the aesthetic process*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- de Beaugrande, R. (1978). Semantic evaluation of grammar in poetry. *PTL: A Journal for Descriptive Poetics and Theory of Literature*, 3, 315–325.
- de Beaugrande, R. (1985). Poetry and the ordinary reader: A study of immediate responses. *Empirical Studies of the Arts*, 3(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.2190/V0B6-JMKL-RKK8-MFAJ>
- de Beaugrande, R. (1987). Schemas for literary communication. In L. Halasz (Ed.), *Literary*

- discourse: Aspects of cognitive and social psychological approaches* (pp. 49–99). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110864236-003>
- de Beaugrande, R. (1987). The naive reader: Anarchy or self-reliance? *Empirical Studies of the Arts*, 5(2), 145–170. <https://doi.org/10.2190/PBCQ-AUJ0-765C-26NR>
- de Beaugrande, R. (1989). Naïve respondents and creative response. *SPIEL*, 8(2) 233–254.
- De Mulder, H. N. M., Hakemulder, F., van den Berghe, R., Klaassen, F., & van Berkum, J. J. A. (2017). Effects of exposure to literary narrative fiction: From book smart to street smart? *Scientific Study of Literature*, 7(1), 129–169. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.7.1.06dem>
- De Nooy, W. (1991). The uses of literary classifications. In E. Ibsch, D. Schram, & G. Steen (Eds.), *Empirical studies of literature: Proceedings of the second IGEL-conference, Amsterdam 1989* (pp. 213–221). Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- de Varies, C., Reijnierse, W. G., & Willems, R. M. (2018). Eye movements reveal readers' sensitivity to deliberate metaphors during narrative reading. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 8(1), 135–164. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.18008.vri>
- De Vooght, E., & Nemegeer, G. (2021). Reading and analysing short story collections: An empirical study of readers' interpretation process of Benni's *Il bar sotto il mare*. *Language and Literature*, 30(4), 361–380. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09639470211047817>
- Dillon, G. L. (1978). *Language processing and the reading of literature: Toward a model of comprehension*. Bloomington/London: Indiana University Press.
- Dillon, G. L. (1982). Styles of reading. *Poetics Today*, 3(2), 77–88. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1772067>
- Dissanayake, E. (2007). What art is and what art does: An overview of contemporary evolutionary hypotheses. In C. Martindale, P. Locher, & V. M. Petrov (Eds.), *Evolutionary and neurocognitive approaches to aesthetics, creativity and the arts* (pp. 1–14). New York: Baywood Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315224657>
- Dixon, P., & Bortolussi, M. (2001). Text is not communication: A challenge to a common assumption. *Discourse Processes*, 31(1), 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15326950dp3101_1
- Dixon, P., & Bortolussi, M. (2008). Textual and extra-textual manipulations in the empirical study of literary response. In S. Zyngier, M. Bortolussi, A. Chesnokova, & J. Auracher (Eds.), *Directions in empirical literary studies* (pp. 75–87). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lal.5.08dix>
- Dixon, P., & Bortolussi, M. (2011). The scientific study of literature: What can, has, and should be done. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 1(1), 59–71. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.1.1.06dix>
- Dixon, P., & Bortolussi, M. (2015). Measuring literary experience: Comment on Jacobs (2016). *Scientific Study of Literature*, 5(2), 178–182. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.5.2.03dix>
- Dixon, P., Bortolussi, M., & Mullins, B. (2015). Judging a book by its cover. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 5(1), 23–48. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.5.1.02dix>
- Dixon, P., Bortolussi, M., Twilley, L. C., & Leung, A. (1993). Literary processing and interpretation: Towards empirical foundation. *Poetics*, 22(1–2), 5–33. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(93\)90018-C](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(93)90018-C)
- Doche, A., & Ross, A. S. (2023). 'Here is my shameful confession. I don't really "get" poetry':

- Discerning reader types in responses to Sylvia Plath's *Ariel* on Goodreads. *Textual Practice*, 37(6), 976–996. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0950236X.2022.2082516>
- DuBravac, S., & Dalle, M. (2002). Reader question formation as a tool for measuring comprehension: Narrative and expository textual inferences in a second language. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 25(2), 217–231. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9817.00170>
- Eekhof, L. S., Eerland, A., & Willems, R. M. (2018). Readers' insensitivity to tense revealed: No differences in mental simulation during reading of present and past tense stories. *Collabra: Psychology*, 4(1), Article 16. <https://doi.org/10.1525/collabra.121>
- Egan, C., Cristino, F., Payne, J. S., Thierry, G., & Jones, M. W. (2020). How alliteration enhances conceptual-attentional interactions in reading. *Cortex*, 124, 111–118.
- Einstein, G. O., McDaniel, M. A., Owen, P. D., & Coté, N. C. (1990). Encoding and recall of texts: The importance of material appropriate processing. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 29(5), 566–581. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-596X\(90\)90052-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-596X(90)90052-2)
- Emmott, C., & Alexander, M. (2016). Defamiliarization and foregrounding: Representing experiences of change of state and perception in neurological illness autobiographies. In V. Sotirova (Ed.), *The Bloomsbury companion to stylistics* (pp. 289–307). London: Bloomsbury. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781472593603.0022>
- Emmott, C., Alexander, M., & Marszalek, A. (2023). Schema theory in stylistics. In M. Burke (Ed.), *The Routledge handbook of stylistics* (2nd ed., pp. 284–299). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367568887-20>
- Emmott, C., Sanford, A. J., & Alexander, M. (2013). Rhetorical control of readers' attention: Psychological and stylistic perspectives on foreground and background in narrative. In L. Bernaerts, D. de Geest, L. Herman, & B. Vervaeck (Eds.), *Stories and minds: Cognitive approaches to literary narrative* (pp. 39–57). Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt1ddr7zh.6>
- Erhard, K., Kessler, F., Neumann, N., Ortheil, H.-J., & Lotze, M. (2014). Professional training in creative writing is associated with enhanced fronto-striatal activity in a literary text continuation task. *NeuroImage*, 100, 15–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.05.076>
- Eva-Wood, A. L. (2004). How think-and-feel-aloud instruction influences poetry readers. *Discourse Processes*, 38(2), 173–192. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326950dp3802_2
- Eva-Wood, A. L. (2004). Thinking and feeling poetry: Exploring meanings aloud. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 96(1), 182–191. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.96.1.182>
- Eva-Wood, A. L. (2008). Does feeling come first?: How poetry can help readers broaden their understanding of metacognition. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 51(7), 564–576. <https://doi.org/10.1598/JAAL.51.7.4>
- Fechino, M., Jacobs, A. M., & Lüdtke, J. (2020). Following in Jakobson and Lévi-Strauss' footsteps: A neurocognitive poetics investigation of eye movements during the reading of Baudelaire's 'Les Chats'. *Journal of Eye Movement Research*, 13(3), Article 4. <https://doi.org/10.16910/jemr.13.3.4>
- Fialho, O. (2007). Foregrounding and refamiliarization: Understanding readers' responses to literary

- texts. *Language and Literature*, 16(2), 105–123. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963947007075979>
- Fialho, O. (2019). What is literature for? The role of transformative reading. *Cogent Arts & Humanities*, 6(1), Article 1692532. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2019.1692532>
- Fialho, O. (2024). *Transformative reading*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lal.42>
- Fishelov, D. (1998). The institutional definition of poetry: Some heretical thoughts. *Empirical Studies of the Arts*, 16(1), 5–13. <https://doi.org/10.2190/4QQL-FJXD-K5WW-YF7W>
- Fletcher, P. C., Frith, C. D., Baker, S. C., Shallice, T., Frackowiak, R. S. J., & Dolan, R. J. (1995). The mind's eye: Precuneus activation in memory-related imagery. *NeuroImage*, 2(3), 195–200. <https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.1995.1025>
- Fletcher, P. C., Happé, F., Frith, U., Baker, S. C., Dolan, R. J., Frackowiak, R. S. J., & Frith, C. D. (1995). Other minds in the brain: A functional imaging study of “theory of mind” in story comprehension. *Cognition*, 57(2), 109–128. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277\(95\)00692-R](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277(95)00692-R)
- Flower, L. (1987). Interpretive acts: Cognition and the construction of discourse. *Poetics*, 16(2), 109–130. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(87\)90021-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(87)90021-0)
- Fokin, D., Blohm, S. & Riekhakaynen, E. (2022). Reading Russian poetry: An expert–novice study. *Journal of Eye Movement Research*, 13(3), Article 7. <https://doi.org/10.16910/jemr.13.3.7>
- Fokkema, D., & Ibsch, E. (1987). *Modernist conjectures: A mainstream in European literature, 1910-1940*. London : C. Hurst.
- Fokkema, D., & Ibsch, E. (1995). *Theories of literature in the twentieth century: Structuralism, Marxism, aesthetics of reception, semiotics* (2nd ed.). London: C. Hurst & Compaby, New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Forster, M. (2019). Processing fluency. In M. Nadal & O. Vartanian (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of empirical aesthetics* (pp. 430–446). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198824350.013.21>
- Gafni, C., & Tsur, R. (2019). Some experimental evidence for sound-emotion interaction. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 9(1), 53–71. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.19002.gaf>
- Gambino, R., & Pulvirenti, G. (2019). Neurohermeneutics: A transdisciplinary approach to literature. *Gestalt Theory*, 41(2), 185–200. <https://doi.org/10.2478/gth-2019-0018>
- Gambino, R., Pulvirenti, G., Sylvester, T., Jacobs, A. M., & Lüdtke, J. (2020). The foregrounding assessment matrix: An interface for qualitative-quantitative interdisciplinary research. *Enthymema*, 26, 261–284. <https://doi.org/10.13130/2037-2426/14387>
- Gao, C., & Guo, C. (2018). The experience of beauty of Chinese poetry and its neural substrates. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, Article 1540. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01540>
- Gao, X., Dera, J., Nijhof, A. D., & Willems, R. M. (2019). Is less readable liked better? The case of font readability in poetry appreciation. *PLoS ONE*, 14(12), Article e0225757. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0225757>
- Gauche, G., & Flores, E. P. (2022). The role of inferences in reading comprehension: A critical analysis. *Theory & Psychology*, 32(2), 326–343. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09593543211043805>
- Gavaler, C., & Johnson, D. (2017). The genre effect: A science fiction (vs. realism) manipulation

- decreases inference effort, reading comprehension, and perceptions of literary merit. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 7(1), 79–108. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.7.1.04gav>
- Gavaler, C., & Johnson, D. (2019). Intrinsic text properties outweigh extrinsic expectations: The literary genre effect. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 9(1), 34–52. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.19010.joh>
- Gerrig, R. J. (2011). Individual differences in readers' narrative experiences. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 1(2), 88–94. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.1.1.09ger>
- Gerrig, R. J. (2022). Readers. In P. C. Hogan, B. J. Irish, & L. P. Hogan (Eds.), *The Routledge companion to literature and emotion* (pp. 305–316). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367809843-31>
- Geyer, T., Günther, F., Kacian, J., Müller, H. J., & Pierides, S. (2018). Reading haiku: What eye movements reveal about the construction of literary meaning – A pilot study. In T. Lachmann & T. Weis (Eds.), *Invariances in human information processing* (pp. 249–276). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315169903>
- Geyer, T., Günther, F., Müller, H. J., Kacian, J., Liesefeld, H. R., & Pierides, S. (2020). Reading English-language haiku: An eye-movement study of the 'cut effect.' *Journal of Eye Movement Research*, 13(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.16910/jemr.13.2.2>
- Geyer, T., Würschinger, Q., Kacian, J., Liesefeld, H. R., Müller, H. J., & Pierides, S. (2020). Reading haiku: Semantic distance and the 'cut effect.' *Juxtapositions: Research and Scholarship in Haiku*, 6, 9–29.
- Gibbs, R. W., Jr., & Colston, H. L. (2019). What psycholinguistic studies ignore about literary experience. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 9(1), 72–103. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.18009.gib>
- Gittel, B. (2026). Implicit messages of narratives and evaluative text structures: A network-based approach. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 15(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.61645/ssol.207>
- Gittel, B., Deutschländer, R., & Hecht, M. (2016). Conveying moods and knowledge-what-it-is-like through lyric poetry: An empirical study of authors' intentions and readers' responses. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 6(1), 131–163. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.6.1.07git>
- Givon, T. (1993). *English grammar: A functional-based introduction, Volume 2*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/z.engram2>
- Goldman, C. (2012, September 7). This is your brain on Jane Austen, and Stanford researchers are taking notes. *Stanford Arts*. <https://arts.stanford.edu/this-is-your-brain-on-jane-austen-and-stanford-researchers-are-taking-notes/>
- Goldman, S. R., McCarthy, K. S., & Burkett, C. (2015). Interpretive inferences in literature. In E. J. O'Brien, A. E. Cook, & R. F. Lorch, Jr. (Eds.), *Inferences during reading* (pp. 386–415). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107279186.018>
- Gottschall, J., Berkey, R., Cawson, M., Drown, C., Fleischner, M., Glotzbecker, M., Kernan, K., Magnan, T., Muse, K., Ogburn, C., Patterson, S., Skeels, C., Joseph, S. St., Weeks, S., Welsh, A., & Welch, E. (2003). Patterns of characterization in folktales across geographic regions and levels of cultural complexity: Literature as a neglected source of quantitative data. *Human*

