

# **HARMONIES AND SURPRISES ON THE LATTICE**


**A. Nakamura's 60th Birthday**


**Hiroshima**

March 13 2010

Maria Paola Lombardo

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati





*Thank you Nakamurasann!*

*for being a part of the Roman lattice community in the early  
times,*

*for initiating the meetings on QCD in Extreme Conditions,*

*for inviting me for my first time in Japan!,*

*for your work and inspiring ideas,*

*including advocating the study of thermodynamics with  
Wilson fermions which comes next...*

# *HARMONIES AND SURPRISES ON THE LATTICE*

A. Nakamura's 60th Birthday

Hirosima

March 13 2010


## *Lattice QCD Thermodynamics with twisted mass Wilson fermions*

---

*Maria Paola Lombardo*

### **The tmfT Collaboration**

- E.M. Ilgenfritz, K. Jansen, M. P. Lombardo, M. Müller-Preussker, M. Petschlies, O. Philipsen and L. Zeidlewicz, **Phys.Rev.D80:094502,2009**
- M. Müller-Preussker, E.M. Ilgenfritz, K. Jansen,,M. P. Lombardo, O. Philipsen, L. Zeidlewicz, M. Kirchner, M. Petschlies, D. Schulze and C.Urbach PoS **LAT2009**
- E.M. Ilgenfritz, K. Jansen, M. P. Lombardo, M. Müller-Preussker, M. Petschlies, O. Philipsen and L. Zeidlewicz, PoS **LAT2008** (2008) 206
- E. M. Ilgenfritz, M. Müller-Preussker,M. Petschlies, A. Sternbeck, K. Jansen, M. P. Lombardo, O. Philipsen, and Lars Zeidlewicz PoS **LAT2007** (2007) 238
- E. M. Ilgenfritz, M. Müller-Preussker, A. Sternbeck, K. Jansen, I. Wetzorke, M. P. Lombardo and O. Philipsen, PoS **LAT2006** (2006) 140



From NSAC Long Range Plan

# PLAN

- INTRODUCTION
- TWISTED MASS : MODEL ANALYSIS
- RESULTS for  $N_t = 8$ : Fixed Twisted Mass
- RESULTS for  $N_t = 8$  : Twisted Mass at Work
- TOWARDS THE CHIRAL/CONTINUUM LIMIT :  
Nt=10, Nt=12 Maximal Twist:  
Preliminary
- COMPARISON WITH OTHER RESULTS, WILSON and STAGGERED
- PERSPECTIVES

## *TWO FLAVORS: REASONS OF INTEREST*

Useful to complete the QCD Phase diagram

Universality Issue


Physical Crossover Temperature :

quantitative estimate of  $N_f$  dependence

$N_f = 2$  relevant for  $N_f = 2 + 1$

# PHASE TRANSITIONS OF QCD IN THE UP=DOWN, STRANGE PLANE

This talk :  $N_f = 2$



	$U(1)_A$ anomaly	suppressed anomaly at $T_c$
QCD	$SU(N_f)_L \otimes SU(N_f)_R \rightarrow SU(N_f)_V$	$U(N_f)_L \otimes U(N_f)_R \rightarrow U(N_f)_V$
$N_f = 1$	crossover or first order	$O(2)$ or first order
$N_f = 2$	$O(4)$ or first order	$U(2)_L \otimes U(2)_R / U(2)_V$ or first order
$N_f \geq 3$	first order	first order
aQCD	$SU(2N_f) \rightarrow SO(2N_f)$	$U(2N_f) \rightarrow O(2N_f)$
$N_f = 1$	$O(3)$ or first order	$U(2)/O(2)$ or first order
$N_f = 2$	$SU(4)/SO(4)$ or first order	first order

*Universality class of QCD transition with  $N_f$  light quarks*

Pisarski, Wilczek; original discussion

Basile, Pelissetto, Vicari 2005; RG analysis

# STATUS

*The Universality Class of Nf=2 QCD from the Lattice:*

---

## Staggered Fermions:


- $O(2)$  or  $O(4)$  with  $Nt=8$  but scaling window very narrow - other behaviours cannot be ruled out **Kogut Sinclair 2004**
- $O(2)$  at strong coupling very high precision low masses **Chandrasekharan Strouthos 2005**
- First order ( $O(2)$  /  $O(4)$  ruled out) **Pisa Group, 2000-2008**
- $O(4)$  scaling visible with largish masses, **T. Mendez 2005–2008**
- $O(2)$  scaling **F. Karsch et al, 2010**

