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Japanese Higher Education

- Hybrid of models
  - German model
  - American model
- Coexistence of three sectors
  - national (national governmental)
  - local public (local governmental)
  - private (non-profit)
- Large proportion of private universities

I  Private higher education in Japan
   1  A brief History
      - Large national universities
      - smaller private institutions – without university status at the beginning
      - priority to national universities
      - expansion of private institutions in mass higher education
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I-2 Public financing to private institutions
- Article 89 of the Japanese Constitution
- 1952 Private School Association
- 1970 Private School Promotion Foundation
- 1975 Private School Subsidy Law
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Current expenditures of private HE institutions and Government subsidies
II Public and private universities in direct competition

- Government appropriation in FY2003
  - National institutions: 1,525,606 million yen
  - Private institutions: 321,750 million yen
- Strong pressure from private universities on the Government
- Spiral of tuition fees

Public expenditure on HE in OECD Countries (2000)

Increase in competitive funds open to public and private institutions

- Increase in special subsidies to private institutions
- Government funds indifferently open to public and private institutions
  - The 21st Century COE Programme
- Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research open to private companies

Number of COE projects adopted by the MEXT, by sector
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III Development of the evaluation system

- National Institution for Academic Degrees and University Evaluation (NIAD-UE)
- A new quality assurance system
  - a continual third-party evaluation
  - an evaluation once every seven years
  - recognition of evaluation bodies by the Government

IV Incorporation of national universities

- 89 national university corporations
- Increased autonomy over the management, organisational structure, personnel affairs, budgeting, and so forth
- Medium-goals/plan presented or approved by the Minister of Education
- People from outside the university participating in the management

External members of the Administrative Council of Hiroshima University

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Occupation (former)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W. Imanaka</td>
<td>President, Chugoku Newspaper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Inai</td>
<td>President, Japan Video Educational Association (Former Secretary to the Ministry of Education)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Johnstone</td>
<td>Professor of Higher and Comparative Education, State University of New York at Buffalo (Former President of State University of New York)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Ogasawara</td>
<td>President, Board of Education of Hiroshima Prefecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Onami</td>
<td>Special Advisor, Kyosei-Tachibana Women’s University (Former President of Gifu University)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Shiki</td>
<td>Lawyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Tobasaki</td>
<td>President, Chugoku Economic Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Ogasawara</td>
<td>President, Board of Education of Hiroshima Prefecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Shiiki</td>
<td>Lawyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Tanabe</td>
<td>Secretary-General, Tokyo Conference for the Collaboration in Chugoku (Former Director-General, Chugoku Bureau of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples of numerical targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National University Corporation</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muroran Institute of Technology</td>
<td>Increase external research funds, including the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research, by around 10% within 6 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsukuba University</td>
<td>Maintain the ratio of successful applicants for the National Medical Practitioners Qualifying Examination over 90%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokyo University</td>
<td>Organise job guidance activities more than 30 times every year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyushu Institute of Agriculture and Technology</td>
<td>Increase the number of faculty members engaged in commissioned research or joint research by 10% in comparison with the mean value of FY 2000-2003, for the period of medium-term goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansai University</td>
<td>Double the number of patents obtained (25 to 50) by the end of the medium-term goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jusanzuka University</td>
<td>Double the number of professors obtained (25 to 30) by the end of the medium-term goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyushu Institute of Engineering</td>
<td>Set up at least 5 research projects involving the whole university with a view to solving worldwide problems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toyama Junior College</td>
<td>Open up over half of the classes to the local community.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V Are national universities going towards privatisation?

- Continuous discussions on the privatisation of national universities
  - Prime Minister Koizumi at the Diet
  - Opposition party's policy
  - Newspapers' questionnaire etc.
- Where are national universities going?

- Increasingly blurred distinction between public and private sectors

Principal differences between national and private universities can be seen in

- the nomination of the president and the auditors by the Minister of Education;
- the presentation of medium-term goals and the approval of the medium-term plan by the Minister of Education;
- systematic institutional evaluations by the evaluation committee;
- development and maintenance of important facilities;
- regulations on tuition fees and other important regulations;
- some programmes restricted to national universities.

VI What is the future of Japanese higher education?

- Closing distance between the public and private sectors.
- National universities will survive, at least for the time being.
• Functional differentiation being more important.
• Difficult institutional evaluation.
• The Government should be more supportive.
  – rather than controls or evaluations
  – paraeducational activities or services

VII Concluding remarks
• Increased presence of private higher education
• Public and private sectors in direct competition for increasingly scarce resources
• Privatisation of national universities is unlikely, for the time being at least. It will remain a political affair.
• Difficult institutional evaluation
• Increasingly important functional differentiation
• Redefinition of the Government's roles is necessary.