- Nature*, 14, 365–382. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12110-003-1011-3>
- Graf, L. K. M., & Landwehr, J. R. (2015). A dual-process perspective on fluency-based aesthetics: The Pleasure-Interest Model of Aesthetic Liking. *Personality and Social Psychology*, 19(4), 395–410. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868315574978>
- Graves, B., & Frederiksen, C. H. (1991). Literary expertise in the description of a fictional narrative. *Poetics*, 20(1), 1–26. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(91\)90031-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(91)90031-J)
- Green, M. C., & Brock, T. C. (2000). The role of transportation in the persuasiveness of public narratives. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 79(5), 701–721. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.79.5.701>
- Groebe, N. (1980). Empirical methods for the study and interpretation of literature. *Discourse Processes*, 3(4), 345–367. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01638538009544497>
- Groebe, N. (1983). The function of interpretation in an empirical science of literature. *Poetics*, 12(2–3), 219–238. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(83\)90029-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(83)90029-3)
- Groebe, N., & Schreier, M. (1992). The hypothesis of the polyvalence convention: A systematic survey of the research development from a historical perspective. *Poetics*, 21(1–2), 5–32. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(92\)90019-Y](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(92)90019-Y)
- Groebe, N., & Schreier, M. (1995). Descriptive vs. prescriptive aspects of the concept of literature (Taking the polyvalence convention as an example). In G. Rusch (Ed.), *Empirical approaches to literature* (pp. 319–324). Siegen: LUMIS Publication.
- Groebe, N., & Schreier, M. (1998). Descriptive vs. prescriptive aspects of the concept of literature: The example of the polyvalence convention. *Poetics*, 26(1), 55–62. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-422X\(98\)00012-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-422X(98)00012-6)
- Gross, S. (1997). The word turned image: Reading pattern poems. *Poetics Today*, 18(1), 15–32. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1773231>
- Guy, J. M., Conklin, K., & Sanchez-Davies, J. (2018). Literary stylistics, authorial intention and the scientific study of literature: A critical overview. *Language and Literature*, 27(3), 196–217. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963947018788518>
- Hakemulder, J. (2000). *The moral laboratory: Experiments examining the effects of reading literature on social perception and moral self-concept*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Hakemulder, J. F. (2004). Foregrounding and its effect on readers' perception. *Discourse Processes*, 38(2), 193–218. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326950dp3802_3
- Hakemulder, J. (2008). Imaging what could happen: Effects of taking the role of a character on social cognition. In S. Zyngier, M. Bortolussi, A. Chesnokova, & J. Auracher (Eds.), *Directions in empirical literary studies: In honor of Willie van Peer* (pp. 139–153). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lal.5.13hak>
- Hakemulder, F. (2020). Finding meaning through literature: 'Foregrounding' as an emergent effect. *Anglistik: International Journal of English Studies*, 31(1), 91–110. <https://doi.org/10.33675/ANGL/2020/1/8>
- Hakemulder, F., & van Peer, W. (2016). Empirical stylistics. In V. Sotirova (Ed.), *The Bloomsbury*

- companion to stylistics* (pp. 189–207). London: Bloomsbury. 10.5040/9781472593603.0016
- Halász, L. (Ed.). (1987). *Literary discourse: Aspects of cognitive and social psychological approaches*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Halász, L. (1989). Social psychology, social cognition, and the empirical study of literature. *Poetics*, 18(1–2), 29–44. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(89\)90020-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(89)90020-X)
- Halász, L. (1991). Emotional effect and reminding in literary processing. *Poetics*, 20(3), 247–272. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(91\)90009-E](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(91)90009-E)
- Halász, L. (1991). Effect and reminding in literary text processing. In E. Ibsch., D. Schram, & G. Steen (Eds.), *Empirical studies in literature: Proceedings of the Second International Conference (1989)* (pp. 79–85). Amsterdam: Rodopi. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004657724_010
- Halász, L. (1997). Literary vs. historical text understanding: A comparative study of emotional effect. In S. Tötösy de Zepetnek & I. Sywenky (Eds.), *The systemic and empirical approach to literature and culture as theory and application* (pp. 437–452). Siegen: LUMIS Publication.
- Halász, L., Hantos, K., & Faa, B. (2001). A study of the effect of reception of works of art through an interactive CD-ROM. *Comparative Literature and Culture: A WWWeb Journal*, 3(3), Article 5. <https://doi.org/10.7771/1481-4374.1113>
- Hanauer, D. (1995). The effects of educational background on literary and poetic text categorization judgements. In G. Rusch (Ed.), *Empirical approaches to literature* (pp. 338–347). Siegen: LUMIS Publication.
- Hanauer, D. (1995). Literary and poetic text categorization judgements. *Journal of Literary Semantics*, 24(3), 187–210. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jlse.1995.24.3.187>
- Hanauer, D. (1996). Integration of phonetic and graphic features in poetic text categorization judgements. *Poetics*, 23(5), 363–380. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(95\)00010-H](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(95)00010-H)
- Hanauer, D. (1996). Academic literary competence testing. *Journal of Literary Semantics*, 25(2), 142–153. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jlse.1996.25.2.142>
- Hanauer, D. (1997). Reading literature and the world of multiliteracies. *SPIEL*, 16(1), 152–155.
- Hanauer, D. (1997). Reading poetry and surface information recall. In S. Tötösy de Zepetnek & I. Sywenky (Eds.), *The systemic and empirical approach to literature and culture as theory and application* (pp. 453–469). Siegen: LUMIS Publication.
- Hanauer, D. (1997). Poetry reading in the second language classroom. *Language Awareness*, 6(1), 2–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09658416.1997.9959912>
- Hanauer, D. (1997). Poetic text processing. *Journal of Literary Semantics*, 26(3), 157–172. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jlse.1997.26.3.157>
- Hanauer, D. (1997). Student teachers' knowledge of literacy practices in school. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 13(8), 847–862. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X\(97\)00024-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X(97)00024-3)
- Hanauer, D. (1998). The genre-specific hypothesis of reading: Reading poetry and encyclopedic items. *Poetics*, 26(2), 63–80. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-422X\(98\)00011-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-422X(98)00011-4)
- Hanauer, D. (1998). The effect of three literary educational methods on the development of genre knowledge. *Journal of Literary Semantics*, 27(1), 43–57.

<https://doi.org/10.1515/jlse.1998.27.1.43>

- Hanauer, D. (1998). Reading poetry: An empirical investigation of formalist, stylistic, and conventionalist claims. *Poetics Today*, 19(4), 565–580. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1773260>
- Hanauer, D. (1999). Attention and literary education: A model of literary knowledge development. *Language Awareness*, 8(1), 15–29. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09658419908667114>
- Hanauer, D. I. (2001). Focus-on-cultural understanding: Literary reading in the second language classroom. *CAUCE: Revista de Filología y su Didáctica*, 24, 389–404.
- Hanauer, D. I. (2001). The task of poetry reading and second language learning. *Applied Linguistics*, 22(3), 295–323. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/22.3.295>
- Hanauer, D. I. (2001). What we know about poetry: Theoretical positions and empirical research. In D. Schram & G. Steen (Eds.), *The psychology and sociology of literature* (pp. 107–128). Amsterdam / Philadelphia: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/upal.35.08han>
- Hanauer, D. (2001). The new critical method revisited: Explicit modeling, open discussion and the development of literary knowledge. *FRAME: Journal of Literary Studies*, 15, 12–37.
- Hanauer, D. I. (2003). Literature and applied linguistics: New perspectives. *Canadian Modern Language Review*, 60(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.3138/cmlr.60.1.1>
- Hanauer, D. I. (2003). Multicultural moments in poetry: The importance of the unique. *The Canadian Modern Language Review*, 60(1), 69–87. <https://doi.org/10.3138/cmlr.60.1.69>
- Hanauer, D. I. (2004). *Poetry and the meaning of life*. Toronto: Pippin.
- Hanauer, D. I. (2007). Attention-directed literary education: An empirical investigation. In G. Watson & S. Zyngier (Eds.), *Literature and stylistics for language learners: Theory and practice* (pp. 169–180). New York: Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230624856_13
- Hanauer, D. I. (2010). *Poetry as research: Exploring second language poetry writing*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lal.9>
- Hanauer, D. I. (2011). The scientific study of poetic writing. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 1(1), 79–87. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.1.1.08han>
- Hanauer, D. I. (2015). Beauty judgements of non-professional poetry: Regression analyses of authorial attribution, emotional response and perceived writing quality. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 5(2), 183–199. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.5.2.04han>
- Hanauer, D. I. (2018). Intermediate states of literariness: Poetic lining, sociological positioning, and the activation of literariness. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 8(1), 114–134. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.18001.han>
- Hanauer, D. I. (2025). The writing processes and importance of quality images for self-discovery in poetic inquiry. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 14(1), 45–59. <https://doi.org/10.61645/ssol.187>
- Hanauer, D. I., & Waksman, S. (2001). The role of explicit moral points in fable reading. *Discourse Processes*, 30(2), 107–132. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15326950DP3002_02
- Harash, A. (2022). The model of failed foregrounding. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the*
- Harding, J. R. (2023). Reader response criticism and stylistics. In M. Burke (Ed.), *The Routledge handbook of stylistics* (2nd ed., pp. 69–85). London: Routledge.

<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367568887-6>

- Harker, W. J. (1987). Literary theory and the reading process. *Written Communication*, 4(3), 235–252. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088387004003001>
- Harker, W. J. (1989). Information processing and the reading of literary texts. *New Literary History*, 20(2), 465–481. <https://doi.org/10.2307/469111>
- Harker, W. J. (1994). “Plain sense” and “poetic significance”: Tenth-grade readers reading two poems. *Poetics*, 22(3), 199–218. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(94\)90005-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(94)90005-1)
- Harker, W. J. (1996). Toward a defensible psychology of literary interpretation. In R. J. Kreuz & M. S. MacNealy (Eds.), *Empirical approaches to literature and aesthetics* (pp. 645–658). Norwood: Ablex.
- Harker, W. J. (1999). Inferential processing and the comprehension of literary texts. *Journal of Literary Semantics*, 28(2), 79–91. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jlse.1999.28.2.79>
- Harrison, C., & Nuttall, L. (2018). Re-reading in stylistics. *Language and Literature*, 27(3), 176–195. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963947018792719>
- Hartung, F., Wang, Y., Mak, M., Willems, R., & Chatterjee, A. (2020, October 21). Out of the Ordinary: Neural correlates of literary form and emotional content in aesthetic engagement with literature. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/tuwmz>
- Hartung, F., Wang, Y., Mak, M., Willem, R., & Chatterjee, A. (2021). Aesthetic appraisals of literary style and emotional intensity in narrative engagement are neurally dissociable. *Communications Biology*, 4, Article 1401. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-02926-0>
- Hauptmeier, H., Meutsch, D., & Viehoff, R. (1987). *Literary understanding from an empirical point of view*. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- Hauptmeier, H., Meutsch, D., & Viehoff, R. (1989). Empirical research on understanding literature. *Poetics Today*, 10(3), 563–604. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1772905>
- Hayles, N. K. (2007). Hyper and deep attention: The generational divide in cognitive modes. *Profession* (2007), 187–199. <https://doi.org/10.1632/prof.2007.2007.1.187>
- Hejl, P. M. (1989). *Self-regulation in social systems: Explaining the process of research*. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- Heller, W. (1994). Cognitive and emotional organization of the brain: Influences on the creation and perception of art. In D. Zaidel (Ed.), *Neuropsychology* (pp. 271–292). San Diego: Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-092668-1.50018-1>
- Henry, J. (2013, January 13). Shakespeare and Wordsworth boost the brain, new research reveals. *The Telegraph*. <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/science/science-news/9797617/Shakespeare-and-Wordsworth-boost-the-brain-new-research-reveals.html>
- Herman, D. (2009). *Basic elements of narrative*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444305920>
- Hicks, D. (1991). Kinds of narrative: Genre skills among first graders from two communities. In A. McCabe & C. Peterson (Eds.), *Developing narrative structure* (pp. 55–87). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