## Wilson fermions:


- Apparently compatible with  $O(4)$  scaling  $Nt=4$ - However only symmetric phase was probed **CP-Pacs 2001**

## Phases of Wilson Fermions :Early Lattice results

**A. Ali Khan et al. [CP-PACS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 63, 034502 (2001); Phys. Rev. D 64, 074510 (2001)**



## Phases of Wilson Fermions : Sketchy view



## Twisted Mass QCD [ETMC Collaboration]

- Fermion sector

$$S_q = \sum_x \left\{ (\bar{\chi}_x [\mu_\kappa + i\gamma_5 \tau_3 a\mu] \chi_x) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=\pm 1}^{\pm 4} (\bar{\chi}_{x+\hat{\mu}} U_{x\mu} [r + \gamma_\mu] \chi_x) \right\},$$

$\mu_\kappa \equiv am_0 + 4 = 1/2\kappa$ ,  $am_0$  the bare “untwisted” quark mass in lattice units and  $\mu$  the twisted quark mass;

- Gauge sector: Symanzik improved

$$S_g = \beta \sum_x \left( c_0 \sum_{\mu < \nu; \mu, \nu=1}^4 \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Re} U_{x\mu\nu}^{1 \times 1} \right\} + c_1 \sum_{\mu \neq \nu; \mu, \nu=1}^4 \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Re} U_{x\mu\nu}^{1 \times 2} \right\} \right),$$

$U_{x\mu\nu}^{1 \times 2}$  is the planar rectangular  $(1 \times 2)$ , and we use tree-level Symanzik improved gauge action (tlSym)  $c_1 = -1/12$ .

# TWISTED MASS : MODEL ANALYSIS

## Twisted Mass : A model study Mike Creutz, T=0 1996, Finite T, 2007

*Effective potential for Wilson fermions*


$$V(\vec{\pi}, \sigma, L) = \lambda(\sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2 - v^2)^2 + \alpha_0(K^2 - K_c(\beta)^2)\sigma + \alpha_1\sigma^2 + m_t\pi_3$$

- The first line is the “linear” sigma model with  $O(4)$  symmetry.
- The second line is the mass term.
- The third term is a chiral symmetry breaking **lattice artifact**
- The  $m_t$  term is the twisted mass. Without the  $\alpha_1$  term this can be rotated into the  $\alpha_0$  mass term along the curve of constant  $m_t^2 + \alpha_0^2(K^2 - K_c^2)^2$ .
- **If  $K = K_c$  lattice artifacts are reduced**

$$m_q^2 = m_t^2 + \alpha_0^2(K^2 - K_c^2)^2 + O(a)$$


.

# Twisted Mass and Finite Temperature - M. Creutz 2007




Without twisted mass:

With twisted mass: the 'cone'



A fixed  $N_t$  section




RESULTS FOR  $N_t = 8$

FIXED TWISTED MASS


## Strong Coupling: The Aoki Phase

- $\beta \in \{1.8, 3.0, 3.4\}$ .
- Order parameter

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{N_\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \langle \bar{\psi} i \gamma_5 \tau^3 \psi \rangle_{N_\sigma, h} \neq 0.$$




$\beta = 1.8$





$\beta = 3.0$


## The intermediate region $\beta = 3.4, 3.45, 3.65$ : a first order transition



## The thermal line at $N_t = 8$




The vertical lines mark  $\kappa_c(T = 0, \beta)$  for  $\beta = 3.9, 3.8, 3.75$  from left to right.




RESULTS FOR  $N_t = 8$  :

TWISTED MASS AT WORK

$\beta = 3.75$  : THE LOWEST MASS POINT : VARYING  $\mu$



BACK TO THE ACTION:  
LO (Lattice  $\chi$ PT) :  $m_\pi^2 = K$ : ELLYPSES




The contour plots of  $m_{\pi^\pm}^2$  in twisted mass plane are elyptes with axes renormalized by the  $Z$  factors. (figure after Sharpe 2006)


# NLO Lattice $\chi$ PT : $m_\pi^2 = K$ : DISTORTED ELLYPSES

The general form of NLO results for observables is

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\pi^\pm}^2 &= \widehat{m_q} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} L_\pi + \frac{16}{f^2} \widehat{m_q} (2L_{68} - L_{45}) \right] \\ &+ \widehat{m_q} \cos \omega_0 (2\delta_W - \delta_{\sim}) + 2(\cos \omega_0)^2 \frac{w'}{W}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$



Contour plots of  $m_{\pi^\pm}^2$  in twisted mass plane, from NLO ( $L\chi$ PT) for Aoki-phase (left) and first-order (right) scenarios [Sharpe 2006]




Simplified NLO formula

$$m_q^2 = \left( \frac{1}{Z_P^2} \mu^2 + \frac{1}{Z_S^2} \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{\kappa} - \frac{1}{\kappa_c} \right)^2 \right) (1 + K \cos \omega)^2 .$$


with  $m_q = 0.028$ ,  $K = 0.5$ ,  $Z_S = 0.6$ ,  $Z_P = 0.3$  and  $\kappa_c = 0.1660$  is consistent with the data points.