- Hoffstaedter, P. (1987). Poetic text processing and its empirical investigation. *Poetics*, 16(1), 75–91.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(87\)90037-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(87)90037-4)
- Hoffstaedter, P. (1988). Poetic texts or poetic processing? In J. S. Petöfi & T. Olivi (Eds.), *Von der verbalen Konstitution zur symbolischen Bedeutung: From verbal constitution to symbolic meaning* (pp. 415–438). Hamburg: Helmut Buske.
- Hoffstaedter-Kohn, P. (1991). Linguistic competence and poetic text processing. In E. Ibsch, D. Schram, & G. Steen (Eds.), *Empirical studies in literature: Proceedings of the Second International Conference (1989)* (pp. 87–96). Amsterdam: Rodopi.
https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004657724_011
- Hogan, P. C. (1997). Literary universals. *Poetics Today*, 18(2), 223–249.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1773433>
- Hogan, P. C. (2014). Stylistics, emotion and neuroscience. In M. Burke (Ed.), *The Routledge handbook of stylistics* (pp. 516–530). London: Routledge.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315795331>
- Hogan, P. C. (2014). Literary brains: Neuroscience, criticism, and theory. *Literature Compass*, 11(4), 293–304. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lic3.12144>
- Hogan, P. C. (2022). Stories: Particular causes and universal genres. In P. C. Hogan, B. J. Irish, & L. P. Hogan (Eds.), *The Routledge companion to literature and emotion* (pp. 328–339). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367809843-33>
- Hogan, P. C. (2023). Stylistics, emotion, and neuroscience. In M. Burke (Ed.), *The Routledge handbook of stylistics* (2nd ed., pp. 577–594). London: Routledge.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367568887-38>
- Holland, N. N. (2007). Literary creativity: A neuropsychanalytic view. In C. Martindale, P. Locher, & V. M. Petrov (Eds.), *Evolutionary and neurocognitive approaches to aesthetics, creativity and the arts* (pp. 165–180). New York: Baywood Publishing.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315224657>
- Hollis, H. (2021). An investigation into the relationship between fiction and nonfiction reading exposure, and factors of critical thinking. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 11(1), 108–141.
<https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.20014.hol>
- Holthuis, S. (1994). Intertextuality and meaning construction: An approach to the comprehension of intertextual poetry. In J. S. Petöfi & T. Olivi (Eds.), *Approaches to poetry: Some aspects of textuality, intertextuality and intermediality* (pp. 77–93). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Hoorn, J. (1996). Psychophysiology and literary processing: ERPs to semantic and phonological deviations in reading small verses. In R. J. Kreuz & M. S. MacNealy (Eds.), *Empirical approaches to literature and aesthetics* (pp. 339–358). Norwood: Ablex.
- Hoorn, J. (1997). Electrocortical evidence for the anomaly theory of metaphor processing: A brief introduction. In S. Tötösy de Zepetnek & I. Sywenky (Eds.), *The systemic and empirical approach to literature and culture as theory and application* (pp. 67–74). Siegen: LUMIS Publication.
- Hsu, C., Conrad, M., & Jacobs, A. M. (2014). Fiction feelings in Harry Potter: H aemodynamic

- response in the mid-cingulate cortex correlates with immersive reading experience. *NeuroReport*, 25(17), 1356–1361. <https://doi.org/10.1097/WNR.0000000000000272>
- Hsu, C., Jacobs, A. M., Altmann, U., & Conrad, M. (2015). The magical activation of left amygdala when reading Harry Potter: An fMRI study on how descriptions of supra-natural events entertain and enchant. *PLoS ONE*, 10(2), Article e0118179. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118179>
- Hsu, C., Jacobs, A. M., Citron, F. M. M., & Conrad, M. (2015). The emotion potential of words and passages in reading Harry Potter: An fMRI study. *Brain & Language*, 142, 96–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2015.01.011>
- Hsu, C., Jacobs, A. M., & Conrad, M. (2015). Can Harry Potter still put a spell on us in a second language? An fMRI study on reading emotion-laden literature in late bilinguals. *Cortex*, 63, 282–295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2014.09.002>
- Hugentobler, K. G., & Lüdtke, J. (2021). Micropoetry meets neurocognitive poetics: Influence of associations on the reception of poetry. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, Article 737756. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.737756>
- Hunt, R. A. (1988). *Pragmatic aspects of literary reading*. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- Hunt, R. A. (1989). Learning to converse with texts: Some real readers, some real texts, and the pragmatic situation. *SPIEL*, 8(1), 107–130.
- Hunt, R. A. (1990). The parallel socialization of reading research and literary theory. In D. Bogdan & S. B. Straw (Eds.), *Beyond communication: Reading comprehension and criticism* (pp. 91-105). Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook Publishers.
- Hunt, R. A. (1999). Conditions of reception, conditions of construction: The strange case of 'Mons, Anzac and Kut.' *Poetics*, 26(5–6), 455–468. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-422X\(99\)00013-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-422X(99)00013-3)
- Hunt, R. A., & Vipond, D. (1985). Crash-testing a transactional model of literary reading. *Reader: Essays in Reader-Oriented Theory, Criticism, and Pedagogy*, 14, 23–39.
- Hunt, R. A., & Vipond, D. (1986). Evaluations in literary reading. *Text: Interdisciplinary Journal for the Study of Discourse*, 6(1), 53–71. <https://doi.org/10.1515/text.1.1986.6.1.53>
- Ibsch, E. (1996). The conventions of interpretation. In H. Hendrix, J. Kloek, S. Levie, & W. van Peer (Eds.), *The search for a new alphabet: Literary studies in a changing world: In honor of Douwe Fokkema* (pp. 111–117). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/z.76.23ibs>
- Ibsch, E. (1997). Systems theory and the concept of "communication" in literary studies. *Canadian Review of Comparative Literature*, 24(1), 115–118.
- Ibsch, E., Schram, D., & Steen, G. (Eds.). (1991). *Empirical studies of literature: Proceedings of the second IGEL-conference, Amsterdam 1989*. Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Irish, B. J. (2022). Social reception. In P. C. Hogan, B. J. Irish, & L. P. Hogan (Eds.), *The Routledge companion to literature and emotion* (pp. 317–327). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367809843-32>
- Ivanski, C., Maskej, M. M., & Mar, R. A. (2022). Empirical approaches to studying emotion in literature: The case of gender. In P. C. Hogan, B. J. Irish, & L. P. Hogan (Eds.), *The Routledge*

- companion to literature and emotion* (pp. 74–84). London: Routledge.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367809843-8>
- Jacobs, A. M. (2015). Towards a neurocognitive poetics model of literary reading. In R. M. Willems (Ed.), *Cognitive neuroscience of natural language use* (pp. 135–159). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107323667.007>
- Jacobs, A. M. (2015). Neurocognitive poetics: Methods and models for investigating the neuronal and cognitive-affective bases of literature reception. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 9, Article 186. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2015.00186>
- Jacobs, A. M. (2015). The scientific study of literature experience: Sampling the state of the art. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 5(2), 139–170. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.5.2.01jac>
- Jacobs, A. M. (2016). The scientific study of literary experience and neuro-behavioral response to literature: Reply to commentaries. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 6(1), 164–174. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.6.1.08jac>
- Jacobs, A. M. (2017). Quantifying the beauty of words: A neurocognitive poetics perspective. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 11, Article 622. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2017.00622>
- Jacobs, A. M. (2018). The Gutenberg English Poetry Corpus: Exemplary quantitative narrative analyses. *Frontiers in Digital Humanities*, 5, Article 5. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fdigh.2018.00005>
- Jacobs, A. M. (2018). (Neuro-)cognitive poetics and computational stylistics. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 8(1), 165–208. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.18002.jac>
- Jacobs, A. M. (2022). The Neurocognitive Poetic Model of Literary Reading 10 years after. In A. Chatterjee & E. R. Cardillo (Eds.), *Brain, beauty, & art: Essays bringing neuroaesthetics in focus* (pp. 177–181). New York: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197513620.003.0035>
- Jacobs, A. M., & Kinder, A. (2020). Computing the affective-aesthetic potential of literary texts. *AI*, 1(1), 11–27. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ai1010002>
- Jacobs, A. M., & Lüdtke, J. (2017). Immersion into narrative and poetic worlds: A neurocognitive poetics perspective. In F. Hakemulder, M. M. Kuijpers, E. S. Tan, K. Bálint, & M. M. Doicaru (Eds.), *Narrative absorption* (pp. 69–96). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lal.27.05jac>
- Jacobs, A. M., Lüdtke, J., Aryani, A., Meyer-Sickendieck, B., & Conrad, M. (2016). Mood-empathic and aesthetic responses in poetry reception: A model-guided, multilevel, multimethod approach. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 6(1), 87–130. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.6.1.06jac>
- Jacobs, A. M., Schuster, S., Xue, S., & Lüdtke, J. (2017). What's in the brain that ink may character...: A quantitative narrative analysis of Shakespeare's 154 sonnets for use in (Neuro-)cognitive poetics. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 7(1), 4–51. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.7.1.02jac>
- Jacobs, A. M., & Willems, R. M. (2018). The fictive brain: Neurocognitive correlates of engagement in literature. *Review of General Psychology*, 22(2), 147–160.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/gpr0000106>

- Johnson-Laird, P. N., & Oatley, K. (2022). How poetry evokes emotions. *Acta Psychologica*, 224, Article 103506. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2022.103506>
- Kaakinen, J. K. & Simola, J. (2020). Fluctuation in pupil size and spontaneous blinks reflect story transportation. *Journal of Eye Movement Research*, 13(3), Article 6. <https://doi.org/10.16910/jemr.13.3.6>
- Kane, J. (2004). Poetry as right-hemispheric language. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 11(5–6), 21–59.
- Kantz, M. (1987). Toward a pedagogically useful theory of literary reading. *Poetics*, 16(2), 155–168. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(87\)90023-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(87)90023-4)
- Kintsch, W. (1980). Learning from text, levels of comprehension, or: Why anyone would read a story anyway. *Poetics*, 9(1–3), 87–98. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(80\)90013-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(80)90013-3)
- Kintsch, W. (1998). *Comprehension: A paradigm for cognition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kneepkens, E. W. E. M., & Zwaan, R. A. (1994). Emotions and literary text comprehension. *Poetics*, 23(1–2), 125–138. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(94\)00021-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(94)00021-W)
- Knoop, C. A., Wagner, V., Jacobsen, T., & Menninghaus, W. (2016). Mapping the aesthetic space of literature “from below”. *Poetics*, 56, 35–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.poetic.2016.02.001>
- Knowles, K., Schaffner, A. K., Weger, U., & Roberts, A. M. (2012). Reading space in visual poetry: New cognitive perspectives. *Writing Technologies*, 4, 75–106.
- Koopman, E. M. (2011). Predictors of insight and catharsis among readers who use literature as a coping strategy. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 1(2), 241–259. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.1.2.04koo>
- Koopman, E. M. (2015). How texts about suffering trigger reflection: Genre, personal factors, and affective responses. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 9(4), 430–441. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000006>
- Kotovych, M., Dixon, P., Bortolussi, M., & Holden, M. (2011). Textual determinants of a component of literary identification. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 1(2), 260–291. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.1.2.05kot>
- Kreuz, R. & MacNealy, M. (Eds.). (1996). *Empirical approaches to literature and aesthetics*. Norwood: Ablex.
- Kuijpers, M. M., Douglas, S., & Bálint, K. (2021). Narrative absorption: An overview. In D. Kuiken & A. M. Jacobs (Eds.), *Handbook of empirical literary studies* (pp. 279–304). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110645958-012>
- Kuijpers, M., Douglas, S., & Kuiken, D. (2019). Personality traits and reading habits that predict absorbed narrative fiction reading. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 13(1), 74–88. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000168>
- Kuijpers, M. M., Douglas, S., & Kuiken, D. (2020). Capturing the ways we read: Introducing the Reading Habits Questionnaire. *Anglistik: International Journal of English Studies*, 31(1), 53–69. <https://doi.org/10.33675/ANGL/2020/1/6>

- Kuijpers, M. M., Hakemulder, F., Balint, K., Doicaru, M., & Tan E. (2017). Towards a new understanding of absorbing reading experiences. In F. Hakemulder, M. M. Kuijpers, E. S. Tan, K. Bálint, & M. M. Doicaru (Eds.), *Narrative absorption* (pp. 29–47). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lal.27.03kui> Copy the DOI
- Kuijpers, M. M., Hakemulder, F., Tan, E. S., & Doicaru, M. M. (2014). Exploring absorbing reading experiences: Developing and validating a self-report scale to measure story world absorption. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 4(1), 89–122. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.4.1.05kui>
- Kuiken, D. (2008). A theory of expressive reading. In S. Zyngier, M. Bortolussi, A. Chesnokova, & J. Auracher (Eds.), *Directions in empirical literary studies: In honor of Willie van Peer* (pp. 49–68). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lal.5.06kui>
- Kuiken, D. (2015). The implicit erasure of "literary experience" in empirical studies of literature: Comment on "The scientific study of literary experience: Sampling the state of the art" by Arthur Jacobs. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 5(2), 171–177. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.5.2.02kui>
- Kuiken, D., Campbell, P., & Sopčák, P. (2012). The Experiencing Questionnaire: Locating exceptional reading moments, *Scientific Study of Literature*, 2(2), 243–272. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.2.2.04kui>
- Kuiken, D., & Douglas, S. (2017). Forms of absorption that facilitate the aesthetic and explanatory effects of literary reading. In F. Hakemulder, M. M. Kuijpers, E. S. Tan, K. Bálint, & M. M. Doicaru (Eds.), *Narrative absorption* (pp. 217–249). Philadelphia: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lal.27.12kui>
- Kuzmičová, A., Mangen, A., Støle, H., & Begnum, A. C. (2017). Literature and readers' empathy: A qualitative text manipulation study. *Language and Literature*, 26(2), 137–152. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963947017704729>
- Langer, F. (2012). Mental imagery, emotion, and 'literary task sets': Clues towards a literary neuroart. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 19(7–8), 168–215.
- Langer, J. (1989). *The process of understanding literature* (Report Series 2.1). Albany, NY: Center for the Learning and Teaching of Literature.
- László, J. (1993). *The text-processing approach to literary narratives*. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- László, J. (1999). *Cognition and representation in literature: The psychology of literary narratives*. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.
- László, J., & Cupchik, G. (2003). Psychology of literary narratives: Studies of identity and conflict. *Empirical Studies of the Arts*, 21(1), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.2190/0EYB-5JKQ-HVK2-GP>
- László, J., & Viehoff, R. (1992). *Genre-specific knowledge and literary understanding: Some empirical investigations*. Siegen, Germany: LUMIS Publications.
- Lauwers, J., Deneire, T., & Eelbode, D. (2015). The question of literary quality: A rhetorical and game-theoretical approach. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 5(1), 3–22. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.5.1.01lau>
- Lea, R. B., Rapp, D. N., Elfenbein, A., Mitchel, A. D., & Romine, R. S. (2008). Sweet silent thought: Alliteration and resonance in poetry comprehension. *Psychological Science*, 19(7), 709–716.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02146.x>

- Leder, H., & Belke, B. (2007). Art and cognition: Cognitive processes in art appreciation. In C. Martindale, P. Locher, & V. M. Petrov (Eds.), *Evolutionary and neurocognitive approaches to aesthetics, creativity and the arts* (pp. 149–163). New York: Baywood Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315224657>
- Lee, C. D. (1995). A culturally based cognitive apprenticeship: Teaching African American high school students skills in literary interpretation. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 30(4), 608–630. <https://doi.org/10.2307/748192>
- Lee, C. D. (2011). Education and the study of literature. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 1(1), 49–58. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.1.1.05lee>
- Lee, C. D., & Goldman, S. R. (2015). Assessing literary reasoning: Text and task complexities. *Theory Into Practice*, 54(3), 213–227. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405841.2015.1044369>
- Lee, C. D., Goldman, S. R., Levine, S., & Magliano, J. (2016). Epistemic cognition in literary reasoning. In J. A. Greene, W. A. Sandoval, & I. Bråten (Eds.), *Handbook of epistemic cognition* (pp. 165–183). New York: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315795225>
- Lehne, M., Engel, P., Rohrmeier, M., Menninghaus, W., Jacobs, A. M., & Koelsch, S. (2015). Reading a suspenseful literary text activates brain areas related to social cognition and predictive inference. *PLoS ONE*, 10(5), Article e0124550. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124550>
- Lehr, S. (1988). The child's developing sense of theme as a response to literature. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 23(3), 337–357. <https://doi.org/10.2307/748046>
- Lernout, G. (1996). Against interpretation: Hermeneutics and empirical studies. In H. Hendrix, J. Kloek, S. Levie, & W. van Peer (Eds.), *The search for a new alphabet: Literary studies in a changing world: In honor of Douwe Fokkema* (pp. 140–144). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/z.76.28ler>
- Levine, S. (2019). Using everyday language to support students in constructing thematic interpretations. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 28(1), 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10508406.2018.1485023>
- Levine, S., & Horton, W. S. (2013). Using affective appraisal to help readers construct literary interpretations. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 3(1), 105–136. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.3.1.10lev>
- Levine, S., & Horton, W. (2015). Helping high school students read like experts: Affective evaluation, salience, and literary interpretation. *Cognition and Instruction*, 33(2), 125–153. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07370008.2015.1029609>
- Levine, W. H., & Raines, S. K. (2025). The role of different spoiler types in the experience of short stories. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 14(1), 27–44. <https://doi.org/10.61645/ssol.190>
- Levine, S., Trepper, K., Chung, R. H., & Coelho, R. (2021). How feeling supports students' interpretive discussions about literature. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 53(4), 491–515. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1086296X211052249>
- Lin, H. W., & Hsu, M. H. (2012). Exploring the mystery of literary reading: A psychophysiological

- perspective. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity*, 2(6), 459–461. <https://doi.org/10.7763/IJSSH.2012.V2.146>
- Loi, C., Hakemulder, F., Kuijpers, M., & Lauer, G. (2023). On how fiction impacts the self-concept: Transformative reading experiences and storyworld possible selves. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 12(1), 44–67. <https://doi.org/10.61645/ssol.181>
- Louwerse, M., Benesh, N., & Zhang, B. (2008). Computationally discriminating literary from non-literary texts. In S. Zyngier, M. Bortolussi, A. Chesnokova, & J. Auracher (Eds.), *Directions in empirical literary studies: In honor of Willie van Peer* (pp. 175–191). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lal.5.16lou>
- Lüdtke, J., Meyer-Sickendieck, B., & Jacobs, A. M. (2014). Immersing in the stillness of an early morning: Testing the mood empathy hypothesis of poetry reception. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 8(3), 363–377. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0036826>
- Magliano, J. P., Baggett, W. B., & Graesser, A. C. (1996). A taxonomy of inference categories that may be generated during the comprehension of literary texts. In R. J. Kreuz and M. S. macNealy (Eds.), *Empirical approaches to literature and aesthetics* (pp. 201–220). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Mak, M., Faber, M., & Willems, R. M. (2022). Different routes to liking: How readers arrive at narrative evaluations. *Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications*, 7, Article 72. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41235-022-00419-0>
- Manin, D. Y. (2012). The right word in the left place: Measuring lexical foregrounding in poetry and prose. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 2(2), 273–300. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.2.2.05man>
- Mar, R. A. (2004). The neuropsychology of narrative: Story comprehension, story production and their interrelation. *Neuropsychologia*, 42(10), 1414–1434. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2003.12.016>
- Marshall, J. (2000). Research on response to literature. In M. L. Kamil, P. B. Mosenthal, P. D. Pearson, & R. Barr (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research (volume 3)* (pp. 381–402). London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315200613>
- Martindale, A., & Martindale, C. (1991). Stimulus and temperament as determinants of imaginative reaction to texts. In E. Ibsch, D. Schram, & G. Steen (Eds.), *Empirical studies of literature: Proceedings of the second IGEL-conference, Amsterdam 1989* (pp. 111–116). Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Martindale, C. (1975). *Romantic progression: The psychology of literary history*. Washington: Hemisphere.
- Martindale, C. (Ed.). (1988). *Psychological approaches to the study of literary narratives*. Hamburg: Helmut Buske.
- Martindale, C. (1988). Positivism, constructivism, and poetics. *SPIEL*, 7(2), 209–242.
- Mason, R. A., & Just, M. A. (2006). Neuroimaging contributions to the understanding of discourse processes. In M. Traxler & M. A. Gernsbacher (Eds.), *Handbook of psycholinguistics* (2nd ed., pp. 765–799). Amsterdam: Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012369374-7/50020-1>
- Mason, R. A., & Just, M. A. (2013). Identifying component discourse processing from their fMRI

- time course signatures. In M. A. Britt, S. R. Goldman, & J-F. Rouet (Eds.), *Reading: From words to multiple texts* (pp. 147–159). New York: Routledge.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203131268>
- Matravers, D. (2022). Sympathy and empathy. In P. C. Hogan, B. J. Irish, & L. P. Hogan (Eds.), *The Routledge companion to literature and emotion* (pp. 144–154). London: Routledge.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367809843-15>
- McCarthy, K. S. (2015). Reading beyond the lines: A critical review of cognitive approaches to literary interpretation and comprehension. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 5(1), 99–128.
<https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.5.1.05mcc>
- McCarthy, K. S., & Goldman, S. R. (2015). Comprehension of short stories: Effects of task instructions on literary interpretation. *Discourse Processes*, 52(7), 585–608.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0163853X.2014.967610>
- McCarthy, K. S., & Goldman, S. R. (2019). Constructing interpretive inferences about literary text: The role of domain-specific knowledge. *Learning and Instruction*, 60, 245–251.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2017.12.004>
- McCarthy, K. S., Magliano, J. P., Levine, S. R., Elfenbein, A., & Horton, W. S. (2021). Constructing mental models in literary reading: The role of interpretive inferences. In D. Kuiken & A. M. Jacobs (Eds.), *Handbook of empirical literary studies* (pp. 85–118). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
<https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110645958-005>
- Menninghaus, W., & Blohm, S. (2019). Empirical aesthetics of poetry. In M. Nadal & O. Vartanian (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of empirical aesthetics* (pp. 704–720). Oxford University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198824350.013.33>
- Menninghaus, W., Bohrn, I. C., Knoop, C. A., Kotz, S. A., Schlotz, W., & Jacobs, A. M. (2015). Rhetorical features facilitate prosodic processing while handicapping ease of semantic comprehension. *Cognition*, 143, 48–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2015.05.026>
- Menninghaus, W., Schindler, I., Wagner, V., Wassiliwizky, E., Hanich, J., Jacobsen, T., & Koelsch, S. (2020). Aesthetic emotions are a key factor in aesthetic evaluation: Reply to Skov and Nadal (2020). *Psychological Review*, 127(4), 650–654. <https://doi.org/10.1037/rev0000213>
- Menninghaus, W., Wagner, V., Wassiliwizky, E., Jacobsen, T., & Knoop, C. A. (2017). The emotional and aesthetic powers of parallelistic diction. *Poetics*, 63, 47–59.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.poetic.2016.12.001>
- Menninghaus, W., Wagner, V., Wassiliwizky, E., Schindler, I., Hanich, J., Jacobsen, T., & Koelsch, S. (2019). What are aesthetic emotions? *Psychological Review*, 126(2), 171–195.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/rev0000135>
- Menninghaus, W., & Wallot, S. (2021). What the eyes reveal about (reading) poetry. *Poetics*, 85, Article 101526. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.poetic.2020.101526>
- Meutsch, D. (1986). Mental models in literary discourse: Towards the integration of linguistic and psychological levels of description. *Poetics*, 15(3), 307–331.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(86\)90024-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(86)90024-0)
- Meutsch, D. (1987). Cognitive processes in reading literary texts: The influence of context, goals

- and situations. *Empirical Studies of the Arts*, 5(2), 115–137.
<https://doi.org/10.2190/4CDH-75FT-WDPP-NUE4>
- Meutsch, D. (1988). Active readers in literary comprehension: An interdisciplinary framework. *Mosaic*, 21(3), 139–147. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24780603>
- Meutsch, D. (1989). How to do thoughts with words II: Degrees of explicitness in think-aloud during the comprehension of literary and expository texts with different types of readers. *Poetics*, 18(1–2), 45–71. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(89\)90021-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(89)90021-1)
- Meutsch, D., & Schmidt, S. J. (1985). On the role of conventions in understanding literary texts. *Poetics*, 14(6), 551–574. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(85\)90018-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(85)90018-X)
- Meutsch, D., & Viehoff, R. (1986). Why empirical aesthetics in *Poetics*? *Poetics*, 15(4–6), 337–343. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(86\)90002-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(86)90002-1)
- Meutsch, D., & Viehoff, R. (Eds.). (1988). *The comprehension of literary discourse: Results and problems of interdisciplinary approaches*. Berlin: W de Gruyter.
- Miall, D. S. (1989). Beyond the schema given: Affective comprehension of literary narratives. *Cognition and Emotion*, 3(1), 55–78. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699938908415236>
- Miall, D. S. (1995). Anticipation and feeling in literary response: A neuropsychological perspective. *Poetics*, 23(4), 275–298. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(95\)00004-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(95)00004-4)
- Miall, D. S. (1998). A feeling for foregrounding: Why conventionalism isn't the whole story. Available online at: <http://www.ualberta.ca/~dmiall/reading/Munich98.htm>
- Miall, D. S. (2000). On the necessity of empirical studies of literary reading. *FRAME: Journal of Literary Studies*, 14(2–3), 43–59.
- Miall, D. S. (2001). An evolutionary framework for literary reading. In D. Schram & G. Steen (Eds.), *The psychology and sociology of literature* (pp. 407–419). Amsterdam / Philadelphia: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/upal.35.23mia>
- Miall, D. S. (2005). Beyond interpretation: The cognitive significance of reading. In H. Veivo, B. Pettersson, & M. Polvinen (Eds.), *Cognition and literary interpretation in practice* (pp. 129–156). Helsinki: Helsinki University Press.
- Miall, D. S. (2006). Experimental approaches to reader responses to literature. In P. Locher, C. Martindale & L. Dorfman (Eds.), *New directions in aesthetics, creativity and the arts* (pp. 175–188). Amityville, NY: Baywood Publishing Company. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315224084>
- Miall, D. S. (2006). *Literary reading: Empirical and theoretical studies*. New York: Peter Lang.
- Miall, D. S. (2008). Foregrounding and feeling in response to narrative. In S. Zyngier, M. Bortolussi, A. Chesnokova, & J. Auracher (Eds.), *Directions in empirical literary studies: In honor of Willie van Peer* (pp. 89–102). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lal.5.09mia>
- Miall, D. S. (2009). Neuroaesthetics of literary reading. In M. Skov & O. Vartanian (Eds.), *Neuroaesthetics* (pp. 233–247). New York: Baywood Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315224091>
- Miall, D. S. (2010). Afterword: Reading through the machine. In W. van Peer, S. Zyngier, & V.