## SUMMARY $N_t = 8$



# THE THERMAL LINE for $N_t = 8$


$r_0 T$




# TOWARDS THE CHIRAL/CONTINUUM LIMIT

N<sub>T</sub>=10, N<sub>T</sub>=12, MAXIMAL TWIST: Preliminary

*Trajectories of constant physics in the  $\beta\mu$  plane :*  
 $m_\pi \simeq 300 \text{ MeV}$ , and  $m_\pi \simeq 480 \text{ MeV}$




*Critical band  $r_0(T - T_c) = a(r_0 m_{ps})^d$  – Example of parameter choices for twisted mass*




*The two points corresponds to  $m_\pi \simeq 300$  MeV,  $N_t = 12$*

## ONGOING SIMULATIONS AT MAXIMAL TWIST:


- Simulations on a  $N_t = 10$ ,  $N_s = 16, 32$
- $\mu = 0.006$  ,  $k = k_c$ ,  $3.85 < \beta < 4.05$
- About four months on a 1 Teraflop apeNEXT
- Located critical  $\beta$
- Very modest size dependence - suggesting crossover
- Simulations on a  $N_t = 12$ ,  $N_s = 32$  in progress







# COMPARISON WITH STAGGERED, WILSON RESULTS

## COMPARE STAGGERED [ F. Karsch's 2008 Review]





## COMPARE WILSON [Bornyakov et al 2009]






- Bornyakov et al.: constrained  $O(4)$  exponent, full mass range
- However : only justifiable within ChPT
- Restricted range  $m_\pi < 600$  MeV i.e.  $m_\pi r_0 < 2$  gives higher  $T_c$
- In agreement with fits with open exponent in the entire mass range
- $m_\pi r_0 \simeq 0.8$  seems to have enough discriminating power

ASIDE : Comment on  $N_f$  dependence of  $T_c$   
 Known to be linear extrapolating to zero at about  $N_f=12$ .




- Strange quark effect on  $T_c$  order a few percent, below current statistics and systematic uncertainty.
- Semiquantitative estimate of the correction should be possible.

# PERSPECTIVES



# COMPUTATIONAL REQUIREMENTS

- Each point within a beta scan requires approx. 5000 Trajectories
- On a 500 Gflop sustained Computer 400 trajectories per day
- Making about 8 Days per point : i.e. each point costs 4 Teraflop/day
- A scan of 15 Points costs 60 Teraflop Day  $\simeq$  2 Teraflop Month
- **Temporary Conclusion :**  
EACH POINT ON THE PSEUDOCRITICAL LINE COSTS ABOUT 2 TERAFLIP MONTH.



The plot shows the average runtime per trajectory. The number of CG iterations is quite dependent on  $\beta$ . The first approx. 300 trajectories were used (while thermalization) to tune the HMC by adjusting the number of integrator steps and the preconditioning mass. The linear regression could be used to estimate the runtime for some more  $\beta$ -values.

$$t(\beta) = 11725 - 2842\beta$$

We can run a 12h/256nodes-job every day on each account. Using 4 accounts that makes approx. 400 trajectories per day.

## IN BRIEF

- Structure of the phase space understood.
  - Agreement with model calculations
  - Agreement with lattice NLO ChPT
- Measured thermal line at large mass  $500 \text{ MeV} < m_\pi$  , at the onset of continuum physics.
- New data at  $N_t = 10$ , in progress  $N_t = 12$  at  $m_\pi = 380 \text{ MeV}$  at the lower end of current Wilson simulations with comparable lattice spacing.  $N - t = 12$ ,  $M_\pi \simeq 300 \text{ MeV}$  starting now
- $O(10)$  new points along the (pseudo)critical line could allow estimate of the (pseudo)critical temperature in the continuum, chiral limit:  
**Estimate cost: 2 Teraflop Year**

$$N_f \text{ dependence}$$
$$N_f = 2 \text{ relevant for } N_f = 2 + 1$$