- Viana (Eds.), *Literary education and digital learning: Methods and technologies for humanities studies* (pp. 187–198). New York: Information Science Reference. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-60566-932-8>
- Miall, D. S. (2011). Science in the perspective of literariness. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 1(1), 7–14. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.1.1.01mia>
- Miall, D. S. (2011). Enacting the other: Towards an aesthetics of feeling in literary reading. In E. Schellekens & P. Goldie (Eds.), *The aesthetic mind: Philosophy and psychology* (pp. 285–298). Oxford: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199691517.003.0017>
- Miall, D. S. (2016). Literariness. In R. H. Jones (Ed.), *The Routledge handbook of language and creativity* (pp. 191–205). New York: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315694566>
- Miall, D. S. (2018). Towards an empirical model of literariness. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 8(1), 21–46. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.00007.mia>
- Miall, D. S., & Dissanayake, E. (2003). The poetics of babytalk. *Human Nature*, 14(4), 337–364. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12110-003-1010-4>
- Miall, D. S., & Kuiken, D. (1994). Beyond text theory: Understanding literary response. *Discourse Processes*, 17(3), 337–352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01638539409544873>
- Miall, D. S., & Kuiken, D. (1994). Foregrounding, defamiliarization, and affect: Response to literary stories. *Poetics*, 22(5), 389–407. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(94\)00011-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(94)00011-5)
- Miall, D. S., & Kuiken, D. (1995). Aspects of literary response: A new questionnaire. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 29(1), 37–58. <https://doi.org/10.58680/rte199515356>
- Miall, D. S., & Kuiken, D. (1998). The form of reading: Empirical studies of literariness. *Poetics*, 25(6), 327–341. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-422X\(98\)90003-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-422X(98)90003-1)
- Miall, D. S., & Kuiken, D. (1999). What is literariness? Three components of literary reading. *Discourse Processes*, 28(2), 121–138. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01638539909545076>
- Miall, D. S., & Kuiken, D. (2001). Shifting perspectives: Readers' feelings and literary response. In W. van Peer & S. Chatman (Eds.), *New perspectives on narrative perspective* (pp. 289–301). Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Miall, D. S., & Kuiken, D. (2002). A feeling for fiction: Becoming what we behold. *Poetics*, 30(4), 221–241. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-422X\(02\)00011-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-422X(02)00011-6)
- Mooji, H. (1996). Interpretation and explanation. In H. Hendrix, J. Kloek, S. Levie, & W. van Peer (Eds.), *The search for a new alphabet: Literary studies in a changing world: In honor of Douwe Fokkema* (pp. 162–166). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/z.76.32moo>
- Müller, H. J., Geyer, T., Günther, F., Kacian, J., & Pierides, S. (2017). Reading English-language haiku: Processes of meaning construction revealed by eye movements. *Journal of Eye Movement research*, 10(1), Article 4. <https://doi.org/10.16910/jemr.10.1.4>
- Murray, J., Sutter, A., Lobifaró, A., Cousens, G., & Kouh., M. (2022). Incorporation of prior knowledge and habits while solving anagrams. *Journal of Eye Movement Research*, 15(5), Article 5. <https://doi.org/10.16910/jemr.15.5.5>

- Nardocchio, E. F. (Ed.). (1992). *Reader response to literature: The empirical dimension*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Nichelli, P., Grafman, J., Pietrini, P., Clark, K., Lee, K. Y., & Miletich, R. (1995). Where the brain appreciates the moral of a story. *NeuroReport*, 6(17), 2309–2313. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001756-199511270-00010>
- Nierlich, E. (2005). An “empirical science” of literature. *Journal of General Philosophy of Science*, 36, 351–376. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10838-006-5615-2>
- Nieuwland, M. S., & Van Berkum, J. J. A. (2006). When peanuts fall in love: N400 evidence for the power of discourse. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 18(7), 1098–1111. <https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2006.18.7.1098>
- Nijhof, A. D., & Willems, R. M. (2015). Simulating fiction: Individual differences in literature comprehension revealed with fMRI. *PLoS ONE*, 10(2), Article e0116492. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0116492>
- Oatley, K. (1994). A taxonomy of the emotions of literary response and a theory of identification in fictional narrative. *Poetics*, 23(1), 53–74. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(94\)P4296-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(94)P4296-S)
- Oatley, K. (2022). Character and emotion in fiction. In P. C. Hogan, B. J. Irish, & L. P. Hogan (Eds.), *The Routledge companion to literature and emotion* (pp. 272–282). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367809843-28>
- Obermeier, C., Kotz, S. A., Jessen, S., Raettig, T., von Koppenfels, M., & Menninghaus, W. (2016). Aesthetic appreciation of poetry correlates with ease of processing in event-related potentials. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience*, 16(2), 362–373. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-015-0396-x>
- Obermeier, C., Menninghaus, W., von Koppenfels, M., Raettig, T., Schmidt-Kassow, M., Otterbein, S., & Kotz, S. A. (2013). Aesthetic and emotional effects of meter and rhyme in poetry. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 4, Article 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00010>
- Odorics, F. (1989). Towards the constructivist science of literature (a new paradigm?). *Empirical Studies of the Arts*, 7(2), 145–161. <https://doi.org/10.2190/DCJR-2QW0-7GKW-YB5K>
- Ognjenovic, P. (1991). Processing of aesthetic information. *Empirical Studies of the Arts*, 9(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.2190/KC25-JWTN-NRX4-C7A1>
- Ortega, F., & Vidal, F. (2013). Brains in literature/literature in the brain. *Poetics Today*, 34(3), 327–360. <https://doi.org/10.1215/03335372-2325241>
- Osowiecka, M., & Kolańczyk, A. (2018). Let’s read a poem! What type of poetry boosts creativity? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, Article 1781. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01781>
- O’Sullivan, N., Davis, P., Billington, J., Gonzalez-Diaz, V., & Corcoran, R. (2015). “Shall I compare thee”: The neural basis of literary awareness, and its benefits to cognition. *Cortex*, 73, 144–157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2015.08.014>
- Otis, L. (2022). Affective neuroscience: The symbiosis of scientific and literary knowledge. In P. C. Hogan, B. J. Irish, & L. P. Hogan (Eds.), *The Routledge companion to literature and emotion* (pp. 15–25). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367809843-3>
- Papp-Zipernovszky, O., Mangen, A., Jacobs, A., & Lüdtke, J. (2022). Shakespeare sonnet reading:

- An empirical study of emotional responses. *Language and Literature*, 31(3), 296–324. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09639470211054647>
- Parente, F., Conklin, K., Guy, J., Carrol, G., & Scott, R. (2019). Reader expertise and the literary significance of small-scale textual features in prose fiction. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 9(1), 3–33. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.19006.par>
- Peplow, D., & Carter, R. (2023). Stylistics and real readers. In M. Burke (Ed.), *The Routledge handbook of stylistics* (2nd ed., pp. 472–488). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367568887-32>
- Peskin, J. (1998). Constructing meaning when reading poetry: An expert–novice study. *Cognition and Instruction*, 16(3), 235–263. https://doi.org/10.1207/s1532690xcil603_1
- Peskin, J. (2000). Rhetorical and aesthetic form: Poetry as textual art. In J. W. Astington (Ed.), *Minds in the making: Essays in honor of David R. Olson* (pp. 80–97). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Peskin, J. (2007). The genre of poetry: Secondary school students' conventional expectations and interpretive operations. *English in Education*, 41(3), 20–36. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1754-8845.2007.tb01162.x>
- Peskin, J. (2010). The development of poetic literacy during the school years. *Discourse Processes*, 47(2), 77–103. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01638530902959653>
- Peskin, J., Allen, G., & Wells-Jopling, R. (2010). “The education imagination”: Applying instructional research to the teaching of symbolic interpretation of poetry. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 53(6), 498–507. <https://doi.org/10.1598/JAAL.53.6.6>
- Peskin, J., & Hanauer, D. I. (2023). *A life with poetry: The development of poetic literacy*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lal.41>
- Peskin, J., & Wells-Jopling, R. (2012). Fostering symbolic interpretation during adolescence. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 33(1), 13–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appdev.2011.08.002>
- Petöfi, J. S., & Olivi, T. (Eds.). (1988). *Von der verbalen Konstitution zur symbolischen Bedeutung: From verbal constitution to symbolic meaning*. Helmut Buske.
- Petros, T., Bentz, B., Hammes, K., & Zehr, H. (1990). The components of text that influence reading times and recall in skilled and less skilled collage readers. *Discourse Processes*, 13(4), 387–400. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01638539009544767>
- Phillips, N. (2014). The art of attention: Navigating distraction and rhythms of focus in eighteenth-century poetry. In K. Parker & C. W. Smith (Eds.), *Eighteenth-century poetry and the rise of the novel reconsidered* (pp. 187–206). Lewisburg, WV: Bucknell University Press.
- Phillips, N. M. (2015). Literary neuroscience and history of mind: An interdisciplinary fMRI study of attention and Jane Austen. In L. Zunshine (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of cognitive literary studies* (pp. 55–81). Oxford: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199978069.013.0004>
- Pierides, S., Geyer, T., Günther, F., Kacian, J., Liesefeld, H. R., & Müller, H. J. (2019). Knocking on the doors of perception: Further inquiries into haiku and the brain. *Juxtapositions: Research and Scholarship in Haiku*, 5, 9–33.

- Pierides, S., Müller, H. J., Kacian, J., Günther, F., & Geyer, T. (2017). Haiku and the brain: An exploratory study. *Juxtapositions: Research and Scholarship in Haiku*, 3, 7–43.
- Pinker, S. (2007). Critical discussion: Toward a consilient study of literature. *Philosophy and Literature*, 31(1), 161–178. <https://doi.org/10.1353/phl.2007.0016>
- Pițur, S., & Miu, A. C. (2022). Poetry-elicited emotions: Reading experience and psychological mechanisms. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 19(2), 218–231. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000525>
- Platt, C. B. (2007). Presence, poetry and the collaborative right hemisphere. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 14(3), 36–53.
- Rapp, D. N., Komeda, H., & Hinze, S. R. (2011). Vivifications of literary investigation. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 1(1), 123–135. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.1.1.13rap>
- Richardson, A. (2006). Cognitive literary criticism. In P. Waugh (Ed.), *Literary theory and criticism: An Oxford guide* (pp. 544–556). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Riddell, P. (2016). Metaphor, simile, analogy and the brain. *Changing English: Studies in Culture and Education*, 23(4), 363–374. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1358684X.2016.1228443>
- Riese, K., Mayer, M., Lauer, G., & Schacht, A. (2014). In the eye of the recipient: Pupillary response to suspense in literary classics. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 4(2), 211–232. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.4.2.05rie>
- Roberts, A. M., Stabler, J., Fischer, M. H., & Otty, L. (2013). Space and pattern in linear and postlinear poetry: Empirical and theoretical approaches. *European Journal of English Studies*, 17(1), 23–40. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13825577.2012.754967>
- Rusch, G. (Ed.). (1995). *Empirical approaches to literature*. Siegen: LUMIS Publication.
- Sadoski, M., & Quast, Z. (1990). Reader response and long-term recall for journalistic text: The roles of imagery, affect, and importance. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 25(4), 256–272. <https://doi.org/10.2307/747691>
- Salgaro, M. (2015). How literary can literariness be?: Methodological problems in the study of foregrounding. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 5(2), 229–249. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.5.2.06sal>
- Salgaro, M. (2018). Historical introduction to the special issue on literariness. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 8(1), 6–18. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.00005.sal>
- Salgaro, M. (2018). Who is David Miall? *Scientific Study of Literature*, 8(1), 19–20. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.00006.sal>
- Sanders, J. (1995). Affective functions of perspective in biblical narratives and news reports. In G. Rusch (Ed.), *Empirical approaches to literature* (pp. 291–297). Siegen: LUMIS Publication.
- Schauber, E., & Spolsky, E. (1981). Stalking a generative poetics. *New Literary History*, 12(3), 397–413. <https://doi.org/10.2307/469021>
- Schauber, E., & Spolsky, E. (1986). *The bounds of interpretation: Linguistic theory and literary text*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Scheepers, C., Mohr, S., Fischer, M. H., & Roberts, A. W. (2013). Listening to limericks: A pupillometry investigation of perceivers' expectancy. *PLoS ONE*, 8(9), Article e74986.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0074986>

- Schindler, I., Hosoya, G., Menninghaus, W., Beermann, U., Wagner, V., Eid, M., & Scherer, K. R. (2017). Measuring aesthetic emotions: A review of the literature and a new assessment tool. *PLoS One*, 12(6), Article e0178899. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178899>
- Schlotthaus, W. (1988). Conditioning factors of textual understanding. In D. Meutsch & R. Viehoff (Eds.), *The comprehension of literary discourse: Results and problems of interdisciplinary approaches* (pp. 74–88). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Schmidt, M.-L. C. R., Winkler, J. R., Appel, M., & Richter, T. (2023). Tracking emotional shifts during story reception: The relationship between narrative structure and affective responses. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 12(1), 17–39. <https://doi.org/10.61645/ssol.177>
- Schmidt, S. J. (1974). *Elemente einer textpoetik: Theorie und anwendung*. München: Bayerischer Schulbuch-verlag. 菊池武弘、今泉文子訳. (1984).
- Schmidt, S. J. (1975). Reception and interpretation of written texts as problems of a rational theory of literary communication. In H. Ringbom (Ed.), *Style and text: Studies presented to Nils Erik Enkvist* (pp. 399–408). Stockholm: Skriptor.
- Schmidt, S. J. (1976). Towards a pragmatic interpretation of ‘fictionality’. In T. A. van Dijk (Ed.), *Pragmatics of language and literature* (pp. 161–178). Amsterdam: North-Holland/ New York: American Elsevier.
- Schmidt, S. J. (1979). *Empirische Literaturwissenschaft* as perspective. *Poetics*, 8(6), 557–568. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(79\)90033-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(79)90033-0)
- Schmidt, S. J. (1981). Empirical studies in literature: Introductory remarks. *Poetics*, 10(4–5), 317–336. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(81\)90022-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(81)90022-X)
- Schmidt, S. J. (1982). *Foundations for the empirical study of literature: The components of a basic theory*. Hamburg: Helmut Buske.
- Schmidt, S. J. (1982). Perspectives on the development of post-concrete poetry. *Poetics Today*, 3(3), 101–136. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1772393>
- Schmidt, S. J. (1983). The empirical science of literature ESL: A new paradigm. *Poetics*, 12(1), 19–34. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(83\)90003-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(83)90003-7)
- Schmidt, S. J. (1983). Interpretation today: Introductory remarks. *Poetics*, 12(2–3), 71–81. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(83\)90022-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(83)90022-0)
- Schmidt, S. J. (1983). Interpretation: Sacred cow or necessity? *Poetics* 12(2–3), 239–258. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(83\)90030-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(83)90030-X)
- Schmidt, S. J. (1983). Selected bibliography on interpretation (1970–1982). *Poetics*, 12(2–3), 277–283. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(83\)90032-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(83)90032-3)
- Schmidt, S. J. (1989). Empirical studies in literature and the media today: Welcoming speech to the participants of the first IGEL-conference University of Siegen (FRG), 9–12 December, 1987. *Poetics*, 18(1–2), 1–6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(89\)90018-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(89)90018-1)
- Schmidt, S. J. (1989). On the construction of fiction and the invention of facts. *Poetics*, 18(4–5), 319–335. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(89\)90035-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(89)90035-1)
- Schmidt, S.J. (1990). *Why literature is not enough, or: Literary studies as media studies*. Siegen:

LUMIS Publications.

- Schmidt, S. J. (1991). Empirical studies in literature and media: Perspectives for the nineties. In E. Ibsch, D. Schram, & G. Steen (Eds.), *Empirical studies in literature: Proceedings of the Second International Conference (1989)* (pp. 9–17). Amsterdam: Rodopi.
https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004657724_004
- Schmidt, S. J. (1991). Text understanding: A self-organizing cognitive process. *Poetics*, 20(3), 273–301. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(91\)90010-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(91)90010-M)
- Schmidt, S. J. (1992). Conventions and literary systems. In M. Hjort (Ed.), *Rules and conventions: Literatyre, philosophy, social theory* (pp. 215–249). Baltimore/ London: The John Hopkins University Press.
- Schmidt, S. J. (1994). ‘System’ and ‘observer’: Two key concepts in (future) literary studies. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- Schmidt, S. J. (1996). Empirical studies of literature—what else? In H. Hendrix, J. Kloek, S. Levie, & W. van Peer (Eds.), *The search for a new alphabet: Literary studies in a changing world: In honor of Douwe Fokkema* (pp. 198–201). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
<https://doi.org/10.1075/z.76.39sch>
- Schmidt, S. J. (1997). A systems-oriented approach to literary studies. *Canadian Review of Comparative Literature*, 24(1), 119–136.
- Schmidt, S. J. (2000). The Empirical Study of Literature (ESL). In D. de Geest, O. de Graff, D. Delabastita, K. Geldof, R. Ghesqui re, & J. Lambert (Eds.), *Under construction: Links for the site of literary theory: Essays in honor of Herndrik Van Gorp* (pp. 325–349). Leuven, Belgium: Leuven University Press.
- Schmidt, S. J. (2001). Making stories about story-making, or why we need his- and herstories: An approach towards a constructivist historiography. *Poetics*, 28(5–6), 455–462.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-422X\(00\)00036-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-422X(00)00036-X)
- Schram, D. H., & Steen, G. J. (1992). ‘But what is literature?’: A programmatic answer from the empirical study of literature. *SPIEL*, 11(2), 239–258.
- Schram, D., & Steen, G. (Eds.). (2001). *The psychology and sociology of literature*. Amsterdam / Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Schreier, M. (2000). Methods and methodology for the empirical study of literature. *FRAME: Journal of Literary Studies*, 14(2–3), 5–27.
- Schrijvers, M., Janssen, T., Fialho, O., De Maeyer, S., & Rijlaarsdam, G. (2019). Transformative dialogic literature teaching fosters adolescents’ insight into human nature and motivation. *Learning and Instruction*, 63, Article 101216.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2019.101216>
- Schwerin, J., Lenhart, J., & Richter, T. (2025). Short-term effects of fiction on social-cognitive skills: The roles of literariness, language familiarity, and narrative experience. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 14(1), 4–22. <https://doi.org/10.61645/ssol.191>
- Segers, R. (1991). Reading and judging literary reviews as a culturally determined activity. In E. Ibsch, D. Schram, & G. Steen (Eds.), *Empirical studies of literature: Proceedings of the*

- second IGEL-conference, Amsterdam 1989 (pp. 125–135). Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Segers, R. T. (1993). *Dynamics and progress in literary studies? Some notes on a neglected topic in literary scholarship with special reference to reception research*. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- Segers, R. T. (1996). Cultural and literary identity: Disease or Medicine? A dialogue with Douwe Fokkema. In H. Hendrix, J. Kloek, S. Levie, & W. van Peer (Eds.), *The search for a new alphabet: Literary studies in a changing world: In honor of Douwe Fokkema* (pp. 202–207). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/z.76.40seg>
- Seilman, U., & Larsen, S. F. (1989). Personal response to literature: A study of reminders while reading. *Poetics*, 18(1–2), 165–177. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(89\)90027-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(89)90027-2)
- Shen, Y. (1995). Cognitive constraints on directionality in the semantic structure of poetic vs. non-poetic metaphors. *Poetics*, 23(4), 255–274. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(95\)00002-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(95)00002-2)
- Shen, Y. (2002). Cognitive constraints on verbal creativity: The use of figurative language in poetic discourse. In E. Semino & J. Culpeper (Eds.), *Cognitive stylistics: Language and cognition in text analysis* (pp. 211–230). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lal.1.11she>
- Shen, Y. (2007). Foregrounding in poetic discourse: Between deviation and cognitive constraints. *Language and Literature*, 16(2), 169–181. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963947007075983>
- Shen, Y. (2008). Two levels of foregrounding in literary narratives. In S. Zyngier, M. Bortolussi, A. Chesnokova, & J. Auracher (Eds.), *Directions in empirical literary studies: In honor of Willie van Peer* (pp. 103–111). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lal.5.10she>
- Shimron, J. (1980). Psychological processes behind the comprehension of a poetic text. *Instructional Science: An International Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 9(1), 43–66. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00118968>
- Siefkes, M. (2017). Perceptual qualities of literary style. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 7(1), 52–78. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.7.1.03sie> Copy the DOI
- Sikora, S., Kuiken, D., & Miall, D. (1998). Enactment versus interpretation: A phenomenological analysis of readers' experience of Coleridge's *Rime of the Ancient Mariner*. Paper presented at the sixth biannual conference of the International Society for the Empirical Study of Literature, IGEL, Utrecht, The Netherlands. Available online at: <http://www.lumis.uni-siegen.de/igel/en/igelconf/c1998/sikora.pdf>
- Silverman, J., Winner, E., & Gardner, H. (1976). On going beyond the literary: The development of sensitivity to artistic symbols. *Semiotica*, 18 (4), 291–312.
- Silvonen, V., & Stepanova, E. (2020). Language, music, and emotion in lament poetry: The embodiment and performativity of emotions in Karelian laments. In S. E. Pritzker, J. Fenigsen, & J. M. Wilce (Eds.), *The Routledge handbook of language and emotion* (pp. 203–222). New York: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367855093>
- Skov, M., & Nadal, M. (2020). There are no aesthetic emotions: Comment on Menninghaus et al. (2019). *Psychological Review*, 127(4), 640–649. <https://doi.org/10.1037/rev0000187>
- Smagorinsky, P., & O'Donnell-Allen, C. (1998). Reading as mediated and mediating action: Composing meaning for literature through multimedia interpretive texts. *Reading Research*

- Quarterly*, 33(2), 198–226. <https://doi.org/10.1598/RRQ.33.2.3>
- Sopčák, P., Salgaro, M., & Hanauer, D. I. (2018). Introduction to the special issue. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 8(1), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.00004.int>
- Sosa, T., Hall, A. H., Goldman, S. R., & Lee, C. D. (2016). Developing symbolic interpretation through literary argumentation. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 25(1), 93–132. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10508406.2015.1124040>
- Spolsky, E. (1993). *Gaps in nature: Literary interpretation and the modular mind*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.
- Spolsky, E. (2002). Darwin and Derrida: Cognitive literary theory as a species of post-structuralism. *Poetics Today*, 23(1), 43–62. <https://doi.org/10.1215/03335372-23-1-43>
- Steen, G. J. (1991). The empirical study of literary reading: Methods of data collection. *Poetics*, 20(5–6), 559–575. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(91\)90025-K](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(91)90025-K)
- Steen, G. (1994). *Understanding metaphor in literature: An empirical approach*. London/New York: Longman.
- Steen, G. (1999). Genres of discourse and the definition of literariness. *Discourse Processes*, 28(2), 109–120. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01638539909545075>
- Steen, G. (2003). A historical view of empirical poetics: Trends and possibilities. *Empirical Studies of the Arts*, 21(1), 51–67. <https://doi.org/10.2190/Q28L-WXD1-W3GY-PR2A>
- Stiller, J., Nettle, D., & Dunbar, R. I. M. (2003). The small world of Shakespeare's plays. *Human Nature*, 14(4), 397–408. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12110-003-1013-1>
- Stinson, E., & Driscoll, B. (2020). Difficult literature on Goodreads: Reading Alexis Wright's *The Swan Book*. *Textual Practice*, 36(1), 94–115. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0950236X.2020.1786718>
- Stockwell, P. (2009). The cognitive poetics of literary resonance. *Language and Cognition*, 1(1), 25–44. <https://doi.org/10.1515/LANGCOG.2009.002>
- Stopel, B. (2020). Aesthetic appreciation and the dependence between deep and surface interpretation. *Journal of Literary Theory*, 14(1), 94–119. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jlt-2020-0006>
- Stopel, B. (2022). Prose fiction. In P. C. Hogan, B. J. Irish, & L. P. Hogan (Eds.), *The Routledge companion to literature and emotion* (pp. 395–405). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367809843-39>
- Sugiyama, M. S. (2003). Cultural variation is part of human nature: Literary universals, context-sensitivity, and “Shakespeare in the bush”. *Human Nature*, 14(4), 383–396. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12110-003-1012-2>
- Svensson, C. (1987). The construction of poetic meaning: A developmental study of symbolic and non-symbolic strategies in the interpretation of contemporary poetry. *Poetics*, 16(6), 471–503. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(87\)90014-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(87)90014-3)
- Svensson, C. (1989). Poetic set: The effects of typographic arrangement on the immediate responses to poetry: A developmental study. *SPIEL*, 8(1), 77–106.
- Swann, J. (2016). Creativity in response. In R. H. Jones (Ed.), *The Routledge handbook of language and creativity* (pp. 264–277). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315694566>

- Tevdoradze, N. (2023). The distinctiveness of literary communication: A pragmatics-based analysis. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 205, 185–193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2023.01.009>
- Thierry, G., Martin, C. D., Gonzalez-Diaz, V., Rezaie, R., Roberts, N., & Davis, P. M. (2008). Event-related potential characterisation of the Shakespearean functional shift in narrative sentence structure. *NeuroImage*, 40(2), 923–931. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.12.006>
- Thissen, B. A. K., Menninghaus, W., & Schlotz, W. (2021). The pleasures of reading fiction explained by flow, presence, identification, suspense, and cognitive involvement. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 15(4), 710–724. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000367>
- Tillmann, B., & Dowling, W. J. (2007). Memory decreases for prose, but not for poetry. *Memory & Cognition*, 35(4), 628–639. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03193301>
- Tobin, V. (2022). Narrative and plot: Unreliable feelings and the risks of surprise. In P. C. Hogan, B. J. Irish, & L. P. Hogan (Eds.), *The Routledge companion to literature and emotion* (pp. 294–304). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367809843-30>
- Tötösy de Zepetnek, S. (1997). Reading pornographic literature: The author and gender appropriation. In S. Tötösy de Zepetnek & I. Sywenky (Eds.), *The systemic and empirical approach to literature and culture as theory and application* (pp. 515–525). Siegen: LUMIS Publication.
- Tötösy de Zepetnek, S. (1998). *Comparative literature: Theory, method, application*. Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Tötösy de Zepetnek, S., & Sywenky, I. (Eds.). (1997). *The systemic and empirical approach to literature and culture as theory and application*. Siegen: LUMIS Publication.
- Tsur, R. (1995). Semantic processes and emotional qualities in poetry. In G. Rusch (Ed.), *Empirical approaches to literature* (pp. 265–271). Siegen: LUMIS Publication.
- Ushiro, Y., Ogiso, T., Hosoda, M., Nahatame, S., Kamimura, K., Sasaki, Y., Kessoku, M., & Sekine, T. (2019). How EFL readers understand the protagonist, causal, and intentional links of narratives: An eye-tracking study. *ARELE*, 30, 161–176. https://doi.org/10.20581/arele.30.0_161
- Ushiro, Y., Ogiso, T., Nahatame, S., Hosoda, M., Kamimura, K., Sasaki, Y., Komuro, R., Okada, R., & Aoki, S. (2020). EFL readers' understanding of protagonist, temporal, and spatial links in narrative, *ARELE*, 31, 97–112. https://doi.org/10.20581/arele.31.0_97
- Valdés, M. J. (1996). Hermeneutics and empirical studies. In H. Hendrix, J. Kloek, S. Levie, & W. van Peer (Eds.), *The search for a new alphabet: Literary studies in a changing world: In honor of Douwe Fokkema* (pp. 261–266). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/z.76.51val>
- van den Broek, P., Lorch, R. F., Jr., Linderholm, T., & Gustafson, M. (2001). The effects of readers' goals on inference generation and memory for texts. *Memory & Cognition*, 29(8), 1081–1087. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03206376>
- van den Broek, P., Rohleder, L., & Narváez, D. (1994). Cognitive processes in the comprehension of literary texts. In H. van Oostendorp & R. A. Zwaan (Eds.), *Naturalistic text comprehension*

- (pp. 229–246). Norwood / New Jersey: Ablex Publishing Corporation.
- van de Ven, I. (2023). ‘Gonna get you, baby!’: A qualitative-empirical study of attentional modulation in reading a short story. *Language and Literature*, 32(4), 458–478. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09639470231202261>
- van de Ven, I., Hakemulder, F., & Mangen, A. (2023). ‘TL;DR’ (Too Long; Didn’t Read)? Cognitive patience as a mode of reading: Exploring concentration and perseverance. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 12(1), 68–86. <https://doi.org/10.61645/ssol.176>
- van Dijk, T. (1979). Cognitive processing of literary discourse. *Poetics Today*, 1(1–2), 143–159. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1772045>
- van Dijk, T. A.. (1985). Introduction: The common roots of the studies of literature and discourse. In T. A. van Dijk (Ed.), *Discourse and literature: New approaches to the analysis of literary genres* (pp. 1–9). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ct.3.02dij>
- van Peer, W. (1983). Poetic style and reader response: An exercise in empirical semics. *Journal of Literary Semantics*, 12(2), 3–18. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jlse.1983.12.2.3>
- van Peer, W. (1984). Between stylistics and pragmatics: The theory of foregrounding. In W. van Peer & J. Renkema (Eds.), *Pragmatics and stylistics* (pp. 291–315). Leuven: Uitgeverij Acco.
- van Peer, W. (1986). *Stylistics and psychology: Investigations of foregrounding*. London: Croom Helm.
- van Peer, W. (1987). Top-down and bottom-up: Interpretive strategies in reading E.E. Cummings. *New Literary History*, 18(3), 597–609. <https://doi.org/10.2307/469060>
- van Peer, W. (1987). Empirical studies and their relationship to the theory of literature. *SPIEL*, 6(2), 145–162.
- van Peer, W. (1990). The measurement of metre: Its cognitive and affective functions. *Poetics*, 19(3), 259–275. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(90\)90023-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(90)90023-X)
- van Peer, W. (1991). But what is literature?: Toward a descriptive definition of literature. In R. D. Sell (Ed.), *Literary pragmatics* (pp. 127–141). London / New York: Longman.
- van Peer, W. (1992). Definitions of art – A topical discussion occasioned by reading Stephan Davies: *Definitions of art. SPIEL*, 11(2), 269–277.
- van Peer, W. (1993). Typographic foregrounding. *Language and Literature*, 2(1), 49–61. <https://doi.org/10.1177/096394709300200104>
- van Peer, W. (2007). Introduction to foregrounding: A state of art. *Language and Literature*, 16(2), 99–104. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963947007075978>
- van Peer, W., & Chesnokova, A. (2017). Literariness in readers’ experience: Further developments in empirical research and theory. *Science and Education*, 11, 5–17. <https://doi.org/10.24195/2414-4665-2017-11-1>
- van Peer, W., & Chesnokoa, A. (2018). Reading and rereading: Insights into literary evaluation. *Advanced Education*, 9, 39–46. <https://doi.org/10.20535/2410-8286.125730>
- van Peer, W., & Chesnokova, A. (2019). Foregrounding theory: An old model from a new perspective. *Філологічні студії*, 13(1), 7–10. <https://doi.org/10.28925/2311-2425.2019.13.1>
- van Peer, W., Hakemulder, J., & Zyngier, S. (2007). Lines on feeling: Foregrounding, aesthetics and

- meaning. *Language and Literature*, 16(2), 197–213.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0963947007075985>
- van Peer, W., Mentjes, A., & Auracher, J. (2007). Does reading literature make people happy? In C. Martindale, P. Locher, & V. M. Petrov (Eds.), *Evolutionary and neurocognitive approaches to aesthetics, creativity and the arts* (pp. 47–63). New York: Baywood Publishing.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315224657>
- van Peer, W., & Nousi, A. (2007). What reading does to readers: Stereotypes, foregrounding and language learning. In G. Watson & S. Zyngier (Eds.), *Literature and stylistics for language learners: Theory and practice* (pp. 181–193). New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230624856_14
- van Peer, W., Sopčák, P., Castiglione, D., Fialho, O., Jacobs, A. M., & Hakemulder, F. (2021). Foregrounding. In D. Kuiken & A. M. Jacobs (Eds.), *Handbook of empirical literary studies* (pp. 145–176). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110645958-007>
- van Peer, W., Zyngier, S. & Chesnokova, A. (2011). Learning without teaching: Literature and the REDES Project. In L. Jeffries & D. McIntyre (Eds.), *Teaching Stylistics* (pp. 109–123). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- van 't Jagt, R. K., Hoeks, J. C. J., Dorleijn, G., & Hendricks, P. (2014). Look before you leap: How enjambment affects the processing of poetry. *Scientific Study of Literature*, 4(1), 3–24.
<https://doi.org/10.1075/ssol.4.1.01jag>
- Vaughan-Evans, A., Trefor, R., Jones, L., Lynch, P., Jones, M. W., & Thierry, G. (2016). Implicit detection of poetic harmony by the naïve brain. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, Article 1859.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01859>
- Viehoff, R. (1986). How to construct a literary poem? *Poetics*, 15(3), 287–306.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(86\)90023-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(86)90023-9)
- Viehoff, R. (1988). Preliminary remarks to “coherence” in understanding poems. In J. S. Petöfi & T. Olivi (Eds.), *Von der verbalen Konstitution zur symbolischen Bedeutung: From verbal constitution to symbolic meaning* (pp. 397–414). Hamburg: Helmut Buske.
- Viehoff, R. (1995). Literary genres as cognitive schemata. In G. Rusch (Ed.), *Empirical approaches to literature* (pp. 72–76). Siegen: LUMIS Publication.
- Viehoff, R. (1996). Once upon a time there was a researcher ...: A ‘historical’ approach to the state of art of German literary studies at the end of the second millenium. In H. Hendrix, J. Klock, S. Levie, & W. van Peer (Eds.), *The search for a new alphabet: Literary studies in a changing world: In honor of Douwe Fokkema* (pp. 278–283). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
<https://doi.org/10.1075/z.76.54vie>
- Vipond, D., & Hunt, R. A. (1984). Point-driven understanding: Pragmatic and cognitive dimensions of literary reading. *Poetics*, 13(3), 261–277. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(84\)90005-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(84)90005-6)
- Vipond, D., & Hunt, R. A. (1989). Literary processing and response as transaction: Evidence for the contribution of readers, texts, and situations. In D. Meutsch & R. Viehoff (Eds.), *Comprehension of literary discourse: Results and problems of interdisciplinary approaches* (pp. 155–174). Berlin / New York: Walter de Gruyter.

- Vorderer, P., Wulff, J., & Friedrichsen, M. (Eds.). (1996). *Suspense: Conceptualizations, theoretical analysis, and empirical explorations*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Warren, J. E. (2006). Literary scholars processing poetry and constructing arguments. *Written Communication*, 23(2), 202–226. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088306286864>
- Warren, J. E. (2011). “Generic” and “specific” expertise in English: An expert/expert study in poetry interpretation and academic argument. *Cognition and Instruction*, 29(4), 349–374. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07370008.2011.607929>
- Wassiliwizky, E., Koelsch, S., Wagner, V., Jacobsen, T., & Menninghaus, W. (2017). The emotional power of poetry: Neural circuitry, psychophysiology and compositional principles. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 12(8), 1229–1240. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsx069>
- Wassiliwizky, E., & Menninghaus, W. (2022). The power of poetry. In A. Chatterjee & E. R. Cardillo (Eds.), *Brain, beauty, & art: Essays bringing neuroaesthetics in focus* (pp. 182–187). New York: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197513620.003.0036>
- Welfing, J. F. (1998). *On the fluidity of Nietzsche's writing: Nietzsche as prism*. Siegen: LUMIS Publications.
- Wesseling, L. (1996). Holier than thou: Literature, science and the empirical turn. In H. Hendrix, J. Kloek, S. Levie, & W. van Peer (Eds.), *The search for a new alphabet: Literary studies in a changing world: In honor of Douwe Fokkema* (pp. 301–305). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/z.76.58wes>
- Willems, R. M., & Jacobs, A. M. (2016). Caring about Dostoyevsky: The untapped potential of studying literature. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 20(4), 243–245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2015.12.009>
- Wojciehowski, H., & Gallese, V. (2022). Embodiment: Embodied simulation and emotional engagement with fictional characters. In P. C. Hogan, B. J. Irish, & L. P. Hogan (Eds.), *The Routledge companion to literature and emotion* (pp. 61–73). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367809843-7>
- Wolfe, M. B. W. (2005). Memory for narrative and expository text: Independent influences of semantic associations and text organization. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 31(2), 359–364. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-7393.31.2.359>
- Xu, J., Kemeny, S., Park, G., Frattali, C., & Braun, A. (2005). Language in context: Emergent features of word, sentence, and narrative comprehension. *NeuroImage*, 25(3), 1002–1015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.12.013>
- Xue, S., Lüdtke, J., Sylvester, T., & Jacobs, A. M. (2019). Reading Shakespeare sonnets: Combining quantitative narrative analysis and predictive modeling - an eye tracking study. *Journal of Eye Movement Research*, 12(5), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.16910/jemr.12.5.2>
- Yaron, I. (2002). Processing of obscure poetic texts: Mechanisms of selection. *Journal of Literary Semantics*, 31(2), 133–170. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jlse.2002.013>
- Yaron, I. (2003). Mechanisms of combination in the processing of obscure poems. *Journal of Literary Semantics*, 32(2), 151–166. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jlse.2003.009>
- Yaron, I. (2008). What is a “difficult poem”? Toward a definition. *Journal of Literary Semantics*,

- 37(2), 129–150. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jlse.2008.008>
- Zeitz, C. M. (1994). Expert-novice differences in memory, abstraction, and reasoning in the domain of literature. *Cognition and Instruction*, 12(4), 277–312. https://doi.org/10.1207/s1532690xcil204_1
- Zeman, A., Milton, F., Smith, A., & Rylance, R. (2013). By heart: An fMRI study of brain activation by poetry and prose. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 20 (9-10), 132–158.
- Zwaan, R. A. (1987). The computer in perspective: Towards a relevant use of the computer in the study of literature. *Poetics*, 16(6), 553–568. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(87\)90017-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(87)90017-9)
- Zwaan, R. A. (1991). The literary situation-action model and the reading process. In E. Ibsch, D. Schram, & G. Steen (Eds.), *Empirical studies in literature: Proceedings of the Second International Conference (1989)* (pp. 167–174). Amsterdam: Rodopi. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004657724_020
- Zwaan, R. A. (1991). Some parameters of literary and news comprehension: Effects of discourse-type perspective on reading rate and surface structure representation. *Poetics*, 20(2), 139–156. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(91\)90003-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(91)90003-8)
- Zwaan, R. A. (1993). *Aspects of literary comprehension*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/upal.29>
- Zwaan, R. A. (1994). Effect of genre expectations on text comprehension. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 20(4), 920–933. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-7393.20.4.920>
- Zwaan, R. A. (1996). How empirical is the empirical study of literature? In H. Hendrix, J. Klock, S. Levie, & W. van Peer (Eds.), *The search for a new alphabet: Literary studies in a changing world* (pp. 321–326). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/z.76.62zwa>
- Zwaan, R. A. (1996). Toward a model of literary comprehension. In B. Britton & A. Graesser (Eds.), *Models of understanding text* (pp. 241–255). New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315806143>
- Zwaan, R. A., & Radvansky, G. A. (1998). Situation models in language comprehension and memory. *Psychological Bulletin*, 123(2), 162–185. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.123.2.162>
- Zyngier, S., Bortolussi, M., Chesnokova, A., & Auracher, J. (Eds.). (2008). *Directions in empirical literary studies: In honor of Willie van Peer*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- 秋田喜代美 (1991). 「物語の詳しさがおもしろさに及ぼす効果」. 『教育心理学研究』, 39(2), 133–142. https://doi.org/10.5926/jjep1953.39.2_133
- 秋田喜代美 (2001). 「読解過程における情動と動機」. In 大村彰道 (監修)・秋田喜代美・久野雅樹 (編), 『文章理解の心理学 認知、発達、教育の広がりの中で』 (pp. 80–89). 北大路書房.
- 秋田喜代美 (2001). 「読みの生涯発達」. In 大村彰道 (監修)・秋田喜代美・久野雅樹 (編), 『文章理解の心理学 認知、発達、教育の広がりの中で』 (pp. 152–161). 北大路書房.
- 秋田喜代美 (2001). 「読みの授業づくり」. In 大村彰道 (監修)・秋田喜代美・久野雅樹 (編), 『文章理解の心理学 認知、発達、教育の広がりの中で』 (pp. 256–265). 北大路書房.
- 石原次郎・名執基樹・中禰勝美・岡田麻子・前原真吾・小川了 (1996). 「経験的文学研究

- の現状と課題－札幌圏における実態調査の報告－」.『独語独文学科研究年報』, 23, 73-91.
- 泉尾洋行 (1980).「科学理論的視点からみた経験的文芸理論の構築の可能性－文芸学における Pragmatik－」.『エネルギー』, 7, 57-71.
- 植田康成 (1974).「ディルタイ覚書「文芸学の科学化」について」.『僕はここにいる まちがいなくここにいる』, 6, 53-60.
- 植田康成 (1985).「パラダイムの転換?」.『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』, 5, 67-79.
- 内田伸子 (1985).「文章学習」. In 大村彰道 (編),『学習』 (pp. 84-116). 朝倉書店.
- 内田伸子 (1989).「子どもの推敲方略の発達－作文における自己内対話の過程－」.『お茶の水女子大学人文科学紀要』, 42, 75-104.
- 内田伸子 (1995).「談話過程」. In 大津由紀雄 (編),『認知心理学 3 言語』 (pp. 177-191). 東京大学出版会.
- エネルギー刊行会 (編). (1975).『言語における思想性と技術性』. 朝日出版.
- 大瀧敏夫 (1980).「Metaphorik と Empirische Theorie」.『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』, 1, 1-27.
- 大瀧敏夫 (1985).「経験的研究の立場と可能領域」.『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』, 5, 3-18.
- 大瀧敏夫 (1986).「テキスト受容行為の経験的研究－テキスト認知過程における、社会慣習スクリプトとテキスト結束構造、結束性との関係－」.『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』, 6, 1-26.
- 大瀧敏夫 (1988).「テキスト分析の経験的研究－テキスト受容行為、コミュニカート形成プロセスの分析」. In 脇阪豊 (編),『テキスト分析の研究－日・独テキストの対照研究を中心に－』 (pp. 11-22) (昭和 62 年度科学研究費補助金 (総合研究 A) 研究成果報告書, 研究課題番号 60301065).
- 大瀧敏夫 (1989).「認知科学のテキスト言語学へのアプローチ」.『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』, 9, 37-66.
- 大瀧敏夫・曾田鉦二・田村光彰・松村保寿 (1981).「シュミット理論による A. Stramm 研究」.『ドイツ文学』, 67, 114-125. <https://doi.org/10.11282/dokubun1947.67.114>
- 大瀧敏夫・吉田光演 (1983).「言語教育と文学教育－「語学」と文学の授業はこれでよいのか」.『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』, 4, 29-84.
- 大堀壽夫 (2004).「物語の構造と発達」. In 大堀壽夫 (編),『認知コミュニケーション論』 (pp. 243-278). 大修館書店.
- ガルシーア＝ペッリオ, A. (1990).「言語学、文学性／詩性 (文法、實用論、テキスト)」 (谷口勇 (訳)) In J. S. ペテフィ・A. ガルシーア＝ペッリオ (編),『テキスト言語学と文学批評』 (pp. 345-426, 下川浩・大矢俊明・谷口勇 (編訳)). 文化書房博文社.
- 菊池武弘 (1973).「言語学と詩学のあいだー言語学的詩学の可能性ー」.『Aspekt: 立教大学ドイツ文学科論集』, 7, 1-22.
- 菊池武弘 (1974).「文学的コミュニケーションとテキスト理論」.『ドイツ文学』, 53, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.11282/dokubun1947.53.1>
- 菊池武弘 (1975).「文学的テキストの言語学的解明のために」. In エネルギー刊行会 (編),

- 『言語における思想性と技術性』(pp. 293-305). 朝日出版社.
- 菊池武弘 (1980). 「文学的コミュニケーションの特性—言語実用論の視点から—」. In 千野栄一 (編), 『言語の芸術』(pp. 215-243). 大修館書店.
- 菊池武弘・脇阪豊 (1975). 「言語における機能性と美的特性」. In エネルゲイア刊行会 (編), 『言語における思想性と技術性』(pp. 260-277). 朝日出版社.
- 紅野謙介 (1998). 「社会・文化的なアプローチメディア論を軸に—」. 『別冊國文学』, 51, 59-69.
- 小林夏子 (1990). 「読みの心理学的研究における方法の検討」. 『人間文化研究年報』, 14, 221-229.
- 小林夏子・大村彰道 (1988). 「読解における文章形式の効果—事典的形式と詩的形式の比較—」. 『東京大学教育学部紀要』, 28, 307-316.
- 米田英嗣 (2010). 「物語理解と社会認知神経科学」. In 楠見孝 (編), 『思考と言語』(pp. 270-290). 北大路書房.
- シュミット, S. J. (1978). 「「虚構性」の実用論的解釈のために」(宗宮好和・宗宮喜代子 (訳)). 『エネルゲイア』, 5, 54-69. (原著は 1976 年出版)
- シュミット, S. J. (1984). 『テキスト詩学の原理』(菊池武弘・今泉文子 (訳)). 勁草書房. (原著は 1974 年出版)
- シュミット, S. J. (1987). 「テキスト理解—テキスト解釈」(杉谷眞佐子 (訳)). 『独逸文学』, 31, 168-203. 関西大学独逸文学会. (原著は 1986 年出版)
- 曾田鉦二 (1985). 「『文学の経験的研究の可能性』—新しい研究のパラダイムに向けて—はじめに」. 『金沢大学文学部論集 文学科篇』, 5, 1-2.
- ターナー, F., & ペッペル, E. (2000). 「韻律詩、脳、そして時間」(上村保子 (訳)). In I. レンチェラー・B. ヘルツバーガー・D. エプスタイン (編), 『美を脳から考える芸術の生物学的探検』(pp. 49-75). 新曜社. (原著は 1988 年出版)
- 津田塾大学言語文化研究所読解研究グループ (1992). 『学習者中心の英語読解指導』. 大修館書店.
- デ・アギアル・エ・シルヴァ, V. M. (1981). 『言語能力と文学能力—生成詩学の可能性について』(谷口勇 (訳)). 溪水社. (原著は 1977 年出版)
- 中澤潤 (2004). 「マンガ読解力の規定因としての漫画の読みリテラシー」. 『マンガ研究』, 5, 6-25.
- 中澤潤 (2005). 「マンガのコマの読みリテラシーの発達」. 『マンガ研究』, 7, 6-21.
- 名執基樹 (1993). 「文学システムと文学加工—経験的文学理論の一問題点—」. 『独語独文学科研究年報』, 20, 197-214.
- 名執基樹 (1997). 「作家の経験的研究に向けて—システム研究としての作家研究の提案—」. 『研究紀要 富山医科薬科大学一般教育』, 19, 29-44.
- 名執基樹 (2000). 「文学賞と文学場—輩出関係のネットワーク分析」. 『研究紀要 富山医科薬科大学一般教育』, 24, 1-35.
- 名執基樹 (2002). 「システムと構造—文学システムの経験的調査を目指して」. 『独文研究室報』, 18, 33-48.
- 名執基樹 (2011). 「オートポイエシス論は文学テキストの夢をみるか?—ドイツ文学システム

- ム論争を超えてー」.『ドイツ語文化圏研究』, 9, 51–91.
- 林敬 (1985).「ドイツにおける経験的文学研究例ーRobert Musil : Hasenkatastrophe の解釈試験検証実験」.『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』, 5, 19–29.
- フラハティ, A. W. (2006).『書きたがる脳 : 言語と創造性の科学』(吉田利子 (訳)). ランダムハウス講談社. (原著は 2003 年出版)
- 保坂宗重・菊池武弘・丸井一郎・泉尾洋行・高木文夫・植田康成・川村宣元 (1976).『APS No.2 Text-kommunikation literatur-wissenschaft』. Universität Hiroshima, bungaku-bu germanisches seminar.
- ホルブ, R. C. (1986).『「空白」を読む 受容理論の現在』(鈴木聰 (訳)). 勁草書房. (原著は 1984 年に出版)
- 松村保寿 (1985).「数量化の方法」.『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』, 5, 31–43.
- マトゥラーナ, M., & バレーラ, F. (1997).『知恵の樹 生きている世界はどのようにして生れるのか』(管啓次郎 (訳)). 筑摩書房. (原著は 1984 年出版)
- 丸井一郎 (1999).「言語相互行為における意義関連体系としての個的領域と共有領域ー日独対照の視点から」.『高知大学学術研究報告 人文科学』, 48, 135–160.
- 丸井一郎 (2003).「文学テキスト」再考ー異文化テキストの理解のためにー」.『ドイツ文学』, 2(5), 16–29.
- 村田夏子 (1994).「まんが記号の読みとりに熟達度を与える影響」.『読書科学』, 38(2), 48–57.
- 村田夏子 (1997).「読書習慣が登場人物像に与える影響」.『文京女子短期大学英語英文学科紀要』, 30, 175–183.
- 村田夏子 (1999).『読書の心理学 読書で開く心の世界への扉』.サイエンス社.
- 村田夏子 (2001).「文学を味わう」. In 大村彰道 (監修)・秋田喜代美・久野雅樹 (編),『文章理解の心理学 認知、発達、教育の広がりの中で』(pp. 190–201). 北大路書房.
- 山本博樹 (1994).「物語の理解過程ーどのように読み手は時間因果的な一貫性を構成するのかー」.『読書科学』, 38(2), 67–82.
- 吉田光演 (1984).「受容行為と加工行為ー言語的・文学的コミュニケーションの経験的研究の可能性ー」.『独文研究室報』, 2, 45–64.
- 吉田光演 (1985).「テキスト受容の経験的研究のために」.『金沢大学文学部論集文学科篇』, 5, 45–66.
- 脇阪豊 (1973).「Linguistik und Literaturwissenschaft に関する文献」.『ドイツ語教育部会会報』, 4, 32–36.
- 脇阪豊 (1975).「ことば、テキスト、詩学ーはじめにー」. In エネルゲイア刊行会 (編),『言語における思想性と技術性』(pp. 255–259). 朝日出版.
- 脇阪豊 (1975).「言語学と詩学ー言語学的詩学の問題点ー」. In エネルゲイア刊行会 (編),『言語における思想性と技術性』(pp. 306–332). 朝日出版.
- 脇阪豊 (1986).「テキスト研究」.『ドイツ文学』, 76, 199–206.
- 脇阪豊 (1988).「序論・テキスト研究の展開と課題」. In 脇阪豊 (編),『テキスト分析の研究ー日・独テキストの対照研究を中心にー』(pp. 5–9) (昭和 62 年度科学研究費補助金 (総合研究 A) 研究成果報告書, 研究課題番号 60301065).
- 和田浩一・三浦知志・窪俊一 (2018).「マンガの読みにおける状況モデルの更新」.『マン

ガ研究』, 24, 93–114.

●文学の経験的研究の論文がよく掲載される学術雑誌やウェブサイト

Discourse Processes

Empirical Studies of the Arts

Poetics

Poetics Today

Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts

Scientific Study of Literature

<http://www.ualberta.ca/%7Edmiall/reading/index.htm>

<http://clcwebjournal.lib.purdue.edu/>